

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

ATLAS DATA PRIVACY CORPORATION, et al.	:	
	:	
v.	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	NO. 24-3993
BLACKBAUD, INC., et al.	:	

ATLAS DATA PRIVACY CORPORATION, et al.	:	
	:	
v.	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	NO. 24-3998
WHITEPAGES, INC., et al.	:	

ATLAS DATA PRIVACY CORPORATION, et al.	:	
	:	
v.	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	NO. 24-4000
HIYA, INC., et al.	:	

ATLAS DATA PRIVACY CORPORATION, et al.	:	
	:	
v.	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	NO. 24-4037
WE INFORM, LLC	:	

- - -

April 18, 2024

STATUS CONFERENCE

- - -

Ann Marie Mitchell, CRR, RDR, CCR, Official Court Reporter
AnnMarie_Mitchell@njdcourts.gov
(856) 576-7018

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript
produced by computer-aided transcription.

1	:	
2	ATLAS DATA PRIVACY CORPORATION, et al. :	
3	v. :	CIVIL ACTION
4	INFOMATICS, LLC, et al. :	NO. 24-4041
5	ATLAS DATA PRIVACY CORPORATION, et al. :	
6	v. :	CIVIL ACTION
7	THE PEOPLE SEARCHERS, LLC, et al. :	NO. 24-4045
8	:	
9	ATLAS DATA PRIVACY CORPORATION, et al. :	
10	v. :	CIVIL ACTION
11	COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE EXCHANGE, INC., :	NO. 24-4073
12	et al. :	
13	ATLAS DATA PRIVACY CORPORATION, et al. :	
14	v. :	CIVIL ACTION
15	DM GROUP, INC., et al. :	NO. 24-4075
16	:	
17	ATLAS DATA PRIVACY CORPORATION, et al. :	
18	v. :	CIVIL ACTION
19	CARCO GROUP INC., et al. :	NO. 24-4077
20	:	
21	ATLAS DATA PRIVACY CORPORATION, et al. :	
22	v. :	CIVIL ACTION
23	DELUXE CORPORATION, et al. :	NO. 24-4080
24	:	
25	:	

1	:	
2	:	
3	:	CIVIL ACTION
4	:	NO. 24-4095
5	:	
6	:	
7	:	CIVIL ACTION
8	:	NO. 24-4096
9	:	
10	:	
11	:	CIVIL ACTION
12	:	NO. 24-4098
13	:	
14	:	
15	:	CIVIL ACTION
16	:	NO. 24-4103
17	:	
18	:	
19	:	CIVIL ACTION
20	:	NO. 24-4104
21	:	
22	:	
23	:	CIVIL ACTION
24	:	NO. 24-4105
25	:	

1	:	
2	:	
3	:	CIVIL ACTION
4	:	NO. 24-4105
5	:	
6	:	
7	:	CIVIL ACTION
8	:	NO. 24-4107
9	:	
10	:	
11	:	CIVIL ACTION
12	:	NO. 24-4110
13	:	
14	:	
15	:	CIVIL ACTION
16	:	NO. 24-4111
17	:	
18	:	
19	:	CIVIL ACTION
20	:	NO. 24-4112
21	:	
22	:	
23	:	CIVIL ACTION
24	:	NO. 24-4113
25	:	

1	:	
2	:	
3	:	CIVIL ACTION
4	:	NO. 24-4114
5	:	
6	:	
7	:	CIVIL ACTION
8	:	NO. 24-4141
9	:	
10	:	
11	:	CIVIL ACTION
12	:	NO. 24-4143
13	:	
14	:	
15	:	CIVIL ACTION
16	:	NO. 24-4160
17	:	
18	:	
19	:	CIVIL ACTION
20	:	NO. 24-4168
21	:	
22	:	
23	:	CIVIL ACTION
24	:	NO. 24-4171
25	:	

1	:	
2	:	
3	:	CIVIL ACTION
4	:	NO. 24-4174
5	:	
6	:	
7	:	CIVIL ACTION
8	:	NO. 24-4175
9	:	
10	:	
11	:	CIVIL ACTION
12	:	NO. 24-4176
13	:	
14	:	
15	:	CIVIL ACTION
16	:	NO. 24-4178
17	:	
18	:	
19	:	CIVIL ACTION
20	:	NO. 24-4181
21	:	
22	:	
23	:	CIVIL ACTION
24	:	NO. 24-4182
25	:	

1	:	
2	:	
3	:	CIVIL ACTION
4	:	NO. 24-4184
5	:	
6	:	CIVIL ACTION
7	:	NO. 24-4217
8	:	
9	:	
10	:	CIVIL ACTION
11	:	NO. 24-4227
12	:	
13	:	
14	:	CIVIL ACTION
15	:	NO. 24-4230
16	:	
17	:	
18	:	CIVIL ACTION
19	:	NO. 24-4233
20	:	
21	:	
22	:	CIVIL ACTION
23	:	NO. 24-4256
24	:	
25	:	

1	:	
2	:	
3	:	CIVIL ACTION
4	:	NO. 24-4261
5	:	
6	:	
7	:	CIVIL ACTION
8	:	NO. 24-4269
9	:	
10	:	
11	:	CIVIL ACTION
12	:	NO. 24-4271
13	:	
14	:	
15	:	CIVIL ACTION
16	:	NO. 24-4288
17	:	
18	:	
19	:	CIVIL ACTION
20	:	NO. 24-4292
21	:	
22	:	
23	:	CIVIL ACTION
24	:	NO. 24-4298
25	:	

1	:	
2	:	
3	:	CIVIL ACTION
4	:	NO. 24-4299
5	:	
6	:	
7	:	CIVIL ACTION
8	:	NO. 24-4324
9	:	
10	:	
11	:	CIVIL ACTION
12	:	NO. 24-4345
13	:	
14	:	
15	:	CIVIL ACTION
16	:	NO. 24-4354
17	:	
18	:	
19	:	CIVIL ACTION
20	:	NO. 24-4380
21	:	
22	:	
23	:	CIVIL ACTION
24	:	NO. 24-4383
25	:	

1	:	
2	:	
3	:	CIVIL ACTION
4	:	NO. 24-4385
5	:	
6	:	
7	:	CIVIL ACTION
8	:	NO. 24-4389
9	:	
10	:	
11	:	CIVIL ACTION
12	:	NO. 24-4390
13	:	
14	:	
15	:	CIVIL ACTION
16	:	NO. 24-4392
17	:	
18	:	
19	:	CIVIL ACTION
20	:	NO. 24-4434
21	:	
22	:	
23	:	CIVIL ACTION
24	:	NO. 24-4442
25	:	

1	:	
2	:	
3	:	CIVIL ACTION
4	:	NO. 24-4447
5	:	
6	:	
7	:	CIVIL ACTION
8	:	NO. 24-4566
9	:	
10	:	
11	:	CIVIL ACTION
12	:	NO. 24-4571
13	:	
14	:	
15	:	CIVIL ACTION
16	:	NO. 24-4609
17	:	
18	:	
19	:	CIVIL ACTION
20	:	NO. 24-4664
21	:	
22	:	
23	:	CIVIL ACTION
24	:	NO. 24-4696
25	:	

1	:	
2	:	
3	:	CIVIL ACTION
4	:	NO. 24-4770
5	:	
6	:	CIVIL ACTION
7	:	NO. 24-4850
8	:	
9	:	
10	:	CIVIL ACTION
11	:	NO. 24-4949
12	:	
13	:	

Mitchell H. Cohen Building & U.S. Courthouse
 4th & Cooper Streets
 Camden, New Jersey 08101
 April 18, 2024
 Commencing at 9:58 a.m.

B E F O R E: THE HONORABLE HARVEY BARTLE, III,
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

A P P E A R A N C E S:

BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
 BY: ADAM R. SHAW, ESQUIRE
 30 South Pearl Street, 12th Floor
 Albany, New York 12207
 For the Plaintiffs

A P P E A R A N C E S (cont.'d):

BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
BY: HSIAO (MARK) C. MAO, ESQUIRE
44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor
San Francisco, California 94104
For the Plaintiffs

BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
BY: JAMES LEE, ESQUIRE
100 S.E. 2nd Street, Suite 2800
Miami, Florida 33131
For the Plaintiffs

MORGAN & MORGAN
BY: RYAN JOSEPH McGEE, ESQUIRE
201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor
Tampa, Florida 33602
For the Plaintiffs

PEM LAW LLP
BY: RAJIV D. PARIKH, ESQUIRE
1 Boland Drive, Suite 101
West Orange, New Jersey 07052
For the Plaintiffs

TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON SANDERS LLP
BY: ANGELO A. STIO III, ESQUIRE
BY: MICHAEL A. SCHWARTZ, ESQUIRE
301 Carnegie Center, Suite 400
Princeton, New Jersey 08543
For the Defendants CARCO Group Inc.; Acxiom, LLC; AtData, LLC; Deluxe Corporation; DM Group, Inc.; Enformion, LLC; Red Violet, Inc.; Remine Inc.; RocketReach LLC; CoreLogic Inc.

VEDDER PRICE, P.C.
BY: BLAINE C. KIMREY, ESQUIRE
222 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60601
For the Defendants Whitepages, Inc. and Hiya, Inc., et al.

A P P E A R A N C E S (cont.'d):

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP

BY: ROBERT T. SZYBA, ESQUIRE

620 Eighth Avenue, 32nd Floor

New York, New York 10018

For the Defendants Infomatics, LLC; The People Searchers, LLC; and We Inform, LLC, et al.

GREENSPOON MARDER

BY: KELLY MAGNUS PURCARO, ESQUIRE

1037 Raymond Boulevard, Suite 900

Newark, New Jersey 07102

For the Defendant Joy Rockwell Enterprises, Inc.

FISHERBROYLES, LLP

BY: JASON A. SPAN, ESQUIRE

6460 Broad Street, #5262

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15206

For the Defendant Innovis Data Solutions, Inc.

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

BY: SERRIN TURNER, ESQUIRE

1271 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York, 10020

For the Defendants CoStar Group, Inc.; CoStar Realty Information, Inc.; LightBox Parent, L.P.; LightBox Holdings, L.P.; Oracle International Corporation; Oracle America, Inc.; Oracle Corp.; PeopleConnect Holdings, Inc.; Intelius, LLC; and PeopleConnect Intermediate, LLC

CONSTANGY, BROOKS, SMITH & PROPHETE, LLP

BY: JOHN E. MACDONALD, ESQUIRE

100 Charles Ewing Boulevard, Suite 140

Ewing, New Jersey 08628

For the Defendants Restoration of America, Voter Reference Foundation LLC, and Accurate Append, Inc.

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

BY: TYLER J. DOMINO, ESQUIRE

787 Seventh Avenue

New York, New York 10019

For the Defendant MyHeritage (USA), Inc.

A P P E A R A N C E S (cont.'d):

LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP
BY: GAVIN J. ROONEY, ESQUIRE
One Lowenstein Drive
Roseland, New Jersey 07068
For the Defendant LexisNexis Risk Data Management, LLC

RKW LAW GROUP
BY: H. MARK STICHEL, ESQUIRE
10075 Red Run Boulevard, Suite 401
Owings Mills, Maryland 21117
For the Defendant eMerges.com, Inc.

FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
BY: ROSS LEWIN, ESQUIRE
105 College Road East, Suite 300
Princeton, New Jersey 08542
For the Defendant Epsilon Data Management, LLC;
Conversant LLC; and Citrus Ad International, Inc.

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
BY: ANTHONY J. STALTARI, ESQUIRE
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, New York 10010
For the Defendant Yardi Systems, Inc.

A L S O P R E S E N T:

DAVID BRUEY, Courtroom Deputy

- - -

1 (PROCEEDINGS held in open court before The Honorable
2 HARVEY BARTLE, III at 9:58 a.m.)

3 THE COURT: Good morning.

4 RESPONSE: Good morning, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Please be seated. Thank you all for
6 coming.

7 The Court has before it this morning a status
8 conference in some 67 cases where the plaintiff has alleged
9 that the defendants have violated a New Jersey statute called
10 Daniel's Law.

11 As you all know, I've been designated by the chief
12 judge of the Court of Appeals to handle these cases which have
13 all been removed from the Superior Court of New Jersey.

14 Before we get into more substantive matters, I want
15 to deal with some preliminary issues.

16 I've received a plethora of pro hac vice motions.
17 Sometimes the lawyers are filing something called notice of
18 motion. I have no idea what that means. If you want to have
19 someone admitted pro hac vice, file a motion. Attach to it a
20 form of order. Do not put the word "proposed" on the order.
21 It's implicit when you give me a form of order that it's
22 proposed. When I sign it, I have to cross out the word
23 "proposed" if it's typed on the caption. So please just give
24 me a form of order with the pro hac vice motion.

25 Also, the filing fee for a pro hac vice motion is

1 \$250. Some of you haven't gotten the word that the amount was
2 increased from 150. In the Eastern District of Pennsylvania,
3 you have to file your check concurrently with the motion, but
4 in New Jersey they're a little bit more trusting about it.

5 Also, all these cases are assigned to the Camden
6 Vicinage. I think sometimes people are putting on their
7 papers either Newark or Trenton. They've all been
8 automatically reassigned to the Camden vicinage.

9 There was a request by one of the attorneys that we
10 have people listening in by phone or electronically in some
11 fashion. I think we'll avoid that at the moment. I think
12 it's better if everybody appears in person. There will
13 obviously be a transcript, so if someone wants a transcript,
14 they can purchase it. And there are many people here who can
15 advise their absent colleagues about what happened.

16 Now, I'd like to turn to -- oh, before we do that, if
17 whoever speaks, please precede your comments by your name,
18 please spell your last name for the benefit of the court
19 reporter, also at least initially identify the case in which
20 you're involved. Some of you are involved in multiple cases;
21 just identify one maybe with the case number. But each time
22 you speak, please use your name.

23 I entered an order -- several orders, actually --
24 setting up this status conference. And I included some
25 subjects that I thought would be the subject of discussion.

1 That's not an inflexible agenda. Obviously we'll talk about
2 whatever is relevant that you want to talk about today.

3 But the first matter that I want to raise is the
4 question of subject matter jurisdiction, whether plaintiffs'
5 counsel are going to seek to file motions for remand.

6 Plaintiffs' counsel?

7 MR. SHAW: Yes, Your Honor. Could I stay here or
8 would you like me at the lectern?

9 THE COURT: You can come up here.

10 MR. SHAW: Good morning, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Good morning.

12 MR. SHAW: Adam Shaw, S-H-A-W, for the plaintiffs.

13 THE COURT: All right.

14 MR. SHAW: Judge, if I can just give you a little bit
15 of the lay of the land.

16 There's been about 145 cases filed in New Jersey. As
17 you say, 67 of them have gotten removed here. And my
18 understanding is all have been assigned to you.

19 Just to tell you a little bit about the state of New
20 Jersey, so there's about 80 of them still there, and we've
21 moved to consolidate in the various counties there.

22 It's my understanding that there's -- most of the
23 cases, time has run out to remove, but there's still a few
24 where we're serving defendants, so there's still some time for
25 that. And there's still a couple more cases that might come

1 this way.

2 So of the 67 or so that have been filed in front of
3 Your Honor, about just under 30 seem to be -- clearly have
4 diversity jurisdiction, where there's complete diversity --

5 THE COURT: Of course we don't need complete
6 diversity here under CAFA. It's minimal diversity, correct?

7 MR. SHAW: Well, I'll get to that in a second.

8 THE COURT: All right.

9 MR. SHAW: So some of them, it's very clear on the
10 face that there is full diversity and as a threshold met. We
11 still have to investigate a little bit on a couple of the LLCs
12 to make sure of that. But there's about 30 of them or so that
13 there's not diversity between the plaintiff and the defendant,
14 complete diversity. And the defendants have suggested that
15 they could get through the plaintiffs' diversity, saying that
16 it was collusive, to avoid jurisdiction in this Court. That's
17 one of the arguments they make.

18 The second argument they make is about CAFA. We
19 don't think either of those arguments are good. And we intend
20 to make a motion to remand for a certain set of the cases.

21 The reason that's not collusive is obviously --
22 usually that's directed to one defendant, you know, the
23 plaintiff has set up some form of citizenship to avoid
24 jurisdiction here. That's obviously not the case here.
25 There's 50 different defendants.

1 THE COURT: Well, under CAFA all we need is minimal
2 diversity. Correct?

3 MR. SHAW: Correct.

4 THE COURT: Let's step back.

5 You agree that in each of the cases, there are more
6 than 100 plaintiffs?

7 MR. SHAW: No, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: You have 19,000, don't you?

9 MR. SHAW: We do. But the way CAFA works, Your
10 Honor, is it's actually the named plaintiff. And there's
11 Supreme Court cases that say you do not look to try to figure
12 out whether there's some other represented party or real party
13 in interest. And in Supreme Court cases, I believe it's a
14 Mississippi case where the AG brought a case, it's whoever is
15 on the caption. If that's on the caption, that's what it is.
16 Or if you bring it in the form of a class action, if you bring
17 it under some state vehicle that looks like a class action.
18 Daniel's Law does not look like a class action.

19 So we would say that there's not -- you do not look
20 through the plaintiff to see how many people we have
21 assignments for, for example. We are the plaintiff in this
22 case, Atlas, with a few individual plaintiffs. And that's our
23 position. And we'd like to -- you know, we're going to be --
24 like to submit remand motions on that ground.

25 THE COURT: We have 67, and you say in 30 of the

1 cases you're not going to challenge jurisdiction?

2 MR. SHAW: Correct, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: And then in the other 30 or so you will
4 be challenging?

5 MR. SHAW: Yes, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: All right. Let me just hear from any
7 defense counsel that wishes to speak to that subject, and then
8 we can talk about the scheduling of that.

9 MR. SHAW: Thank you, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Good morning.

11 MR. STIO: Good morning, Your Honor. Angelo Stio
12 from Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders. I represent a group of
13 ten defendants.

14 THE COURT: Ten, right.

15 MR. STIO: The CARCO Group is one of them, Docket
16 Number 0477.

17 Your Honor, we agree that there are 30 cases where
18 there is complete diversity. And we understand that the
19 plaintiff wants to file motions for remand, but just to take a
20 step back --

21 THE COURT: Motions for remand on the ones where
22 there is not complete diversity?

23 MR. STIO: Where they claim that -- yes, where they
24 claim there's not complete diversity.

25 THE COURT: So that would be -- in other words, there

1 are 37 that they're challenging jurisdiction, roughly, and 30
2 that they are not?

3 MR. STIO: Correct, Your Honor.

4 But to take a step back, where they talked about we
5 had this theory about the defendants or the plaintiffs being
6 collusively joined.

7 This case presents a really unique circumstance. And
8 the best way to describe it, as we did in our letter, it's an
9 inverse multidistrict litigation.

10 THE COURT: Right.

11 MR. STIO: We have one common thread in all the
12 cases. We have a corporate plaintiff who claims that they
13 have assignments for 19,000 individuals, and on the basis of
14 these assignments, they can assert the rights of these
15 individuals under Daniel's Law.

16 The case is about Atlas's actions. This is a company
17 that bombarded the defendants with thousands of emails from an
18 email address @atlasmail.com. They didn't provide a lot of
19 information. They were form emails. And they're cookie
20 cutter/boilerplate complaints.

21 Now, the defendants are in different industries. The
22 defendants have unique defenses, both factual and legal, and
23 there's a large number of defendants who have
24 jurisdictional -- personal jurisdictional defenses. There are
25 some defendants that have issues with arbitrability that

1 they're going to bring to the Court's attention.

2 But if we are going to go down the road to motions to
3 remand, we think that there is limited discovery that should
4 be produced that will bear on that.

5 And what we believe would make sense is, A, let's
6 have the plaintiffs turn over the assignments that they allege
7 they have for 19,000 people. It's going to go directly to
8 this issue. It will allow the defendants to examine them.
9 And in full disclosure, under New Jersey law, they have a rule
10 that you can request an assignment within five days to be
11 produced. Plaintiffs did produce those to four of my clients.
12 And there's a motion to seal, a motion for protective order
13 out there that needs to be decided. But there are other
14 defendants here that don't have the assignment. They should
15 produce that.

16 Second, we need defendants to produce if --
17 plaintiffs to produce if any defendants request a list of who
18 these covered people are and the information they claim that
19 they sent out to over 200 businesses to an unverified email by
20 the thousands. We need that to know are there claims here, do
21 we have the information.

22 THE COURT: Let's back up. We have 30 cases where
23 there's no challenge to subject matter jurisdiction; is that
24 correct?

25 MR. STIO: Where they're not.

1 THE COURT: Yeah.

2 MR. STIO: Correct.

3 THE COURT: There are 30 -- all right.

4 MR. SHAW: Yes, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Let's focus on those for a moment, and
6 then we'll deal with the other 37.

7 From at least one of the motions to dismiss that I've
8 seen, a constitutional challenge has been raised to Daniel's
9 Law; is that correct?

10 MR. STIO: There is one case that I'm aware of that
11 includes a constitutional challenge, yes.

12 THE COURT: Now, with respect to those 30 cases where
13 there is jurisdiction, is there going to be a constitutional
14 challenge to the statute?

15 MR. STIO: So, Your Honor, what I would propose to
16 that -- I don't know the answer, but I think that we would
17 like the opportunity to meet and confer with the plaintiffs,
18 because this is the first time we have known that they're
19 going to file a motion to remand. We've asked --

20 THE COURT: On some cases, not all.

21 MR. STIO: Correct. But I do think that from the
22 defendants' standpoint -- I'm talking about the signatory
23 defendants. I don't want to speak on defendants who didn't
24 join in the letter that we submitted.

25 THE COURT: No, I understand. I'm going to hear from

1 anybody else who wants to speak to it.

2 MR. STIO: But from the defendants' standpoint, we
3 would like to have the opportunity to meet and confer. And it
4 would help with, A -- we'll meet and confer with the
5 plaintiffs to come up with a joint letter to the Court, A, how
6 are we going to handle this bucket of 30 cases that they're
7 not going to challenge on remand, and how are we going to do
8 it efficiently.

9 And what I mean by that, Your Honor, is I don't think
10 Your Honor wants to get 67 12(b)(6) motions on the same
11 subject. Let us talk among ourselves and with plaintiff, can
12 we put together a schedule, can we try to come to an agreement
13 on some sort of consolidated briefing on the defendants' side,
14 and we present it in an orderly fashion to the Court.

15 But I don't think that we can start doing those 30
16 cases and have that sequenced or consolidated briefing until
17 we resolve this preliminary issue of subject matter
18 jurisdiction with regard to the other 37.

19 THE COURT: Why? Why can't we go forward with the
20 30?

21 MR. STIO: Because I think that if they are going to
22 file a 12(b)(6) motion, and we have other defendants who have
23 similar defenses, it's not going to work with consolidated
24 briefing. What we're trying to do is to make this as
25 efficient as possible so that if there are defendants that

1 have the same defenses, they can join in and either file, you
2 know, a shorter brief or one consolidated brief.

3 But the best way I think for us -- because, again, we
4 just found out they're going to file a motion for remand -- is
5 let us meet and confer with plaintiffs. Let us submit a joint
6 letter to the Court where we'll try to bracket, we have these
7 30 that they're not going to challenge on remand, we have
8 these 67, and how do we come up with a schedule that works for
9 the Court, that works for plaintiffs and that works for
10 defendants that is efficient, that doesn't result in some
11 plaintiffs not having the ability to join in briefing, and
12 allows the Court to decide threshold issues at the beginning,
13 which are subject matter jurisdiction, personal jurisdiction,
14 and arbitrability. Because none of the defendants want to
15 waive personal jurisdiction or subject matter jurisdiction.

16 THE COURT: I mean, obviously there's some urgency
17 here, at least according to the plaintiffs. And I think
18 that's probably true on everybody's part.

19 MR. STIO: See, Your Honor, may I speak to that for a
20 moment?

21 THE COURT: Yes.

22 MR. STIO: Everyone in this courtroom understands the
23 noble intent of Daniel's Law. It's to protect the privacy and
24 safety of public servants.

25 These cases are not about protecting the privacy and

1 safety of public servants. These cases are about a private
2 corporation's actions. And as we described in our letter, you
3 know, they want to hide behind urgency, but their actions here
4 do not show urgency. They abrogated nearly 19,000
5 individuals' information, and they waited to make requests.
6 They could have made requests individually --

7 THE COURT: Well, now we're getting into the merits.

8 MR. STIO: I'm sorry?

9 THE COURT: It just seems to me that it's clear that
10 the constitutionality of the statute is going to be
11 challenged. I mean, let's not hide the ball here. Isn't that
12 what's going to happen?

13 MR. STIO: For some defendants.

14 THE COURT: Other defendants are going to say it's
15 constitutional?

16 MR. STIO: Other defendants will either join in or
17 take no position. And the reason for that is other defendants
18 want to get a ruling on personal jurisdiction, arbitrability,
19 before having to get into or waive, possibly, the ability to
20 pursue the jurisdictional arguments.

21 THE COURT: Well --

22 COUNSEL: Excuse me, Your Honor. I'm sorry. We
23 can't hear back here.

24 THE COURT: Oh, keep your voice up. Speak up.

25 MR. STIO: What I'm saying is there are defendants

1 who have personal jurisdiction, arbitrability defenses.

2 THE COURT: What do you mean, arbitrability?

3 There's a contract --

4 MR. STIO: There's -- they have a terms of use --
5 yeah. I could have one of the defendants' counsel speak to
6 that.

7 THE COURT: Okay.

8 MR. STIO: But there's a terms of use. In order to
9 use the website, they have to click on a terms of use, accept
10 it, and it calls for an arbitration.

11 THE COURT: Okay.

12 MR. STIO: And then there's personal --

13 THE COURT: I'm talking about the ones where there's
14 no subject matter jurisdiction challenge.

15 In some of those cases, you're saying that there's
16 personal jurisdiction issues?

17 MR. STIO: Correct. Now, and there's also -- there
18 may be arbitrability challenges in the second bucket, which
19 is the 37 --

20 THE COURT: Let's just talk about --

21 MR. STIO: The first bucket?

22 THE COURT: Let's just talk about the first bucket.

23 So with respect to those where there is no challenge
24 to subject matter jurisdiction, in some of those there will be
25 challenges to personal jurisdiction, and there may also be

1 issues of arbitrability, is that what you're saying, in the --
2 of the 30 where there's no challenge to subject matter
3 jurisdiction?

4 MR. STIO: I believe so, Your Honor. And the reason
5 I am hesitant is that there are some arbitrability in the
6 second bucket.

7 But personal jurisdiction, I know for a fact that at
8 least my clients are in that first bucket, a couple of them,
9 and they will have a challenge on personal jurisdiction.

10 THE COURT: Now, will all your clients challenge
11 personal jurisdiction?

12 MR. STIO: Not all my clients, no, Your Honor. The
13 ones where there was complete diversity and they don't have
14 contact with New Jersey.

15 THE COURT: This is no way we can decide these 67
16 cases all at once. And I'm thinking out loud now, because
17 that's why we have this status conference. And I want to hear
18 from other lawyers too who have anything to say about it.
19 Whether we should tee up a case that deals with the
20 constitutional challenge, that's going to -- where there's no
21 issue about the personal jurisdiction, where there's no issue
22 about subject matter jurisdiction -- we have to start
23 somewhere -- and file a motion to dismiss on the ground that
24 the statute violates the First Amendment, have briefing, get
25 it decided.

1 If I decide it's unconstitutional, that's the end of
2 it. If I decide it's constitutional, then maybe there can be
3 a certification under 1292, go up immediately to the Court of
4 Appeals and get it decided.

5 Now, what's the problem with that?

6 MR. STIO: The problem is with regard to
7 jurisdiction. Personal jurisdiction, arbitrability.

8 THE COURT: No. I'm talking about the cases where
9 there's no challenge to personal jurisdiction, where there's
10 no challenge to subject matter jurisdiction.

11 MR. STIO: And you would put all the other --
12 everything else would be stayed?

13 THE COURT: Well, I can't decide them all at once,
14 can I?

15 MR. STIO: No.

16 THE COURT: Look, let's be practical about it. That
17 seems to me the heart of it. I know people may have personal
18 jurisdiction issues. But those that don't, why don't we tee
19 it up, get the central issue decided, one way or the other,
20 and I would certify it under 1292. If I decide it's
21 constitutional, you get to the Court of Appeals, and the issue
22 will be resolved in our lifetime.

23 MR. STIO: Your Honor, again, I would like to have --
24 meet and confer with the plaintiffs.

25 I understand where the Court wants to go, but I can't

1 speak on behalf of 67 cases.

2 THE COURT: I'm just talking -- I know.

3 I'd like to hear anybody else's comment on that
4 proposal. I am not wedded to it. I just throw it out to try
5 to move it along, because we could get ourselves bogged down
6 for years on discovery and other things when this is the
7 central issue here.

8 Now, I know every defendant is different, and I know
9 there may be other defenses, but would anybody else like to
10 speak to that?

11 Yes, you may.

12 Good morning.

13 MR. KIMREY: Good morning, Your Honor. May it please
14 the Court, I'm Blaine Kimrey, that's K-I-M-R-E-Y.

15 THE COURT: All right.

16 MR. KIMREY: I represent Whitepages and Hiya. The
17 Whitepages case number is 24-cv-3998. Hiya is 24-cv-4000.

18 I don't speak on behalf of this entire group,
19 obviously.

20 THE COURT: I understand.

21 MR. KIMREY: I speak only on behalf of those two
22 clients, Your Honor.

23 We think your idea is fantastic one. We agree that
24 the constitutional issue should be decided.

25 We, if we ever get there, would challenge the

1 constitutional of the statute.

2 THE COURT: Right.

3 MR. KIMREY: We do believe that it is
4 unconstitutional, both facially and as applied.

5 I do know note, however, that Whitepages and Hiya are
6 in the group that is going to be fighting about subject matter
7 jurisdiction apparently, so we won't be in one of those lead
8 cases.

9 THE COURT: Apparently or you will be?

10 MR. KIMREY: Well their motion to remand is due today
11 in both cases, so we'll see.

12 THE COURT: Yes.

13 MR. KIMREY: But that sounds like a fantastic idea,
14 Your Honor. Get that constitutional issue teed up, you can
15 decide it as a matter of law, and then if you wish, you can
16 1292 it up to the Court of Appeals.

17 THE COURT: Right.

18 MR. KIMREY: I do note, Your Honor, just so you know,
19 you may know this already, but there is a constitutional
20 challenge ongoing right now that's led by the ACLU. It's
21 currently before the New Jersey Court of Appeals, so it's
22 something that you'll probably want to watch. And it may go
23 up to the New Jersey Supreme Court. Although, obviously,
24 that's not necessarily dispositive for you, because this is a
25 federal constitutional issue.

1 THE COURT: Right, right.

2 MR. KIMREY: But something to be aware of.

3 THE COURT: Is there a challenge under both the US
4 Constitution and the Constitution of New Jersey?

5 MR. KIMREY: Yes. And New Jersey's constitution, its
6 free speech clause has been deemed to be -- to protect more
7 speech than the US Constitution.

8 THE COURT: All right. I'd like to hear anybody else
9 comment on that, on this particular issue.

10 Let's hear from defense counsel here.

11 MR. SZYBA: Good morning, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Good morning.

13 MR. SZYBA: Robert Szyba. I represent three
14 different defendants, Infomatics, The People Searchers, and We
15 Inform, from one of the case numbers, at least, 24-cv-4037.

16 THE COURT: Are you one of the 30 defendants or the
17 37 group?

18 MR. SZYBA: I'm one of the 30 defendants that have
19 complete true diversity.

20 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

21 MR. SZYBA: From our perspective, Your Honor, two
22 points.

23 Regarding the idea about having staggered briefing to
24 address fundamental threshold constitutional issues and then
25 moving on to the personal jurisdiction issues, we concur with

1 our colleagues, that seems like a really great idea, because
2 that would likely address a lot of these threshold issues for
3 the lowest common denominator of cases and address these in a
4 very organized and orderly fashion where parties can sign on
5 or not.

6 I think each case will likely have unique issues
7 where some -- some companies will have some arguments more
8 than others. So if we do address it that way, there may be
9 collections of cases. The cases that are not moving on those
10 issues, for my clients, for example, we're attempting to
11 engage in settlement discussions with the plaintiffs. So for
12 us, we're not necessarily looking to get bogged down with
13 briefing initially. Obviously, if other parties are engaged
14 in that and taking the lead, we can join or we can participate
15 somewhat.

16 But the one thing I wanted to raise for your
17 attention is that to the extent there are companies -- I know
18 there's at least a handful that are attempting to engage in
19 settlement discussions that may obviate the need for our
20 participation and streamline altogether anyway, we'd like for
21 them -- for there to be some sort of settlement track that's
22 factored in. But the staged approach to briefing might
23 already have built in some of that -- let's call it protection
24 or carveout timing-wise.

25 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

1 MR. SZYBA: Sure. Thank you, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Sure.

3 Good morning.

4 MS. PURCARO: Thank you, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Give us your name.

6 MS. PURCARO: My name is Kelly Purcaro. I'm from
7 Greenspoon Marder.

8 THE COURT: Spell your name, please, for the
9 reporter.

10 MS. PURCARO: P-U-R-C-A-R-O. And I'm the attorney
11 for Joy Rockwell, and it's Docket Number 4389. Thank you.

12 THE COURT: Which category are you in?

13 MS. PURCARO: Briefly, Your Honor, I'm in the
14 complete diversity, 30 category.

15 THE COURT: Thank you.

16 MS. PURCARO: And I agree with the recommendation on
17 the threshold issues. And absolutely the constitutionality
18 and the assignment issue I think are the main issues that
19 would be dispositive.

20 But I wanted to address briefly a note about the
21 urgency.

22 I think that one of my concerns, and the reason I'm
23 not a signatory defendant, is because I don't have the issue
24 with remand and -- that we anticipate might happen.

25 THE COURT: What's a signatory defendant?

1 MS. PURCARO: The defendants that signed a letter
2 that are all coming together as a group. The defendants that
3 are --

4 THE COURT: These letters that --

5 MS. PURCARO: Correct, correct.

6 THE COURT: All right.

7 MS. PURCARO: One of the issues that we have is,
8 number one, not all of the cases seem to have been served, and
9 so not all of the cases that will be removed have been
10 removed.

11 THE COURT: Right.

12 MR. PURCARO: So our concern was that for those
13 defendants that are still in the process of retaining counsel
14 or recently have, they didn't want to miss out on any of the
15 briefing schedule or any of those things. So your suggestion
16 would not interfere with those that may come later if all
17 cases are stayed.

18 Secondly, we did not want to engage in discovery at
19 this point if these cases are going to be dismissed. However,
20 as a former plaintiffs' counsel, I also understand and I think
21 we all sympathize with the purpose of the law. And Your Honor
22 mentioned the urgency here.

23 So one of the things that I wanted to raise for
24 consideration is the production of the lists of the covered
25 persons that made the request to the defendants. I know that

1 was raised before, but it's worth reiterating.

2 THE COURT: Right. Would that be necessary for a
3 constitutional challenge?

4 MS. PURCARO: I think yes.

5 THE COURT: At some point it certainly would be.

6 MS. PURCARO: I think yes.

7 THE COURT: Why?

8 MS. PURCARO: Because if it is constitutional, we as
9 the defendants want the ability to know whom it is that
10 requested the protections under the law. And I can tell
11 you --

12 THE COURT: But that would come later. Obviously if
13 it's held to be constitutional, you're going to be permitted
14 to get a lot of discovery, because they're seeking damages,
15 so --

16 MS. PURCARO: Right. So the issue is that we have a
17 complaint in my -- I'll speak to my case that I represent
18 today as an example. And I know others are in a similar
19 situation, where we don't know who made the request to us.
20 And we've been attempting for about three months to get a list
21 of those requests so we can cross-check for compliance.
22 Whether it's constitutional or not, we feel best practices is
23 to protect the information while everybody's waiting for a
24 decision.

25 And so we have not been able to get that information

1 from the plaintiffs. We don't know who these people are. And
2 I have other defendants that have reached out saying, I don't
3 know who these people are that asked for these protections
4 under Daniel's Law.

5 THE COURT: Well, you obviously have names. You
6 don't know who --

7 MS. PURCARO: We do not.

8 THE COURT: When people make requests to redact,
9 isn't there a name?

10 MS. PURCARO: So the issue is in my case, it was New
11 Year's Day, midnight, thousands of emails start coming in.

12 THE COURT: Okay.

13 MS. PURCARO: My client's system, which usually gets
14 200 emails a week --

15 THE COURT: Right.

16 MS. PURCARO: -- flagged it as a spam attack and shut
17 down the email, so we don't know who made the requests.

18 THE COURT: No. I think your -- I don't understand
19 what you're saying.

20 In other words, if I send an email to remove my
21 information --

22 MS. PURCARO: Uh-huh.

23 THE COURT: -- you have my name.

24 MS. PURCARO: Only if it comes through to my server.

25 So if you have the @atlas email, it's identified as a

1 spam attack, and the email is blocked. It's like the mailbox
2 is shut. The letter can't be delivered. We don't have
3 visibility into who made the requests.

4 So we've been --

5 THE COURT: Do you know a request was made?

6 MS. PURCARO: That what we're trying to find out.

7 THE COURT: Oh, you say you don't even know if a
8 request was made?

9 MS. PURCARO: We think a couple came in --

10 (Court reporter clarification.)

11 THE COURT: All right. The hypothetical John Doe
12 requests information to be removed, directed at your company.
13 You're saying you don't know that John Doe made the request on
14 January 1, 2024?

15 MS. PURCARO: It could have been. If more requests
16 came in after the spam attack was identified and the door
17 closed --

18 THE COURT: You're saying --

19 MS. PURCARO: So our point is, if people were trying
20 to make requests --

21 THE COURT: I see.

22 MS. PURCARO: -- regardless if they were valid or
23 not, regardless if they were coverable or not, we still want
24 to make sure that these folks if they're covered persons and
25 they made a request, at least for now, we can remove them from

1 any type of information distribution.

2 THE COURT: All right. So what you're saying is that
3 because of some blockage, I will call it, on your technology,
4 you didn't -- even though somebody may have tried to contact
5 you, it was never received?

6 MS. PURCARO: Correct.

7 THE COURT: All right.

8 MS. PURCARO: So my point is, I do agree with the
9 approach of the threshold issues, but in the interim, if there
10 is a stay, I would like the ability, for the benefit of this
11 defendant and other defendants I have in state court, to be
12 able to proactively --

13 THE COURT: I see.

14 MS. PURCARO: -- cross-check the information and
15 remove folks for now.

16 THE COURT: I understand.

17 MS. PURCARO: Without any waiver or any admission,
18 just to maintain status quo and make sure that anyone that
19 doesn't want their information out, my folks are doing the
20 best they can to make sure that it's not released. But
21 without that information, we cannot do so.

22 THE COURT: So what you're asking is that the
23 plaintiffs identify all the individuals and the dates when the
24 requests were made, the subject of the complaints?

25 MS. PURCARO: Correct.

1 THE COURT: And I assume the plaintiffs have all that
2 available electronically? I assume you know who your 19,000
3 assignees are?

4 MR. SHAW: Yes, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Assignors, right? All right.

6 Thank you very much.

7 MS. PURCARO: Thank you, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Anyone else?

9 Good morning.

10 MR. SPAK: Good morning, Your Honor, my name is Jason
11 Spak.

12 THE COURT: Spell your name, please.

13 MR. SPAK: S-P-A-K. One defendant in case number
14 4176.

15 And I'm going to make this very quick. We agree with
16 the notion of teeing up constitutionality first.

17 And I'd just like to echo prior counsel's comments on
18 the urgency that my client feels for getting a list of all the
19 plaintiffs. My company could determine very quickly, number
20 one, did it redact or otherwise protect the addresses and the
21 phone numbers of the people if we had a list of them.

22 THE COURT: Right.

23 MR. SPAK: And, number two, we could also track, did
24 anybody ask us for information about them, where we possibly
25 could have provided their address or phone number. And that

1 would be very useful for settlement purposes, even while the
2 constitutionality argument is going forward.

3 So having plaintiffs provide the list which we think
4 they already have is not discovery, it's just a way of getting
5 everyone who shares an interest in protecting people who under
6 the law as it's currently written deserve to be protected,
7 getting them protected.

8 That's all. Thank you.

9 THE COURT: Any other defense counsel?

10 Yes, sir.

11 MR. TURNER: Good morning, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Good morning.

13 MR. TURNER: Serrin Turner, T-U-R-N-E-R, from Latham
14 & Watkins, representing CoStar defendants, LightBox, Oracle,
15 and PeopleConnect.

16 THE COURT: Which group are you in, the 30 or the 37?
17 If you know.

18 MR. TURNER: I guess it depends on how plaintiffs are
19 counting it, Your Honor, but I would expect that the
20 plaintiffs may be contesting subject matter jurisdiction for
21 our defendants, at least some of them.

22 THE COURT: All right.

23 MR. TURNER: But, Your Honor, I just wanted to come
24 back to the constitutional issue. And first, I think it's
25 worth emphasizing, that is only one defense that defendants --

1 at least our defendants are considering raising. There are
2 important statutory questions, such as the validity of these
3 requests that were made. We think there are serious questions
4 whether they are valid under the statute.

5 And there are statutory exceptions that potentially
6 apply to some defendants. And under the document of
7 constitutional avoidance, I think there should be some concern
8 for jumping to the constitutional issues where the cases could
9 be decided on statutory grounds instead.

10 But on the constitutional issues, I think it's very
11 important -- at least, our defendants to the extent we are
12 considering those defenses, it would be as-applied challenges.
13 There would be challenges that would require a factual record.

14 So, for example, we're considering a due process
15 challenge. But it would be a due process challenge as applied
16 to the facts here.

17 Because what happened here is that, as was previewed
18 earlier, the plaintiffs had blasted defendants very
19 intentionally with 20,000 requests at a time, over a holiday
20 period, trying to make it impossible to comply with the
21 ten-day deadline.

22 (Court reporter clarification.)

23 MR. TURNER: So while the ten-day deadline might be
24 reasonable on its face, that's one question. But there is a
25 separate question whether it is -- whether it satisfies due

1 process here.

2 And I would be concerned about that question, that
3 constitutional question being decided without a factual record
4 about how these requests were made. It's not evident from the
5 complaint. And we would want to do discovery into how they
6 were made, why they were made this way. We would want a full
7 factual record on that issue.

8 And the same with the First Amendment. There is a
9 potential First Amendment challenge here. But I think that
10 question is much better decided on the basis of a factual
11 record, for example, about the nature of each defendant's
12 business that might be at issue. Because you can imagine that
13 there are some businesses, for example, that have no
14 functionality, where they actually make plaintiffs'
15 information or people's information available on the internet.
16 We're just talking about selling to private subscribers.

17 THE COURT: Right.

18 MR. TURNER: And there would be a real --

19 THE COURT: What difference would that make?

20 MR. TURNER: Because, Your Honor, in terms of the
21 interest that's at issue here, which is preventing bad guys
22 from simply finding people's addresses on the internet --

23 THE COURT: Right.

24 MR. TURNER: -- it doesn't really apply to a service
25 that doesn't function that way.

1 So for a statute to prohibit, you know -- or to apply
2 in that circumstance would not be tailored to the governmental
3 interest at stake.

4 It's just one example of the sort of argument that
5 requires a factual record that isn't going to be evident from
6 the plaintiffs' complaint here.

7 So that would be my worry about jumping to litigate
8 constitutional issues on the face of the complaint. The
9 complaint here is very bare bones. And to the extent the
10 defendants want to go beyond the face of the statute to bring
11 constitutional challenges, that's the concern I would have.

12 THE COURT: So how would you deal with it? On each
13 of the cases then you would have to take discovery, and then
14 it would be years before this would be litigated.

15 MR. TURNER: As I think was alluded to earlier, I
16 think all defendants here have an interest in obtaining
17 discovery from the plaintiffs about this Atlas operation, how
18 the requests were made, et cetera.

19 So one possible method of organizing things would be
20 to bifurcate some discovery and allow for that discovery to go
21 forward first, and then we can see where we're at after that
22 discovery ends where things shake out. There may be some
23 cases that settle. There may be some cases that get
24 transferred elsewhere for personal jurisdiction reasons, could
25 be a more limited set of cases to pursue after that where

1 discovery could proceed in the opposite direction.

2 THE COURT: So there would be discovery with respect
3 to all cases then --

4 MR. TURNER: As to the plaintiffs. Right. Discovery
5 directed to the plaintiffs and the -- how the requests were
6 made and the operation of Atlas.

7 THE COURT: How what requests were made, requests to
8 delete?

9 MR. TURNER: The requests to delete, the requests to
10 suppress the information, yes, Your Honor. Because, again --

11 THE COURT: You have -- you have 19,000.

12 MR. TURNER: Uh-huh.

13 THE COURT: So you're going to be asking discovery as
14 to how each of the 19,000 made the request, whether they
15 picked up the telephone, whether they did it by email, whether
16 they sent a letter, whether they walked into the office?

17 MR. TURNER: No. We know more than that, Your Honor.

18 The requests were sent in giant email blasts from
19 Atlas.

20 THE COURT: Okay. You're not talking about how the
21 individual contacted Atlas; you're talking about what Atlas
22 did in contacting the defendants?

23 MR. TURNER: That, but we would want to understand
24 the relationship between Atlas and the covered persons. I
25 think that's an important issue.

1 THE COURT: So you would be -- so if you want to find
2 out, you would have to take discovery on each of the 19,000,
3 wouldn't you?

4 MR. TURNER: That seems unnecessary, Your Honor. I
5 think it's more a question of Atlas's business practices. I
6 don't think it would vary much from covered person to covered
7 person. I don't think it would require 19,000 depositions.

8 THE COURT: All right. Other defense counsel want to
9 be heard?

10 MR. MacDONALD: Good morning.

11 THE COURT: Good morning.

12 MR. MacDONALD: Good morning, Judge. John MacDonald
13 from Constangy, Brooks, Smith & Prophete. I am here on behalf
14 of Restoration and Append. Those are extensions 4324 and
15 4178.

16 One of the 30, I believe.

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 MR. MacDONALD: So, Your Honor, it's just a request.
19 With respect to handling the case prior to constitutional
20 challenge and to avoid having to go there if necessary, there
21 may be -- and I believe there is -- a small subset of
22 defendants who did delete the information within the ten days.
23 Plaintiffs' counsel wouldn't know that, of course. They would
24 have no idea of knowing that.

25 So the question would be, can we have the required

1 meet and confer prior to the constitutional handling in order
2 to sort out that issue, because there may be --

3 THE COURT: So you're saying there may be some
4 defendants who deleted all the information within the ten days
5 and, therefore, were in compliance with the statute?

6 MR. MacDONALD: Yes. And in order to avoid having
7 them have to go through the expense of dealing with the
8 constitutional issue needlessly if they are in compliance as
9 the plaintiffs seek compliance, we would simply ask that there
10 be in your order a meet and confer requirement with the
11 plaintiffs on that topic for that limited group.

12 Thank you, Judge. Unless you have any further
13 questions --

14 THE COURT: No. Thank you.

15 MR. MacDONALD: Thank you, Judge.

16 THE COURT: Good morning.

17 MR. DOMINO: Good morning, Your Honor. My name is
18 Tyler Domino, D-O-M-I-N-O, from Sidley Austin. I represent
19 MyHeritage, Case Number 4392.

20 To begin with the buckets, I don't know if we fit in
21 either of those buckets.

22 We also raised for removal on fraudulent joinder of a
23 defendant ground, and it's unclear from the discussion this
24 morning whether plaintiffs would be challenging that on
25 remand.

1 But we also raised the other two grounds, CAFA and
2 collusion, which they are challenging. But if they don't
3 challenge fraudulent joinder, then we would be in the 30
4 bucket as opposed to the 37.

5 As to your proposal about the constitutional issues,
6 my clients do believe they have a personal jurisdiction
7 argument. And we would be concerned of kind of missing the
8 boat on being able to make those constitutional arguments to
9 you if we had to wait in the background so as to not to waive
10 our personal jurisdiction argument, to be able to make them.

11 And then finally, I agree with Mr. Turner about that
12 they're mostly going to be as-applied challenges that require
13 a lot of fact-finding maybe on individual defendants and
14 mostly on how Atlas's email system worked.

15 THE COURT: Well, I think we could establish some
16 kind of an order where if we teed up one issue, you wouldn't
17 be waiving the other issues. In other words, simply
18 because you -- if we did go forward with a constitutional
19 challenge, you wouldn't be waiving your right to personal
20 jurisdiction, the issue of personal jurisdiction. That may be
21 possible. I mean, obviously you wouldn't have to raise all
22 your defenses at once. I mean, normally you may have to do
23 that under the rules, but we can probably fashion some kind of
24 an order to protect you from that.

25 MR. DOMINO: Okay. If there is a way for Your Honor

1 to do that, we would be interested.

2 THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from Mr. Shaw
3 now.

4 Anybody else from defense counsel wants to speak at
5 this point?

6 Oh, go ahead. I'll let you -- you've already spoken
7 once before, but go ahead.

8 MR. KIMREY: Blaine Kimrey again, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Yes.

10 MR. KIMREY: Just one quick suggestion on this issue
11 of reservation of all other arguments.

12 What the Court could do is perhaps take briefing on
13 the facial challenges and say that as-applied challenges are
14 reserved for the future, but there are facial challenges to
15 the statute that do not require any discovery to decide on.

16 THE COURT: Mr. Shaw.

17 MR. SHAW: Thank you, Your Honor. Adam Shaw for
18 plaintiffs.

19 THE COURT: Yes.

20 MR. SHAW: I think what we're hearing is that there's
21 a lot of common issues. As to the cases in the bucket that
22 are going forward, it seems to me that there should be a
23 process for those parties to make a motion to dismiss to move
24 those cases forward.

25 I don't -- you know, I disagree with the notion that

1 somehow the case should be stayed or that there's not some
2 imminence -- some imminence here. We checked yesterday, and
3 some of these named plaintiffs' information is still out
4 there. We have pictures of maps with targets on -- dots on
5 people's houses. So it's very important to protect this
6 information. This is imminent harm out there.

7 Now, the people --

8 THE COURT: That raises a question.

9 You would be able to supply all defendants relatively
10 quickly, would you not, the names of the individuals whose
11 information you sought to have deleted? You have that
12 electronically, I assume?

13 MR. SHAW: Yes, Your Honor. And we can do that.

14 But do I want to say, I think that's merits
15 discovery. And we're happy to do that. But we have
16 information from them we'd like to get as well.

17 THE COURT: No, I understand.

18 MR. SHAW: But, yes, we can do that.

19 THE COURT: It just seems to me --

20 MR. SHAW: Yes.

21 THE COURT: -- that if the defendants are genuinely
22 interested in complying with Daniel's Law in futuro --

23 MR. SHAW: For sure.

24 THE COURT: -- isn't it in the public interest to
25 give every defendant the information you have concerning the

1 19,000 names that you submitted to them for deletion?

2 MR. SHAW: Absolutely, Your Honor. But I do want to
3 say that I think it's somewhat contrived, because that
4 information has been delivered to them and they have it, you
5 know.

6 THE COURT: When did you do that?

7 MR. SHAW: Each individual covered person sent an
8 email themselves, which the Atlas service has verified has
9 been delivered to these defendants. So they have it
10 somewhere. If they put it in their own spam bucket, if they
11 threw it in the garbage, you know, fine.

12 But I hear Your Honor. We can supply them the names
13 again, no problem.

14 THE COURT: Maybe they did throw it away.

15 MR. SHAW: Right. No problem.

16 THE COURT: But the point is, let's give it to them.

17 MR. SHAW: Sure.

18 THE COURT: How soon could you do that? Aside from
19 all the other issues today.

20 MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, Raj Parikh, also on behalf
21 of the plaintiffs.

22 THE COURT: Spell your name, please, for the record.

23 MR. PARIKH: Yes, Your Honor. It's R-A-J, last name,
24 P-A-R-I-K-H.

25 THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Parikh, go ahead.

1 MR. PARIKH: Thank you, Judge.

2 Just -- yes, I'll come up.

3 Just to kind of move it back one step so I can answer
4 your question directly about providing information to the
5 defendants.

6 THE COURT: Right.

7 MR. PARIKH: So as Mr. Shaw mentioned, each of these
8 individual people sent an email through the Atlas email
9 service. It's their own personal email account, like a Gmail
10 account or Yahoo account --

11 THE COURT: Okay. Right.

12 MR. PARIKH: -- to the defendants.

13 Those individuals choose which defendants they want
14 to send requests to.

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 MR. PARIKH: So Mr. Smith may have sent a request to
17 three defendants, and Ms. Jones may have sent a request to 20
18 defendants.

19 THE COURT: So in other words, what you're saying is
20 that the -- at least -- I haven't read all the complaints.
21 I've looked at --

22 There's a group of 19,000.

23 MR. PARIKH: Correct.

24 THE COURT: So what you're telling me is those 19,000
25 did not send emails to all the defendants that are in these 67

1 cases?

2 MR. PARIKH: Right. So it's a little bit different
3 than that, Your Honor. Let's say there's approximately 20,000
4 total covered people --

5 THE COURT: Right.

6 MR. PARIKH: -- that use Atlas's service.

7 THE COURT: Right.

8 MR. PARIKH: Any subset of those people -- and it
9 usually hovers between 16,000 and 19,000 who have sent
10 requests to these defendants.

11 So each list, each compliance list that Your Honor is
12 asking about, that the defendants are asking for, is
13 different. And so they can be provided, and we have in fact
14 provided them two buckets.

15 First, Your Honor, there are multiple data brokers
16 who receive these requests who reached out and were then
17 provided the lists at the time and aren't defendants in these
18 lawsuits because they complied with the law.

19 There are others here --

20 THE COURT: Okay. Then, for example, we'll take the
21 hypothetical John Doe, a police officer in whatever town in
22 New Jersey.

23 MR. PARIKH: Uh-huh.

24 THE COURT: He wants to delete the information that
25 he has.

1 Now, how does he decide -- I mean, there are 67 cases
2 here, and some of them have multiple defendants. So how does
3 he decide -- let's say 67, for example. 67 defendants. He
4 doesn't even know the names of some of these companies,
5 correct?

6 MR. PARIKH: I think some of the defendant companies,
7 that's correct.

8 THE COURT: Defendant.

9 MR. PARIKH: Correct.

10 THE COURT: How does John Doe, the police officer who
11 wants to get his information deleted, how does he know where
12 to send the emails?

13 MR. PARIKH: Yeah. That's a great question.

14 THE COURT: That's Atlas. Correct?

15 MR. PARIKH: Absolutely. And that is the privacy
16 tools that Atlas provides.

17 THE COURT: I'm John Doe. I know about Atlas. I go
18 to Atlas and say, listen, I want my home address deleted.

19 So what do you do?

20 MR. PARIKH: So Atlas provides through its privacy
21 tools a list, and John Doe is able to go and look at that list
22 and say, okay, I would like my information deleted from
23 information brokers A, B, C and F.

24 THE COURT: Okay. So Atlas has a list of -- what are
25 we talking about? 100? 200? 300? 500?

1 MR. PARIKH: Yeah. I'm not sure of the exact number,
2 Judge, but it's hundreds.

3 THE COURT: It's hundreds. So John Doe is sitting
4 there, a police officer, so he says, okay. I want it deleted
5 from all 500 companies on your list, on Atlas's list.

6 MR. PARIKH: Correct.

7 THE COURT: Does he push one button or does he have
8 to push 500 buttons?

9 MR. PARIKH: So it depends on if they -- it depends
10 on which ones they want to do, but it could be either, Judge.
11 They could push, you know, one or two buttons, or they could
12 push 400 buttons, let's say, if they had a subset.

13 THE COURT: They have to push 400 individual buttons?

14 MR. PARIKH: Most people, I think, Your Honor -- it's
15 checkboxes, you know, to some extent. So you can uncheck
16 sites that you don't want to have information removed from.

17 THE COURT: Well, why wouldn't -- hypothetically, why
18 wouldn't John Doe, if he wants to protect his information, why
19 isn't his information removed from all 500, unless it's going
20 to take him ten hours to do it and individually say A, B, C,
21 and go all the way down the list?

22 MR. PARIKH: Yeah, it's a great question, Judge. And
23 I don't have the answer as to what these individual covered
24 persons decided to do, but we do know the numbers are
25 different and the requests that were put in were different.

1 Some, for example, Your Honor, will ask that their
2 home address be removed but not their phone number. Others
3 will have not just their home address but their home address,
4 they'll also put in information for their spouse, because
5 covered people include family members, children, et cetera.

6 And so I think that also has to do with varying
7 requests. Right? There may be some websites where, you know,
8 they have information on a 13-year-old or a 14-year-old that
9 continues to be published despite a request and other websites
10 that don't have that type of information because it's real --

11 THE COURT: So what you're telling me is Atlas is
12 able to provide to each defendant the names of the individuals
13 who request a deletion?

14 MR. PARIKH: Correct.

15 THE COURT: How many individual defendants do we
16 have? There are 67 cases. There are more, because some of
17 them have multiple defendants.

18 MR. PARIKH: Correct. So with respect to the
19 multiple defendants, Your Honor, most of these are -- you
20 know, it may be a series of websites or a series of
21 information of interrelated companies. So it may be one kind
22 of parent corporate entity that operates five businesses, and
23 that's why you have multiple defendants in one case.

24 THE COURT: Right.

25 MR. PARIKH: But the request may have gone in either

1 to one or two sites because of the way they are structured.

2 THE COURT: How soon would you be able to produce
3 that information to the defendants? I mean, it's going to be
4 different for each defendant, obviously.

5 MR. PARIKH: It will, Judge. I'll confer with our
6 client.

7 I believe that a month may be sufficient time, and we
8 can do it on a rolling basis, Your Honor.

9 There are defendants in this room that have gotten
10 that information already.

11 THE COURT: Well, you don't have to be redundant.

12 MR. PARIKH: Of course. Understood. I think 30 days
13 is an appropriate amount of time to be able to get that done,
14 perhaps sooner.

15 THE COURT: So we say up to 30 days to produce to
16 each defendant -- how do we characterize that? A list of --

17 MR. PARIKH: We would -- I mean, we would just call
18 it a compliance tool, Your Honor.

19 The one thing I would say is that we do need either a
20 protective order entered or a nondisclosure agreement from
21 them given the sensitive nature of the information that's
22 going to be shared and the fact that these covered people
23 don't want that information out there.

24 THE COURT: Well, rather than waste the time on that
25 at the moment, the Court's order could simply say that this

1 information shall not be disclosed except by further order of
2 the Court.

3 I mean, isn't that a way of sort of getting the ball
4 rolling?

5 MR. PARIKH: I believe so, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Because if you wait for protective orders
7 for 67 defendants and everybody is going to be nickel and
8 diming the protective order, and -- you know, I know how that
9 goes.

10 MR. PARIKH: Well, as Your Honor knows, Appendix S in
11 this court's rules is the kind of standard protective order.
12 I think that if we modified it and made sure there was an
13 attorneys' eyes only provision, that's there's -- you know,
14 that's the order that's entered --

15 THE COURT: I could just say that and then if you
16 want to refine it, at least in the beginning, that could
17 possibly be done.

18 MR. PARIKH: Okay. Happy to take a look at that,
19 Judge.

20 THE COURT: And --

21 MR. PARIKH: Judge, the one other thing I wanted
22 to --

23 THE COURT: What about this terms -- there's a
24 document called terms of service.

25 MR. PARIKH: Yeah, the terms of service issue.

1 So, Your Honor --

2 THE COURT: Any reason why that shouldn't be
3 produced? That's just a document that the individual signs,
4 correct? It's like a contract with your company?

5 MR. PARIKH: It is. It is one agreement.

6 THE COURT: It's a standard contract?

7 MR. PARIKH: It is. And a group of defendants
8 requested that under a discovery rule in state court. It was
9 produced to them pursuant to an agreement that a protective
10 order would be entered.

11 They decided, you know, kind of in -- from my
12 perspective, in violation of local Civil Rule 5.3, to include
13 it in their notice of removal papers. The rules are
14 explicitly clear that unless absolutely necessary,
15 confidential documents should not be filed in an opening --

16 THE COURT: What's really confidential about it?
17 You're a police officer or you're a prosecutor, you're a
18 judge, whatever, you want to have a contract with you. It's a
19 standard form. You sign it or you don't.

20 MR. PARIKH: Absolutely.

21 THE COURT: Those individuals could easily go out and
22 make copies of it for their spouses to -- or children to sign.

23 MR. PARIKH: They could, Your Honor.

24 I think there's kind of one main component on that.

25 So if an outsider -- there's, well, actually two main

1 components.

2 If an outsider looks at that terms of service, they
3 may be able to understand better how Atlas's systems work.
4 And obviously Atlas takes its security very carefully and
5 wants to ensure that it's protecting, you know, not only its
6 users but protecting itself from external attacks, et cetera.
7 So that's one reason, Your Honor, that we wanted to ensure it
8 was confidential.

9 The other is that ultimately, you know, it shows the
10 operational flow for Atlas. We have no problem providing that
11 document to defendants. We've provided it to some of them.
12 Obviously we would assert that this gets into merits discovery
13 at the end of the day.

14 THE COURT: Well, I understand. But we want to try
15 to be practical here.

16 MR. PARIKH: Absolutely.

17 THE COURT: Even though we may open the door a little
18 bit doesn't mean we're necessarily going to open it all way.

19 MR. PARIKH: Absolutely.

20 THE COURT: And that's something that could easily be
21 done.

22 MR. PARIKH: Uh-huh.

23 THE COURT: So that I could enter an order requiring
24 the compliance list -- is that how you call it?

25 MR. PARIKH: Sure, yes.

1 THE COURT: -- be supplied to every defendant within
2 30 days. And the terms of service contract I guess it really
3 is, isn't it? Is that what --

4 MR. PARIKH: So I think that the defendants really
5 have a significant misunderstanding about the way this works,
6 Judge, in that, you know, I think that's where their flawed
7 reasoning comes in about the assignments and this issue of
8 needing the assignments before motion practice.

9 The terms of service is a document that governs the
10 usage of the system. So any judge, you know, prosecutor, law
11 enforcement officer that wants to use Atlas's privacy tools
12 agrees to those terms of service as part of their usage of the
13 privacy tools --

14 THE COURT: Well, it seems to me, there's no harm in
15 supplying that. And I would just say that the compilation
16 terms of service is protected from disclosure, except by
17 attorneys, until further order of the Court. And then if you
18 want to refine what you want to do, that can be done.

19 And it just seems to me, you know, in the interests
20 of compliance with the law, and some defendants say they may
21 make a good faith effort to delete information, that should be
22 in your interest too and your clients' interest.

23 MR. PARIKH: It absolutely is, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: Regardless of constitutionality and
25 personal jurisdiction and the other issues we're dealing with.

1 MR. PARIKH: That's absolutely right, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: It's without prejudice. It won't
3 prejudice you or anybody else.

4 MR. PARIKH: And, Judge, you've --

5 THE COURT: And it may obviously prevent further
6 damage. And you won't collect as much if you're successful in
7 the case, but we're talking about the public interest here,
8 assuming the statute --

9 MR. PARIKH: Your Honor -- so Your Honor, Your Honor
10 has read the stories that are real stories of real police
11 officers -- it's not a laughing matter, you know, despite what
12 defense counsel may laugh about.

13 THE COURT: It seems to me that's something we could
14 probably do.

15 MR. PARIKH: Absolutely, Judge. And I think
16 compliance is very important for us.

17 I will say, Judge, just two other points.

18 One is that with respect to -- I think Your Honor is
19 spot on. Each of these defendants, whether they chose to
20 throw the requests away or not, have them all, so they could
21 have compiled the lists. Many of them have.

22 With respect to the comment regarding the
23 constitutional challenge in state court, I just want to be
24 very clear about that.

25 THE COURT: Yes.

1 MR. PARIKH: The ACLU and the plaintiffs in that case
2 are not challenging the entire constitutionality of Daniel's
3 Law. It's the first line of their appellate brief. They are
4 simply challenging in that case whether there should be an
5 exception for the press.

6 That case involves a reporter who wanted
7 information --

8 THE COURT: I see.

9 MR. PARIKH: -- and wanted to report on information
10 regarding a covered person, was advised they couldn't do so.
11 And that case is very narrowly tailored towards a press
12 exception, which --

13 THE COURT: You say it's in the appellate division?

14 MR. PARIKH: It is, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: So it's been decided by a Superior Court
16 judge, I assume?

17 MR. PARIKH: Correct. And the challenge was denied
18 in the Superior Court, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: All right. Let's hear from --

20 MR. PARIKH: I'm going to turn it back over to
21 Mr. Shaw.

22 MR. SHAW: Pardon me, Your Honor. My co-counsel --

23 THE COURT: I just want to -- before we get -- on
24 this issue of the compliance list and the terms, anybody have
25 any disagreement with that?

1 MR. STIO: I want to comment for a second on that.

2 THE COURT: All right.

3 MR. STIO: One, I don't like the name "compliance
4 list," but -- let's call it an assignment list, because I
5 don't want any implications.

6 THE COURT: Look, we're not going to -- look, call it
7 an assignment list. All right?

8 MR. STIO: Thank you.

9 Two --

10 THE COURT: That --

11 MR. STIO: Two, Your Honor, you just can't just give
12 a list of names. That's one of the problems. Right?

13 So I get a name Jim Smith, and I have 60 Jim Smiths.
14 Which one is it? They have to give us personally identifying
15 information, like an email address.

16 THE COURT: Well, sure.

17 MR. PARIKH: The name, address and unpublished phone
18 numbers. Of course.

19 THE COURT: Sure.

20 MR. STIO: The third --

21 THE COURT: Because there's no way the defendant
22 could attempt to delete it if it doesn't have the information
23 that it's supposed to delete.

24 You're right, there are a lot of Jim Smiths and so
25 forth.

1 MR. STIO: Yeah. And then the third thing that I
2 would just ask, because I can't speak on behalf of all the
3 defendants --

4 THE COURT: Right.

5 MR. STIO: -- is enter the order and give defendants
6 five days to send an email to the plaintiffs if they want the
7 list. This way, defendants who have personal jurisdiction
8 arguments or any other arguments --

9 THE COURT: No, no, no, no, no. Don't make life more
10 complicated.

11 MR. STIO: Okay.

12 THE COURT: If they don't want the list, they can
13 throw it away.

14 MR. STIO: Okay. As long as it's without prejudice.

15 THE COURT: This business of --

16 MR. STIO: Thank you.

17 MR. MAO: Sorry, Your Honor. Mark Mao, Boies
18 Schiller Flexner for plaintiffs.

19 THE COURT: Give us your name again.

20 MR. MAO: Mark Mao, M-A-O.

21 THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead.

22 You're with the plaintiffs' firm?

23 MR. MAO: I am, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: Go ahead.

25 MR. MAO: I just want to make clear, for every single

1 defendant who has actually asked for the list, Atlas has
2 absolutely provided that.

3 THE COURT: All right. That's fine.

4 MR. MAO: Right. I just want to make that, because
5 people are -- yeah.

6 THE COURT: Obviously, if it's been already produced,
7 we don't require redundancy. So if defendant X has already
8 received it, you don't have to send it to them. All right?
9 Clearly.

10 All right. Thank you.

11 Yes, Mr. Shaw.

12 MR. SHAW: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. Adam Shaw.

13 So kind of getting back to kind of a schedule and the
14 way it would look --

15 THE COURT: Yeah. We got sidetracked, but I think
16 it's important.

17 MR. SHAW: Yeah.

18 THE COURT: Because it was raised by one of the
19 defense attorneys, and I think it makes sense to get the list
20 out promptly, regardless of what we do with the rest of the
21 cases.

22 MR. SHAW: Right. So there's a bucket of cases that
23 are going forward. I think you heard here that they have some
24 core defenses that they want to raise, and we think that those
25 should be raised and brought to the Court.

1 Now, some of them, it sounds like some of the
2 constitutional issues might be more summary judgment issues,
3 not motions to dismiss, but --

4 THE COURT: Well --

5 MR. SHAW: But the --

6 THE COURT: Some of them are apparently facial
7 challenges, and according to counsel, others will be
8 as-applied.

9 MR. SHAW: Right.

10 THE COURT: Now, do we want to separate that out? I
11 mean, because if you're talking about as-applied, you're going
12 to have to get into discovery, are we not?

13 MR. SHAW: That's why I think it's not a motion to
14 dismiss, I think it's something a little bit more than that.

15 But I think there's a track that could go forward
16 that doesn't have that involved with it. And I think that the
17 track that is on the remand -- potential remand path should
18 not hold up the rest of the motions that, you know, can take
19 place in this court and move the case forward.

20 THE COURT: Right.

21 MR. SHAW: Those people may have to either join in or
22 file some other kind of motion later on if they're even here.

23 But to utilize -- to hold everybody else up doesn't
24 seem to make sense.

25 THE COURT: Maybe we could -- in other words, if

1 you're going to move to remand a certain number of cases --

2 MR. SHAW: Yes, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: And how soon would you be doing that?

4 MR. SHAW: Your Honor, if we could have --

5 THE COURT: I mean, you've got 37 cases, so you
6 obviously have done some thinking about this.

7 MR. SHAW: We've done some thinking. And, in fact, a
8 lot of their briefs are exactly the same. You know, they're
9 almost exactly the same. So if we can make one kind of
10 omnibus brief and direct it to particular cases where
11 necessary, we can do that probably in 21 days.

12 Some of those were due, by the way, as counsel
13 pointed out. Hopefully Your Honor will give us some
14 dispensation for --

15 THE COURT: I did stay everything.

16 MR. SHAW: Right. So three weeks.

17 THE COURT: So -- and obviously the stay runs out
18 today, but we're not going to require people to file anything
19 tomorrow, so we'll work that out. Everybody relax.

20 Now, if we -- you file the motion to remand, and
21 either -- however you did it, you'd have the caption of the 37
22 cases on the motion, and then the brief would -- to the extent
23 you have to be -- to individualize it, you discuss it in the
24 brief.

25 MR. SHAW: Yes. And I think we could do that in a

1 very organized way for Your Honor so you would be able to see
2 it.

3 THE COURT: And then we would give the defendants an
4 opportunity to respond. And then a reply brief.

5 And once that's all done, I'm again thinking out
6 loud, maybe at that point we stay those cases, because we have
7 the -- and if we took the 30 cases and dealt with the facial
8 challenge to a statute and deal with that, whoever wanted to
9 file a facial challenge would do it within a certain number of
10 days. Those who don't want to challenge it and have other
11 defenses and so forth can go ahead and file an answer with all
12 the other defenses, you know, challenge the applicability, the
13 constitutional applicability, personal jurisdiction, whatever
14 other defenses you might want to include, and we -- so we get
15 at least the facial issue teed up.

16 Because if you're talking about as-applied, it's
17 going to be different as to every defendant, and we're going
18 to get bogged down.

19 But the facial challenge would be the same. People
20 may have different ways of writing their motions, but the
21 issue would be there. I mean, you might cite different cases,
22 and you may -- some people may be more ingenious than others
23 and have different arguments, but it would be teed up.

24 MR. SHAW: Your Honor, I commend you for that.

25 That's -- I think we could even be more industrious

1 than that. I think there's more issues on a motion to dismiss
2 that are common that those people that are in the bucket that
3 are staying here can make and they should be asked to make.

4 THE COURT: What are the other ones that you --

5 MR. SHAW: Arbitration.

6 THE COURT: You shouldn't be speaking --

7 (Court reporter clarification.)

8 THE COURT: Go ahead.

9 MR. SHAW: I think there's some common ones, as
10 you've heard here today: Arbitration. I think some people
11 would want to challenge some definitions under the statute,
12 what does it mean to get notice, who is a covered person. If
13 they're going to move to dismiss on those grounds, those are
14 common.

15 THE COURT: I don't think arbitration necessarily is
16 common, because I don't know whether that would be a common
17 issue or not, because arbitration agreements are written
18 differently.

19 Who is the arbitration agreement between, whom and
20 whom?

21 MR. SHAW: I don't know. It sounds pretty --

22 THE COURT: We'll ask defense counsel.

23 MR. SHAW: But I do think that there's other common
24 motion -- other common defenses that should be raised and
25 should not be held hostage by the people who are --

1 THE COURT: Let's just take the facial challenge of
2 the statute.

3 MR. SHAW: Yes.

4 THE COURT: That is going to be -- it's going to be a
5 common issue to every defendant except those defendants who
6 have removed all the names. And there may be some. Maybe you
7 made an error in suing some of these because they've complied.
8 But we're talking about those that haven't. That issue, it
9 seems to me, would be common.

10 MR. SHAW: I think we have that one. And I think
11 anything else that's just a standard motion to dismiss under
12 the statute, saying -- some basis where they're saying the
13 allegations in the complaint don't state a claim under the
14 statute --

15 THE COURT: No. Because then it's going to be
16 different. It may be different for each --

17 MR. SHAW: Definition under the statute of what does
18 it mean to get notice, what does it mean to deliver, what does
19 it mean to disclose. Maybe they're saying we didn't meet
20 that.

21 We can hear what their suggestions are.

22 THE COURT: Yeah.

23 MR. SHAW: I guess what I'm suggesting is if there
24 are common defenses, I would ask that those also be made in
25 the motion.

1 THE COURT: Well, assuming there are any.

2 MR. SHAW: If there are any.

3 I also just want to say, Your Honor, I also think
4 there should be a separate bucket. As you've heard here,
5 we've been in discussions with some of the defendants about
6 potential settlement. And we'd like to continue that, that
7 effort, so those cases regardless of their posture should --

8 THE COURT: Well, settlement is always in --

9 MR. SHAW: Exactly. But that goes to a stay.

10 THE COURT: In any case. I'm not going to stay a
11 case -- these cases now with the thought that everybody is
12 going to settle them and we're all going to go home happy.

13 MR. SHAW: Right. Now, the cases that are -- that go
14 in the bucket that are going to make a motion to dismiss at
15 least with regard to a facial challenge, we don't think there
16 should be a discovery stay as to those. We can -- you know,
17 we're going to give them some information, and we'd like to
18 get some information from them as well.

19 THE COURT: I don't know if there's any need for
20 discovery if there's going to be a facial challenge. We know
21 what the statute says. We know what's alleged in the
22 complaint. I have to throw it out. I don't know what
23 discovery there would be that would be needed.

24 MR. SHAW: I'm just saying that the cases can move
25 forward if there's basic discovery that goes forward. We

1 don't have to have a general stay of the, you know, meet and
2 confer and exchanging of information.

3 THE COURT: If the statute is unconstitutional,
4 then -- on its face, that's the end of the ball game, isn't
5 it?

6 MR. SHAW: It is. But I think there might be some
7 low-hanging fruit that the parties can continue to do some
8 work on that would be efficient, but...

9 THE COURT: Well, you're going to have -- or that is
10 the defendants are going to have the names of everyone who
11 requested redaction. We just talked about that.

12 MR. SHAW: Correct.

13 THE COURT: And if those defendants decide that they
14 are going to redact the information, fine. I mean, that's --
15 nobody -- you're going to be happy, right?

16 MR. SHAW: Correct. We're talking about people who
17 we believe did not fully delete the information.

18 (Court reporter clarification.)

19 THE COURT: That doesn't mean they're not going to be
20 defendants, because they still have violated the statute under
21 your theory.

22 MR. SHAW: Right.

23 THE COURT: So maybe they've gotten religion late in
24 the game, but you still have that period before they decided
25 to redact.

1 MR. SHAW: Correct, Your Honor. And that's what
2 we --

3 THE COURT: Your theory is you would still be
4 entitled to damages.

5 MR. SHAW: Correct. And there's no basis to stay
6 that, especially if there's some basic discovery that we could
7 move those cases forward, which I think would be efficient for
8 the Court, the cases that are on that track.

9 THE COURT: And then if we just dealt with the facial
10 issue, I'm not saying that's going to -- if the statute is
11 unconstitutional, we all go home. That's the end of it. If
12 it's constitutional, then we have a slew of other issues.
13 Constitutional on its face.

14 Then we have as-applied, we have the issue of whether
15 there was compliance, whether it was -- the way you sought
16 redaction, whether that was reasonable under the statute, and
17 whether the ten days is absolutely mandatory, no flexibility,
18 or if you're, you know, giving them the shotgun approach here
19 with thousands of names, is it reasonable to expect the
20 company, that the system wouldn't crash and that they
21 shouldn't be given more leeway.

22 And these are all complicated issues for down the
23 road.

24 MR. SHAW: Correct. And I'm suggesting that we
25 can -- we can work on some discovery towards those things that

1 wouldn't be burdensome to the parties and that that way, once
2 the decision is made as to the facial -- if we -- if it's
3 unconstitutional and we wrap up the tent and go home, then
4 that happens.

5 But if we don't, we wouldn't have wasted -- you know,
6 we wouldn't have dissipated all of that time, you know,
7 however long it takes to get to the finding that it is
8 constitutional.

9 THE COURT: But that is not different than any other
10 case. Somebody files a motion to dismiss --

11 MR. SHAW: Correct. And sometimes there's a stay,
12 sometimes there's not.

13 THE COURT: Normally -- forget the constitutionality,
14 just a motion to dismiss, failure to state a claim under
15 Rule 12(b)(6).

16 MR. SHAW: Right.

17 THE COURT: Now, the normal procedure is for the
18 Court to decide that issue, a run-of-the-mill case, before
19 allowing discovery to go forward. Isn't that generally the
20 procedure? I don't remember a case where I would say, okay,
21 the parties can go forward with all the discovery.

22 MR. SHAW: Well, it's not all the discovery. I've
23 seen in practice where, you know, when there's complex cases
24 like this in the sense of a lot of parties --

25 THE COURT: Right.

1 MR. SHAW: -- and you know it's going to take a while
2 once you get -- if the case stays to get through discovery --

3 THE COURT: Right.

4 MR. SHAW: -- that you try to do some of the things
5 that are fairly easy to do up front and not have a stay of
6 that.

7 THE COURT: What are you thinking?

8 MR. SHAW: Well, they have some basic information
9 about their businesses, you know, basic information about what
10 they did with the information when they got it and what they
11 did to comply. It's some basic internal contract-type
12 documents that they would have, I would think.

13 We're not talking about, you know, extensive
14 depositions or things. We're just talking about some -- the
15 kinds of things that you, you know, talk about in
16 Rule 26(a)(1), you know, basic documents in the case, basic
17 information about their setups.

18 So we would propose that. So we would propose a
19 bucket of people who are here in this court, want to make a
20 motion on the facial constitutional grounds, a separate bucket
21 of remand track, and then to the extent people that are in
22 either of those, also allow for meet and confers and other
23 kind of settlement process.

24 THE COURT: Well, why don't we take about a 15-minute
25 recess now and we will return. Thank you.

1 MR. SHAW: Thank you, Your Honor.

2 THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.

3 (Recess at 11:16 a.m. until 11:29 a.m.)

4 THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.

5 THE COURT: Have a seat.

6 All right. Any defense counsel want to speak to what
7 Mr. Shaw was just saying before we recessed?

8 Yes?

9 MR. KIMREY: Your Honor, may I?

10 THE COURT: You may.

11 MR. KIMREY: The issue of arbitration came up.

12 THE COURT: Yes.

13 MR. KIMREY: And you asked who would be subject to
14 arbitration and why.

15 THE COURT: Right.

16 MR. KIMREY: Would you like me to explain that?

17 THE COURT: Yeah, I would. Thank you.

18 MR. KIMREY: So some of the defendants -- and I don't
19 know all of the defendants for whom this applies or for which
20 this applies, but some defendants have terms and conditions on
21 their websites that say that users of the websites and
22 subscribers to the services that are offered through the
23 websites subject themselves to the terms and conditions. Some
24 of that is browsewrap, some of that is clickwrap.

25 So we want to make sure that in proceeding with the

1 facial challenge constitutionally under the First Amendment
2 and the New Jersey Free Speech Clause, that that arbitrability
3 issue won't be waived.

4 I'm concerned that getting into arbitrability now
5 could be a morass that the Court could avoid by potentially --
6 I know you haven't decided yet, Your Honor --

7 THE COURT: Yeah.

8 MR. KIMREY: -- but by potentially declaring the
9 statute unconstitutional.

10 And I just want it to be clear on the record and from
11 Your Honor that in proceeding with this constitutional
12 challenge facially, not as-applied --

13 THE COURT: Right.

14 MR. KIMREY: -- all other elemental challenges and
15 defenses are preserved, including, but not limited to,
16 personal jurisdiction and arbitrability.

17 THE COURT: All right. Because I -- so the
18 arbitration agreement is between your company and the police
19 officer who is seeking to delete the information; is that
20 correct?

21 MR. KIMREY: Bingo. Bingo. So each and every one of
22 these --

23 THE COURT: So he's assumed to have agreed or he's
24 deemed to have agreed to the arbitration clause if he seeks to
25 have the information deleted?

1 MR. KIMREY: No, Your Honor. So, many -- for
2 instance, Whitepages. Many people use Whitepages. There is a
3 group of potentially 19,000, 20,000 individuals as assignors
4 who may be users of Whitepages, subscribers of Whitepages.
5 These are law enforcement officers largely. We also have
6 judges and prosecutors.

7 THE COURT: Right.

8 MR. KIMREY: These are people who investigate things,
9 and they may use Whitepages to investigate those things, and
10 they may have opted in to the terms and conditions, which not
11 only provide for arbitrability but also provide for waiver of
12 class actions, mass actions, et cetera.

13 THE COURT: Right.

14 MR. KIMREY: But that would entail potentially
15 discovery as to 19,000 people, which we can avoid if --

16 THE COURT: Well, let's assume I'm a police officer
17 in a town in New Jersey, and I'm worried about my home address
18 and phone number being available to the public. So I engage
19 Atlas, and I want them -- I want to delete information.

20 So I understand your client, one of the defendants,
21 has personal information about me. So I click a button or do
22 whatever you need to do electronically to advise your company
23 to delete it.

24 Now, have I as a police officer in seeking to have
25 your company delete the information agreed to arbitrate any

1 dispute I may have with your company with respect to deleting
2 the information?

3 MR. KIMREY: If those are the only facts, Your
4 Honor --

5 THE COURT: Right.

6 MR. KIMREY: -- the hypothetical is constrained just
7 as you've described it --

8 THE COURT: Right.

9 MR. KIMREY: -- perhaps not. But I say that without
10 waiver.

11 THE COURT: Right.

12 MR. KIMREY: However, what we found --

13 THE COURT: Nobody is being bound by anything.

14 MR. KIMREY: -- is that people do more than that. So
15 what we find is that officer not only facilitated the
16 suppression request through whatever apparatus Atlas has --

17 THE COURT: Right.

18 MR. KIMREY: -- but also was a regular user of the
19 Whitepages --

20 THE COURT: To get other people's information --

21 MR. KIMREY: Right.

22 THE COURT: -- addresses and phone numbers.

23 MR. KIMREY: Right. You know, ex-wives, you know,
24 whatever. So there are a lot of people who use Whitepages.

25 THE COURT: Okay.

1 MR. KIMREY: So I just want to be clear, Your Honor,
2 that that arbitrability issue isn't waived and that the
3 personal jurisdiction issue isn't waived as we litigate the
4 remand issues and as we litigate the facial constitutional
5 challenge.

6 THE COURT: Well, if -- again, speaking
7 hypothetically for the moment -- I decided I wanted to go
8 forward with the facial challenge and we give those defendants
9 who sought to challenge the statute facially a certain amount
10 of time to file their motion to dismiss and would say that in
11 filing the motion on facial challenges, the parties are not
12 deemed to have waived any other defense that they may have to
13 this lawsuit, I wouldn't go into the details, personal
14 jurisdiction, arbitrability, whatever else there may be. It
15 seems to me that would protect -- even say, you know, the
16 issue of constitutionality -- any other issue. And that
17 would, it seems to me, protect -- and then anyone who is not
18 interested in doing it would have to file an answer within a
19 certain --

20 In other words, you have a choice: Motion to dismiss
21 regarding facial challenge with provision of the order, my
22 order, that no other -- any other defense would not be waived
23 by raising it at this time.

24 Any defendant who does not seek to challenge the
25 facial -- constitutionality facially would file an answer in a

1 certain period of time. And in that answer you raise whatever
2 defenses you want to raise.

3 MR. KIMREY: That wouldn't work, Your Honor, I don't
4 believe, for several reasons.

5 THE COURT: Why not?

6 MR. KIMREY: First of all, let's go back to
7 arbitrability.

8 Typically courts find that if you don't move on
9 arbitrability early in a case, you just assert it in an
10 answer --

11 THE COURT: Then what we would do is we would say in
12 the order, all defenses -- and I would specifically reference
13 arbitrability, because -- I would specifically mention that
14 you're not waiving the issue of arbitrability, because I agree
15 with you, if you don't raise it early, you're out of the box
16 often. But if we put that in the order, that should protect
17 you.

18 MR. KIMREY: So in other words, what you're saying,
19 Your Honor, is, you answer but you can also still move based
20 on arbitrability when you answer. Or you can also still move
21 on personal jurisdiction.

22 THE COURT: Well, let's assume you file an answer.
23 You don't file a Rule 12(b)(6) motion in an ordinary case.
24 And you say as one of your affirmative defenses, failure to
25 state a claim upon which relief can be granted, or you raise

1 personal jurisdiction, or you raise statute of limitations,
2 all kinds of issues that can be raised by affirmative
3 defenses.

4 Can't that later be litigated if you don't -- in
5 other words, I think there is a provision in the Federal
6 Rules, if you raise one defense by Rule 12(b)(6), you've got
7 to raise them all, you can't cherry pick.

8 But if I had an order which gave -- permitted it,
9 that would protect you, wouldn't it?

10 MR. KIMREY: Well, Your Honor, I think you can issue
11 an order saying, we'll take briefing on the facial
12 constitutional challenge --

13 THE COURT: Right.

14 MR. KIMREY: -- and all other defenses are preserved.

15 But I think that assuming -- let's say the Court
16 finds that the statute is facially constitutional.

17 THE COURT: Right.

18 MR. KIMREY: And let's say the Court certifies it
19 under 28 U.S.C. 1292 for decision by the circuit.

20 THE COURT: Right, right.

21 MR. KIMREY: And let's say the circuit agrees with
22 Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Right.

24 MR. KIMREY: And then there's a petition for cert to
25 the US Supreme Court.

1 THE COURT: Right.

2 MR. KIMREY: And let's say that's denied.

3 THE COURT: Right.

4 MR. KIMREY: And it comes back down.

5 I think a lot of defendants are going to want to then
6 file either motions to dismiss or perhaps more appropriately
7 termed motions for judgment on the pleadings related to issues
8 beyond the facial constitutional challenge, such as personal
9 jurisdiction --

10 THE COURT: Right.

11 MR. KIMREY: -- perhaps improper joinder --

12 THE COURT: Right.

13 MR. KIMREY: -- perhaps Twombly/Iqbal arguments
14 about, you know, plausibility of pleading. And they won't
15 feel comfortable with just asserting those things in their
16 answer --

17 THE COURT: Right.

18 MR. KIMREY: -- because they'll want to move on those
19 things because they'll be interested in having those issues
20 decided at the --

21 THE COURT: So what we could say, then, and what
22 you're suggesting is we go forward with the facial challenge
23 and that any party that makes a facial challenge that can --
24 it's without prejudice to raising any other defense. And I
25 might even say including arbitrability, once the

1 constitutional issue has been resolved or until further
2 order of the Court.

3 MR. KIMREY: Yes.

4 THE COURT: We can do it that way.

5 MR. KIMREY: Exactly, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: So you wouldn't have to file an answer.
7 Everything would just be held in abeyance until that issue is
8 decided.

9 MR. KIMREY: Sure. And then, you know, if it goes
10 all the way up to the Supreme Court, and the Supreme Court
11 doesn't grant cert or affirms the --

12 THE COURT: Then we're back to square one, and we'll
13 be back here talking about what we do next.

14 MR. KIMREY: But the facial challenge would be
15 resolved, which is kind of the elephant in the room. I think
16 that's why you raised it.

17 THE COURT: Yeah, yeah.

18 MR. KIMREY: And we can move on to the other issues
19 that --

20 THE COURT: And that wouldn't prevent challenges to
21 the constitutionality of the statute as applied. It wouldn't
22 prevent --

23 MR. KIMREY: -- by discovery.

24 THE COURT: -- arbitration, personal jurisdiction,
25 whatever else anybody can think of they would want to raise.

1 MR. KIMREY: Right. And they --

2 THE COURT: And also -- and obviously you'd have --
3 maybe have some statutory defenses too.

4 MR. KIMREY: Sure.

5 THE COURT: But that would depend on the discovery
6 and that kind of thing.

7 MR. KIMREY: Yes.

8 THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Shaw?

9 MR. SHAW: Your Honor, the issue I have -- the issue
10 we have with that is that adds potentially a year of nothing
11 taking place and then a second round of motions.

12 Some of these cases that are in the buckets where
13 they're here, it's effectively a stay which could be up to a
14 year in this case.

15 MR. KIMREY: Your Honor, it's not because of the
16 information you may require them to produce, which is the
17 names and the PII, the personally identifiable information.

18 THE COURT: That they're going to do. We're going to
19 put that in an order.

20 MR. KIMREY: So that we're going to get the relief --
21 I mean, I don't think anybody here is wanting to, despite
22 Daniel's Law, publish the addresses and phone numbers of New
23 Jersey judges, police officers and prosecutors. I think we
24 all collect -- again, I just represent two of the defendants.

25 THE COURT: Right.

1 MR. KIMREY: I speak for my defendants and I guess I
2 speculate as to the others, it's informed speculation, we all
3 want to suppress that information.

4 So the harm, the alleged harm that's occurring, is
5 going to be addressed right away with this production that you
6 may order, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Right.

8 MR. KIMREY: And on the assignments, I just want to
9 make a point that was mentioned to me during the break.

10 My understanding is that the terms and conditions
11 that Atlas has are not uniformly the assignment agreements
12 from the assignors. So we ask in your order, Your Honor, that
13 you not only order that those terms and conditions be
14 produced, but if there are separate assignment agreements that
15 are beyond those terms and conditions, that those be produced
16 as well.

17 THE COURT: Wouldn't it all be the same? I mean,
18 wouldn't that be a part of --

19 MR. KIMREY: I don't know.

20 THE COURT: I don't know.

21 MR. KIMREY: Somebody told me this, that --

22 THE COURT: So you mean that after they sought the
23 information to be deleted and prior to the filing of this
24 lawsuit, there were assignments?

25 MR. KIMREY: Right. So somehow all of these police

1 officers and perhaps judges and law enforcement officials --

2 THE COURT: Right.

3 MR. KIMREY: -- assigned their rights under Daniel's
4 Law to Atlas to prosecute -- to pursue and prosecute on their
5 behalves.

6 Atlas has suggested that those assignments are
7 reflected in their standard terms and conditions. So in other
8 words, it sounds to me like Atlas is suggesting that there is
9 a uniform assignment agreement that all of the assignors sign.

10 THE COURT: I see. Which is part of the terms and
11 conditions --

12 MR. KIMREY: Right.

13 THE COURT: Which is part of the terms --

14 MR. KIMREY: I've been told that that is not the
15 case, that there are actually different forms of assignment
16 that may be in -- and you would know, obviously.

17 THE COURT: Mr. Shaw, maybe you can --

18 MR. SHAW: Yes, Your Honor. Adam Shaw. I can clear
19 it up.

20 There is a form of assignment that's different than
21 the terms of conditions. And we can also give them an
22 exemplar of that. They're all --

23 THE COURT: All right. Well, we'll include that too.
24 It will be the assignment form -- is that what it's called?

25 MR. PARIKH: Your Honor -- I apologize.

1 THE COURT: State your name, please.

2 MR. PARIKH: Yes. Rajiv Parikh.

3 Judge, so the process -- you know, this is what I
4 alluded to earlier. Happy to just kind of make it very clear
5 for everyone.

6 Your Honor is 100 percent correct. Once a request
7 was put in and the defendants violated the timeline, then
8 prior to filing of the lawsuit, an assignment was entered into
9 between Atlas and the covered person who was assigning their
10 claims.

11 There are, you know, let's say --

12 THE COURT: 19,000.

13 MR. PARIKH: -- 19,000 per defendant, Judge.

14 So if they want an example or want a couple of them,
15 we're happy to provide those to them.

16 But what we're really talking about here is the
17 defendants trying to get into merits discovery early on in the
18 case.

19 And what I will say, just on one point, not to
20 besmirch any counsel here, multiple counsel have come up here
21 and said they want to comply with Daniel's Law, but as I said
22 earlier, as we stand here today, there is a named plaintiff
23 who all of them know the name of whose information still
24 exists when you go online onto multiple of these defendants'
25 websites, so --

1 THE COURT: I'm not going to require all of the
2 assignments, because -- at this point because that I don't
3 think is relevant to the facial challenge to the statute,
4 because you do have individual plaintiffs here.

5 MR. KIMREY: Your Honor, it's relevant -- I agree,
6 Your Honor, it's not relevant to the facial challenges, but
7 it's relevant to -- and I'm speaking in a vacuum because I
8 haven't seen a motion to remand yet.

9 THE COURT: Right.

10 MR. KIMREY: But it could be relevant to the motion
11 to remand.

12 For instance, we have argued that Atlas's Delaware
13 registration should be disregarded for purposes of traditional
14 *Strawbridge v. Curtiss* diversity, because it's not the real
15 party-in-interest in the case. The assignors are the real
16 parties-in-interest, the actual named plaintiffs. And there
17 is case law and there is a trend in the US recognizing this
18 more and more.

19 THE COURT: Right.

20 MR. KIMREY: *Wright & Miller* addresses this trend, in
21 fact, Your Honor --

22 THE COURT: Right.

23 MR. KIMREY: -- saying that if the assignee is not
24 really the real party-in-interest -- and the term of art is
25 "collusion."

1 THE COURT: Right.

2 MR. KIMREY: But it's not collusion as you think of
3 collusion. That sounds worse than the actual concept.

4 THE COURT: Right.

5 MR. KIMREY: But if it's a collusive issue, then you
6 can disregard that Delaware citizenship, which matters to us,
7 Your Honor, because Whitepages and Hiya are both registered in
8 the state of Delaware as well. So we've argued that you
9 disregard that citizenship.

10 These assignments are material because some of the
11 case law suggests that there are many factors that go into
12 whether you disregard the citizenship of the assignee. One of
13 those factors -- and it's not a necessary factor, but it's a
14 factor that courts have considered -- is whether the
15 assignments are complete or partial, the theory being that if
16 the assignor holds on to an interest in what is being
17 assigned, then that diminishes the significance of the
18 citizenship of the assignee. Because those people, those
19 assignors, those police officers, judges and prosecutors,
20 still have an interest.

21 Politico ran -- was it Politico? Maybe it wasn't
22 Politico. There was an article that ran recently about this
23 litigation in the press. And it said -- I don't know how they
24 obtained this, but it said that plaintiffs' counsel is
25 operating under I think a third contingency, a third

1 contingency fee with respect to this case.

2 If that is the case, then -- and that would be
3 reflected in those assignments, right, perhaps, then that goes
4 to the issue of remand, because it shows that the assignors
5 maintain an interest in, you know, the corpus of the case, so
6 to speak.

7 So that's why the assignments are not material -- I
8 agree, subject to what everybody else here has to say --

9 THE COURT: Right.

10 MR. KIMREY: -- they're not material to the facial
11 challenge under the Constitution.

12 THE COURT: I understand.

13 MR. KIMREY: But they may be material to the remand
14 issue, depending on what they argue in their motion to remand.

15 THE COURT: Well, why don't we this. In terms of the
16 remand, we set a date for the remand motions. Then everybody
17 will have an opportunity to look at them. And we'll have a
18 status conference on that subject so you can then -- those who
19 are subject to the motion to remand, we can discuss whether or
20 not you should be entitled to discovery related to the
21 remands.

22 MR. KIMREY: Yes, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: And depending on how that works out, you
24 get discovery, then you'll be permitted certain discovery and
25 then you'll file your brief in opposition thereafter.

1 So I think we can deal with that. We can't deal with
2 it today, because we don't know what the remand motion is
3 going to say.

4 MR. KIMREY: Right, right.

5 THE COURT: So, now --

6 MR. SHAW: Pardon me, Your Honor. Adam Shaw.

7 Just on that score, if we could avoid that step, we
8 can give them an exemplar of the assignment and they could use
9 that to the extent that they need to use it. So that way we
10 don't have to make the motion and then have a separate
11 conference, because our concern is to move it forward.

12 MR. KIMREY: I appreciate that.

13 May I address counsel?

14 THE COURT: Sure.

15 MR. KIMREY: Is the assignment the same across all of
16 the --

17 MR. SHAW: We'll give you exemplars of -- so that you
18 have the field covered.

19 MR. PARIKH: They won't be the same, because clearly
20 it will be a different name of a person on there. But if what
21 you're asking is for the form of the assignment, then we're
22 happy to produce that.

23 MR. KIMREY: To the extent there are different forms,
24 you'll produce of an exemplar of each of the different forms?

25 MR. SHAW: Yes.

1 MR. STIO: Your Honor?

2 THE COURT: Go ahead.

3 MR. STIO: Angelo Stio.

4 I think to move this along, and I think what I'm
5 hearing is facial challenge, they will give the list of names
6 that will help reduce prejudice, exemplars of the assignment,
7 but why are we going to go down the road of remand? Let's
8 stay everything, do the facial challenge. The Court and the
9 court's clerk focuses on that issue, gets a decision, before
10 we go down all these rabbit holes.

11 THE COURT: I think we need to have the motions for
12 remand filed. They can be done. That doesn't mean we're
13 going to decide them. But I think that's an important step.

14 MR. STIO: I'm fine with that.

15 THE COURT: The USC says -- so everybody knows what
16 they're talking about. I think there's -- that we should do.
17 We should get those filed. And the question then is whether
18 we should have responses to the motions to remand.

19 I won't necessarily decide it, but shouldn't we get
20 it ready to go?

21 MR. STIO: What I'm saying is, Your Honor, is that --
22 I am happy with your approach of they file the motion to
23 remand, we look at it, we have a case management conference to
24 see if there's discovery.

25 But what I didn't want is to go down rabbit holes

1 with the discovery on a motion for remand when the focus
2 should be on the facial challenge, because that's the silver
3 bullet.

4 THE COURT: I understand. I'm thinking, though, how
5 much discovery would you think would be contemplated on a
6 motion to remand?

7 MR. STIO: I don't know.

8 THE COURT: And obviously, you haven't seen it.

9 MR. STIO: Yeah.

10 THE COURT: But would it be extensive, do you think?

11 MR. STIO: I don't think it would be extensive. But
12 I like -- I'm fine with the approach of they file it, we look
13 at it, we have a case management conference.

14 THE COURT: Let's do it that way. That's what we'll
15 do.

16 MR. STIO: Thank you, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: We'll have the motion to remand, then
18 we'll see where we are.

19 How soon -- I hope we can get these motions to remand
20 filed fairly --

21 MR. SHAW: I think 21 days. Is that fair, Your
22 Honor?

23 THE COURT: What date?

24 MR. SHAW: What date do we have for that?

25 MR. PARIKH: We can do May 15th, Your Honor. Does

1 that make sense? That's a couple weeks away.

2 MR. SHAW: May 15th?

3 THE COURT: Well, I have a criminal trial that starts
4 the 10th of May, which is a Friday, and it may go the
5 following week, and I'm going to be away around Memorial Day.

6 I'm wondering if we can't -- whether we -- I know you
7 have 37 of them, so --

8 MR. SHAW: Yeah.

9 THE COURT: Some are going to be different, aren't
10 they.

11 MR. SHAW: Slightly different, but I believe they're
12 mostly the same.

13 THE COURT: Do what?

14 MR. SHAW: They're mostly the same from what we've
15 seen so far.

16 THE COURT: Well --

17 MR. SHAW: Are you asking to have it before your
18 criminal trial, Your Honor?

19 THE COURT: Yes. I'm just wondering whether we can
20 do it --

21 MR. SHAW: That's the 10th?

22 THE COURT: Yeah.

23 How about, we could do it by -- how about the 6th is
24 Monday. How about the Friday before that, the 3rd of May? Is
25 that doable? May 3rd --

1 MR. SHAW: Okay, Your Honor. We'll endeavor to do
2 that.

3 THE COURT: -- motions for remand should be filed.

4 And then I was hoping maybe that week to have another
5 status conference.

6 Maybe we can do it on the 7th of May. And there may
7 be some other things we talk about. We'll say 10:00 on the
8 7th of May we'll gather again. And we can talk about whether
9 the remand -- or the briefing should occur on the remand
10 motion, whether there will be discovery or whether it will be
11 stayed until we deal with --

12 Now, on the facial challenge, thinking about a day
13 that we would -- maybe on the -- the parties can get together
14 and discuss a method for dealing with the facial challenge.

15 Now, we have 30 cases where we may have motions -- in
16 other words, we'd order a motion to dismiss based on a facial
17 challenge to be filed by a certain date. The Court would then
18 say, all other defenses would be stayed, without prejudice and
19 all the rest of it, until further order of the Court. And
20 then we'd set a briefing schedule and so forth.

21 Now, the question becomes, we have 30 briefs. Now,
22 maybe the parties can get together and talk about that subject
23 as to how that's going to work out.

24 Also, we have the 37 defendants who are going to be
25 subject to your remand motions. And they're also going to be

1 interested in the issue of the facial challenge. And maybe
2 what we could do is allow them to -- I don't know, maybe if
3 they want to file amicus briefs so they can get their views
4 heard without waiving -- because your claim is we don't even
5 have jurisdiction over them.

6 MR. SHAW: Correct.

7 THE COURT: So they would be arguing something in a
8 case where you say there's no subject matter -- they say there
9 is, you say there isn't.

10 MR. SHAW: Right.

11 THE COURT: But to decide all 37 of those cases
12 before -- assuming you lost it, then they would have a right
13 to brief it. And I don't want to delay it for long. I want
14 to get to the facial challenge but give them the right also to
15 have their say if they wish to do so. Because even though it
16 may not be collateral estoppel or res judicata, I mean, we
17 have to be practical about it.

18 MR. SHAW: You're right, Your Honor. But if I could
19 take a step back to the bigger picture --

20 THE COURT: You may.

21 MR. SHAW: And, excuse me, it's Adam Shaw.

22 We're building in to that process a second round of
23 motions to dismiss.

24 THE COURT: We really are, because --

25 MR. SHAW: You're necessarily building it in. And

1 that's -- and our concern is that that is a massive delay in
2 our ability to prosecute the cases.

3 THE COURT: How do you suggest I deal with the
4 defenses in 67 cases?

5 MR. SHAW: The same way that we're making motions
6 that you're going to potentially put on --

7 THE COURT: How long do you think it's going to take
8 me to decide 67 -- multiple motions, arbitration --

9 MR. SHAW: Perhaps the parties could just file them.

10 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Arbitration and -- so
11 you're saying they ought to file all their motions within a
12 certain time?

13 MR. SHAW: Yes.

14 THE COURT: And then just have the -- but further
15 action would be stayed except for the issue of facial
16 challenge to the constitutionality?

17 MR. SHAW: Right. I mean, a lot of times, you know,
18 in these cases, whether it's an MDL or large coordination,
19 sometimes you have the parties file their briefs and at least
20 get it fully briefed, even if Your Honor is only dealing with
21 one of those briefs or one of the issues in those briefs.

22 Also, as we've heard, I mean, they wrote a letter
23 saying that there should be coordination and that they're
24 willing to coordinate.

25 I think even though it's 37 people, I think you'll

1 find much fewer issues, different issues, raised. And with
2 the goal of having the ability to move it forward, it may be
3 best to require those people who are in the bucket that are
4 here to file their briefs on whatever defenses that they could
5 raise, and then the facial part of it can go forward. The
6 other parts could be there. It would be a burden on us that
7 we can respond to it.

8 Hopefully they could be coordinated in the sense that
9 they're be smaller, and then Your Honor could at least have
10 them on a pile.

11 THE COURT: Of course the problem with that is, let's
12 assume they filed all their motions and that I would only
13 focus on the facial constitutionality of the statute. We
14 wouldn't get around to fully briefing it for many months
15 maybe.

16 And then, of course, there's always changes in the
17 law. There are different, more -- new decisions come out.
18 And then everybody will be asking for supplemental briefing.
19 I mean, that's inevitable. Then --

20 MR. SHAW: Well, the flip -- pardon me, Your Honor.

21 The flip side, though, is these counsel saying, well,
22 once you give us the list, everything is fine. We're going to
23 take care of protecting interests.

24 THE COURT: No, I'm not saying --

25 MR. SHAW: They said that.

1 But that's what's the burden is on us, that we're not
2 able to prosecute our case for the year, whatever amount of
3 time it takes, let's say six, nine months, for that
4 constitutional challenge to weave its way through the courts
5 and then come back and essentially starting at square one
6 again on all the other defenses.

7 I'm suggesting that there should be a path that
8 doesn't do that, that only benefits the defendants in allowing
9 all of their motions to be serial, to have come here and made
10 a choice to try to remove, and then to wait and see how things
11 happen on other issues and then come back again. It's
12 multiple motions to dismiss. It's built into the process that
13 it's a delay and prevents us from prosecuting.

14 THE COURT: Any defense counsel wish to speak to
15 that? Yeah.

16 MR. KIMREY: Blaine Kimrey again.

17 THE COURT: Yes.

18 MR. KIMREY: They haven't moved for injunctive
19 relief, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: They what?

21 MR. KIMREY: They haven't moved for injunctive
22 relief. I'm not asking that they do so. They could, you
23 know, if they were seeking to enforce the statute and they're
24 claiming that we're not abiding. And I'm not aware of
25 Whitepages and Hiya not abiding. They haven't given me any

1 evidence of that. They haven't moved for injunctive relief.

2 THE COURT: Right.

3 MR. KIMREY: So the claim about delay rings hollow if
4 the issue is getting this information suppressed and it
5 allegedly hasn't been suppressed, because, again, they haven't
6 moved for injunctive relief.

7 And that they're the ones who filed 100-some-odd
8 cases. You can't file that many cases at once, essentially,
9 and expect for things to move quickly, particularly when you
10 haven't moved for injunctive relief.

11 And what the Court is proposing, or at least what I
12 hear what I think the Court is proposing, is an efficient way
13 to approach this situation. And the constitutional -- the
14 facial constitutional challenge is clearly potentially case
15 dispositive. Cases dispositive.

16 THE COURT: All right. Yes?

17 MR. MAO: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Mark Mao for the
18 plaintiffs.

19 So, Your Honor, with regard to the exigency issue
20 that opposing counsel just raised, one of the reasons why
21 we've been proceeding as we have is, first of all, Atlas is
22 not that large of a company. And Atlas has been engaging in
23 meet and confers and attempts to resolve the compliance issue
24 with every defendant that's expressed an interest to go into
25 compliance.

1 In terms of an injunction not being filed, it's
2 because the vast majority of these defendants have expressed,
3 just as opposing counsel said, that they want to comply, they
4 understand the importance of compliance, and they're going to
5 try to do so.

6 The problem is, every time they've made that
7 representation, the vast majority of the time we come back and
8 we look and we test the systems again to see whether or not
9 these companies are truly suppressing or deleting the
10 information, we continue to find that the information either
11 gets repopulated or they were never removed.

12 So to say that, you know, plaintiffs have not been
13 diligent in terms of pursuing an injunctive relief, it's also
14 partially on the basis that defendants have continued to
15 express and assure plaintiffs that they be going into
16 compliance. It's a little disingenuous, Your Honor. And I
17 think one of the problems here is if these defendants are
18 going to remove and put the case in front of Your Honor, you
19 can't both say, well, we want to move forward with the common
20 issues, figure out from the common issues whether or not we,
21 some of the defendants, want to subscribe to what the Court
22 has ultimately found and then decide at that point whether or
23 not we want to try to remand.

24 For the plaintiffs there is exigency, because we want
25 to make sure the covered persons are actually taken care of

1 and protected, as defendants have acknowledged.

2 If defendants do not want to subscribe to the
3 jurisdiction of this Court, let's say you're group one, right,
4 or there's --

5 THE COURT: They do want to subscribe to the
6 jurisdiction of the Court. You're the ones who don't want to
7 subscribe.

8 MR. MAO: Well, Your Honor, to be clear, to be clear,
9 the issue of whether or not their motion was valid, right,
10 versus whether or not we after discussing internally may
11 ultimately seek to remand, that's a right in which we're
12 reserving.

13 THE COURT: No. I understand. And theoretically, if
14 we do not have subject matter jurisdiction, you don't have any
15 choice.

16 MR. MAO: Right, Your Honor. And that was one of the
17 things which Mr. Shaw is trying to address, right, which is to
18 just get ahead of the issue of, we don't want Your Honor
19 making decisions and findings which ultimately somebody there
20 is going to say well, Your Honor, you don't have jurisdiction
21 over that issue anyways. We are trying to avoid that.

22 But to suggest that there's no exigency, I would like
23 to think that everybody in this room, as defendants have
24 represented, understand that there is exigency here.

25 So part of the issue here is, how do we -- how do we

1 get there? And I agree with my colleague, Mr. Shaw, not
2 because we're at the same firm, but because of the fact that
3 one of the reasons why we're saying that for the ones that
4 aren't on this Track A or Track 1, as Your Honor had
5 identified, if they're not going to comply, we should be
6 entitled to move forward.

7 THE COURT: All right. I think what we're going --
8 yeah, go ahead.

9 MR. LEE: Your Honor, just --

10 THE COURT: State your name.

11 MR. LEE: James Lee, Boies Schiller for the
12 plaintiffs, Judge.

13 THE COURT: Yes.

14 MR. LEE: There's just one logistical issue I wanted
15 to make you aware of.

16 THE COURT: Go ahead.

17 MR. LEE: We've been talking about this group of 67
18 cases, and one of them is not like the others. The one that's
19 not like the others, the party in that case, the defendant in
20 that case is LexisNexis. And we filed the Daniel's Law
21 complaint against LexisNexis alleging very similar things as
22 the complaints you've seen here. That's in New Jersey state
23 court.

24 But LexisNexis did something that no one else did.
25 What they did in response was retaliate against the covered

1 persons and froze their credit and reported identity theft,
2 which means that the covered persons that sent the takedown
3 request to LexisNexis, any time they want to engage a service
4 that requires a credit check, they can't have it. They can't
5 buy a car. They can't rent an apartment. And so I personally
6 sent a letter to LexisNexis about this. They ignored it.

7 THE COURT: Now, who are you representing?

8 MR. LEE: I represent Atlas and the plaintiffs.

9 THE COURT: All right. I understand.

10 MR. LEE: So we were forced to file a second case.

11 It's a class action against LexisNexis. It's about the
12 retaliation of freezing their credit pursuant to the New
13 Jersey Identity Theft Protection Act.

14 So it's not a Daniel's Law case. That's the case --

15 THE COURT: And that's pending where?

16 MR. LEE: That's pending before you now.

17 So that got -- that case, not the Daniel's Law case.

18 That still sits in New Jersey state court. That case, that
19 class action, got removed here and transferred to you, and
20 now, I assume --

21 THE COURT: When did that happen? That's just
22 recently?

23 MR. LEE: Just recently, Judge. So it's not part of
24 the caption in your order.

25 THE COURT: Okay.

1 MR. LEE: And I think they removed it after. I'm not
2 really sure they did it timely either. But I just wanted you
3 to be aware that that case sits here, because we're talking
4 about ordering things and ordering things in the Daniel's Law
5 cases.

6 THE COURT: Right.

7 MR. LEE: And I understand your position on that.
8 But all I'm saying is the class action is different.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MR. LEE: And that can't be delayed or set to the
11 side while we wait for some of these facial issues on Daniel's
12 Law to be resolved, because this is legitimately about
13 people's ability to live their lives.

14 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I haven't looked at that
15 complaint.

16 What's the number of that case?

17 MR. LEE: That number is 04566, Judge.

18 THE COURT: 056 -- it's 24, you mean?

19 MR. LEE: 04566.

20 THE COURT: 04566. Well, that maybe we should also
21 tee up at the conference on the 7th --

22 MR. LEE: Certainly.

23 THE COURT: -- after I have had a chance to look it.
24 And you'll be here, I assume, and we can talk about that.

25 MR. LEE: Thanks, Judge.

1 THE COURT: All right. Now, wait a minute.

2 MR. ROONEY: Your Honor, can I --

3 THE COURT: Sure. Absolutely.

4 Please give us your name again.

5 MR. ROONEY: Gavin Rooney from Lowenstein Sandler. I
6 represent LexisNexis on that case that counsel just alerted
7 Your Honor to.

8 THE COURT: Go ahead. Right.

9 MR. ROONEY: We have a very different view of the
10 merits of the case. From our perspective, we did exactly what
11 these covered persons and Atlas asked us to do, which was to
12 redact and remove names and addresses from information that's
13 given to others.

14 We're in the business of providing credit reports, so
15 the way you do that is you impose a freeze on a credit report.
16 And if that's not what Atlas and the covered persons wanted,
17 well, they should have thought this through before they made
18 the request.

19 So in terms of the merits of the case, we would be
20 prepared to file a motion to dismiss, and we would certainly
21 be willing to discuss that with Your Honor on the 7th after
22 you've had a chance to look at the complaint, since the
23 complaint is not the same pattern complaint as you see with
24 the other cases.

25 THE COURT: I understand.

1 MR. ROONEY: Thank you.

2 THE COURT: All right. Good morning. Or good
3 afternoon.

4 MR. STICHEL: Your Honor, Mark Stichel, and I
5 represent eMerges in case 4434. And I just come with a
6 housekeeping matter.

7 We come to the beginning of the hearing where you
8 mentioned pro hac vice motions.

9 THE COURT: Yes.

10 MR. STICHEL: I know one has been filed on my behalf.
11 There are others that have been filed. And we've received an
12 automatic message from the clerk's office saying that it will
13 be heard on May 20, 2024.

14 So the question is, is there any way we can expedite
15 the pro hac vice motions?

16 THE COURT: I don't -- look, file your motion. If
17 it's in order, I sign them.

18 MR. STICHEL: It's been filed already, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: What case number again?

20 MR. STICHEL: It is 4434.

21 THE COURT: And it's actually a motion, not a notice
22 of motion?

23 MR. STICHEL: I'll have to check because New Jersey
24 counsel filed it.

25 THE COURT: If it's a notice of motion, it won't be

1 signed.

2 MR. STICHEL: Okay. Can I refile it as a motion
3 then?

4 THE COURT: Absolutely.

5 MR. STICHEL: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: And be sure to pay your \$250.

7 MR. STICHEL: Okay.

8 MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, just for the record -- Rajiv
9 Parikh for the plaintiffs -- we will consent to all pro hac
10 vice motions, just so --

11 THE COURT: I assumed so.

12 MR. PARIKH: Thank you, Judge.

13 MS. PURCARO: Thank you, Your Honor. Kelly Purcaro,
14 Greenspoon Marder, another housekeeping item.

15 It sounds like we're going to be getting the
16 compliance list. And I just wanted to make sure --

17 THE COURT: We're going to call it the assignment
18 list.

19 MS. PURCARO: The assignment list, the list of
20 covered people.

21 I just wanted to make certain in the order or
22 agreement on the record that we are able as counsel to share
23 those lists with our clients for compliance. And I know that
24 sounds silly, but I want to clarify --

25 THE COURT: I think you should be able to do that.

1 Yes, absolutely.

2 MS. PURCARO: -- because that was the issue we ran
3 into previously.

4 THE COURT: Absolutely. All right.

5 MS. PURCARO: Okay. Thank you.

6 MR. SHAW: May I say one thing, Your Honor? Pardon
7 me.

8 THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.

9 MR. SHAW: Thank you. Excuse me. Adam Shaw.

10 To the extent that you're -- it seems that at least
11 one thing we're all agreeing on is moving forward with at
12 least the facial challenge, and I would suggest that the
13 defendants make that motion by May 3rd, the same date that we
14 make the remand motion.

15 THE COURT: Well, all right, let's talk about that,
16 because I think I do want to go forward with that.

17 Whether counsel needs to -- defense counsel needs to
18 get together -- there would have to be a motion in each of the
19 cases, wouldn't there?

20 I don't know -- now, in terms of briefing it, you may
21 work out some way of filing a consolidated brief.

22 MR. STIO: Your Honor, we can't do it by May 3rd. We
23 have to meet.

24 We will make a good faith effort and come up with a
25 plan on May 7th for the Court on how we can achieve

1 efficiencies with consolidated briefing. But to ask for that
2 before May 3rd is not --

3 THE COURT: I understand.

4 MR. STIO: Especially on that issue.

5 THE COURT: All right. Here's what -- I'm going to
6 enter an order, the next status conference, 10:00, May 7th.

7 Plaintiffs are going to file their motions to remand
8 May 3rd so that at our next conference we'll talk about what
9 we do about the remand motions, whether there's discovery,
10 whether there should be briefing thereafter by the defendants
11 in opposition, or whether we just stay the remand once the
12 motion is filed. Let's give some thought to that. And by
13 that time you'll have a chance to have seen the remand motions
14 and will be in a better position to talk about them.

15 My view now is we will go forward with the motions of
16 facially challenging Daniel's Law. What counsel need to do is
17 to sit down and talk about the procedure for that, whether
18 we're going to have multiple briefs, multiple -- or whether
19 there's some way of having some sort of a consolidated
20 briefing on that.

21 So we'll discuss that on May 7th. I won't enter an
22 order specifically setting a date for those briefs yet because
23 I think it's important for counsel to talk about how it's
24 going to be done.

25 Also, we have the issue of the 37 defendants who are

1 going to be subject to remand motions, because subject matter
2 jurisdiction has been challenged as to those 37, so that the
3 Court would be going forward with the facial challenge only as
4 to the cases where the Court has subject matter jurisdiction.

5 But I recognize as a practical matter that the
6 defendants in the 37 cases have an interest in what happens
7 and how those 37 defendants would be able to have their voices
8 heard. Let's put it that way. Maybe they wouldn't. Or maybe
9 they could file an amicus brief so they can at least -- and it
10 would be without prejudice to their rights, obviously, on --
11 they believe they do have subject matter jurisdiction.

12 But on May 7th, we talk about a briefing schedule for
13 the -- as I said, the subject -- or the facial challenge
14 matter and deadlines and so forth. And also any order
15 requiring motions to dismiss on the facial challenge issue
16 would have some provision that it would be without prejudice
17 to the rights of those defendants to at a later date file
18 other motions to dismiss or any other -- raise any other
19 defenses that they may have.

20 Also, we currently have a stay that's pending that
21 ends today in terms of answers and so forth. It seems to me
22 that it makes sense at this point to continue the stay until
23 May 7th, through May 7th, so people aren't going to have to be
24 filing -- you know, the deadlines for filing motions and all
25 that sort of thing, because it's just going to wreak havoc if

1 we don't have that deadline.

2 Is there anything else we need to do today?

3 Yes, go ahead.

4 MR. MCGEE: Yes, Your Honor. Ryan McGee for the
5 plaintiffs.

6 THE COURT: Yes.

7 MR. MCGEE: I just wanted to clarify on the stay,
8 there's that motion to dismiss from Delvepoint, which is an
9 as-applied constitutional challenge.

10 Just understanding that that is going to stay the
11 response from the plaintiffs?

12 THE COURT: Everything will be stayed --

13 MR. MCGEE: Okay.

14 THE COURT: -- except your pro hac vice motions,
15 obviously.

16 MR. MCGEE: Of course. We're getting those on file,
17 but I wanted to clarify that one thing.

18 THE COURT: All right. We haven't heard from this
19 fellow.

20 MR. LEWIN: Your Honor, Ross Lewin for Epson Data
21 Management and the other defendants in 24-4168.

22 THE COURT: Yes.

23 MR. LEWIN: A very minor housekeeping point.

24 THE COURT: Sure.

25 MR. LEWIN: At the status conference and in

1 subsequent proceedings, is it acceptable to Your Honor that
2 pro hac vice counsel appear without local counsel present?

3 THE COURT: I don't have any objection to that,
4 unless anybody else does. No, that's fine.

5 MR. LEWIN: Thank you.

6 THE COURT: Yes. Good afternoon.

7 MR. STALTARI: Your Honor, my name is Anthony
8 Staltari, S-T-A-L-T-A-R-I. I'm from Quinn Emanuel, and we
9 represent defendant Yardi in 24-cv-4103.

10 I just have a quick question about the pro hacs
11 again. I'm sorry to belabor the point.

12 THE COURT: It's all right.

13 MR. STALTARI: So when you opened this morning, you
14 said you wanted a motion, not a notice of motion.

15 THE COURT: Yes.

16 MR. STALTARI: Unfortunately, the clerk's office
17 requires a notice of motion and will send you a rejection
18 notice --

19 THE COURT: Don't worry about it. File a motion.

20 MR. STALTARI: I understand. But I've been on the
21 receiving end of getting yelled at before.

22 THE COURT: If you have an order which doesn't use
23 the word "proposed order" --

24 MR. STALTARI: Got you.

25 THE COURT: -- I will sign it. And I've done many of

1 those, and they've been filed by the clerk's office.

2 MR. STALTARI: Understood. Understood. Thank you,
3 Your Honor.

4 MR. PARIKH: Your Honor, Rajiv Parikh for the
5 plaintiffs.

6 THE COURT: Yes.

7 MR. PARIKH: First, thank you for your indulgence
8 today with everyone. I think it's very much appreciated, and
9 I think this was a very productive conference.

10 THE COURT: I enjoyed the company of all of you.

11 MR. PARIKH: Thank you. So just two points, Judge,
12 with respect to the -- I guess what we're going to call the
13 covered persons list that plaintiffs will provide to the
14 defendants.

15 THE COURT: Right. So we're going to call it covered
16 persons list? We'll do it that way. That's a good phrase.

17 MR. PARIKH: I hope that's not offensive.

18 THE COURT: Everybody agreeable to that, the covered
19 persons list?

20 MR. PARIKH: So with respect to that list, Your
21 Honor, I would like a representation or a confirmation from
22 defendants, I would say within seven days, perhaps, if that's
23 reasonable, that once they get the list, that they can confirm
24 their clients in fact are going to suppress the information.
25 And that way we can put that behind us.

1 So I would ask the Court whether that's something the
2 Court would be willing to instruct to the defendants.

3 THE COURT: I think we're getting into the weeds on
4 that. I think we'll just give the information and -- you
5 know, if the law is constitutional, their other defenses are
6 rejected, it would be in their best interest to do it. Now,
7 if the statute is unconstitutional, they don't have to worry
8 about it.

9 MR. PARIKH: Understood.

10 THE COURT: So I think you might try to work out
11 something informally with them, but I don't think I ought to
12 get into that kind of detail at this point.

13 MR. PARIKH: Okay. Happy to do that. Thank you,
14 Judge.

15 The second just housekeeping question, May 7th in
16 person here in Camden?

17 THE COURT: That's the place.

18 MR. PARIKH: Great. Thank you, Judge.

19 THE COURT: I think if this -- I think it will be in
20 this courtroom, but I'll obviously have to check with the
21 powers that be here that this courtroom will be available.
22 But if it isn't, we'll find another courtroom somewhere in the
23 courthouse. So we'll put it in our order.

24 MR. PARIKH: Thank you, Judge.

25 THE COURT: Anything further today?

1 MR. STIO: Nothing from defendants.

2 THE COURT: Thank you all very much.

3 MR. SHAW: Thank you.

4 THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.

5 (Proceedings concluded at 12:19 p.m.)

6 - - -

7 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
8 from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

9

10

11 /S/ Ann Marie Mitchell 20th day of April, 2024

12 Court Reporter/Transcriber Date

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

\$	24-cv-4037 [1] - 33:15 24-cv-4103 [1] - 116:9 26(a)(1) [1] - 77:16 28 [1] - 84:19	113:21, 114:12, 114:23, 118:15	55:18, 57:2, 57:3, 65:15, 65:17, 80:17, 94:13, 105:17 addressed [1] - 88:5 addresses [6] - 41:20, 44:22, 81:22, 87:22, 91:20, 109:12 adds [1] - 87:10 admission [1] - 40:17 admitted [1] - 16:19 advise [2] - 17:15, 80:22 advised [1] - 64:10 affirms [1] - 86:11 afternoon [2] - 110:3, 116:6 AG [1] - 20:14 agenda [1] - 18:1 agree [11] - 20:5, 21:17, 31:23, 35:16, 40:8, 41:15, 49:11, 83:14, 91:5, 93:8, 106:1 agreeable [1] - 117:18 agreed [3] - 79:23, 79:24, 80:25 agreeing [1] - 112:11 agreement [8] - 25:12, 58:20, 60:5, 60:9, 71:19, 79:18, 89:9, 111:22 agreements [3] - 71:17, 88:11, 88:14 agrees [2] - 62:12, 84:21 ahead [14] - 50:6, 50:7, 52:25, 66:21, 66:24, 70:11, 71:8, 95:2, 105:18, 106:8, 106:16, 109:8, 112:8, 115:3 alerted [1] - 109:6 allegations [1] - 72:13 allege [1] - 23:6 alleged [3] - 16:8, 73:21, 88:4 allegedly [1] - 103:5 alleging [1] - 106:21 allow [4] - 23:8, 45:20, 77:22, 99:2 allowing [2] - 76:19, 102:8 allows [1] - 26:12 alluded [2] - 45:15, 90:4 almost [1] - 69:9 altogether [1] - 34:20 Amendment [4] - 29:24, 44:8, 44:9, 79:1	amicus [2] - 99:3, 114:9 amount [4] - 17:1, 58:13, 82:9, 102:2 Angelo [2] - 21:11, 95:3 Ann [1] - 119:11 answer [13] - 24:16, 53:3, 56:23, 70:11, 82:18, 82:25, 83:1, 83:10, 83:19, 83:20, 83:22, 85:16, 86:6 answers [1] - 114:21 Anthony [1] - 116:7 anticipate [1] - 35:24 anyway [1] - 34:20 anyways [1] - 105:21 apartment [1] - 107:5 apologize [1] - 89:25 apparatus [1] - 81:16 Appeals [5] - 16:12, 30:4, 30:21, 32:16, 32:21 appear [1] - 116:2 appellate [2] - 64:3, 64:13 Append [1] - 47:14 Appendix [1] - 59:10 applicability [2] - 70:12, 70:13 applied [12] - 32:4, 43:12, 43:15, 49:12, 50:13, 68:8, 68:11, 70:16, 75:14, 79:12, 86:21, 115:9 applies [2] - 78:19, 78:20 apply [3] - 43:6, 44:24, 45:1 appreciate [1] - 94:12 appreciated [1] - 117:8 approach [6] - 34:22, 40:9, 75:18, 95:22, 96:12, 103:13 appropriate [1] - 58:13 appropriately [1] - 85:6 April [1] - 119:11 arbitrability [21] - 22:25, 26:14, 27:18, 28:1, 28:2, 28:18, 29:1, 29:5, 30:7, 79:2, 79:4, 79:16, 80:11, 82:2, 82:14, 83:7, 83:9, 83:13, 83:14, 83:20, 85:25 arbitrate [1] - 80:25 arbitration [13] -
\$250 [2] - 17:1, 111:6				
/		8		
/S [1] - 119:11		80 [1] - 18:20		
0	3	9		
04566 [3] - 108:17, 108:19, 108:20 0477 [1] - 21:16 056 [1] - 108:18	30 [26] - 19:3, 19:12, 20:25, 21:3, 21:17, 22:1, 23:22, 24:3, 24:12, 25:6, 25:15, 25:20, 26:7, 29:2, 33:16, 33:18, 35:14, 42:16, 47:16, 49:3, 58:12, 58:15, 62:2, 70:7, 98:15, 98:21 300 [1] - 55:25 37 [17] - 22:1, 24:6, 25:18, 28:19, 33:17, 42:16, 49:4, 69:5, 69:21, 97:7, 98:24, 99:11, 100:25, 113:25, 114:2, 114:6, 114:7 3rd [6] - 97:24, 97:25, 112:13, 112:22, 113:2, 113:8	9:58 [1] - 16:2		
1		A		
1 [2] - 39:14, 106:4 100 [3] - 20:6, 55:25, 90:6 100-some-odd [1] - 103:7 10:00 [2] - 98:7, 113:6 10th [2] - 97:4, 97:21 11:16 [1] - 78:3 11:29 [1] - 78:3 12(b)(6) [4] - 25:10, 25:22, 83:23, 84:6 12(b)(6) [1] - 76:15 1292 [4] - 30:3, 30:20, 32:16, 84:19 12:19 [1] - 119:5 13-year-old [1] - 57:8 14-year-old [1] - 57:8 145 [1] - 18:16 15-minute [1] - 77:24 150 [1] - 17:2 15th [2] - 96:25, 97:2 16,000 [1] - 54:9 19,000 [17] - 20:8, 22:13, 23:7, 27:4, 41:2, 46:11, 46:14, 47:2, 47:7, 52:1, 53:22, 53:24, 54:9, 80:3, 80:15, 90:12, 90:13	4	a.m [3] - 16:2, 78:3 abeyance [1] - 86:7 abiding [2] - 102:24, 102:25 ability [7] - 26:11, 27:19, 37:9, 40:10, 100:2, 101:2, 108:13 able [15] - 37:25, 40:12, 49:8, 49:10, 51:9, 55:21, 57:12, 58:2, 58:13, 61:3, 70:1, 102:2, 111:22, 111:25, 114:7 above-entitled [1] - 119:8 abrogated [1] - 27:4 absent [1] - 17:15 absolutely [16] - 35:17, 52:2, 55:15, 60:14, 60:20, 61:16, 61:19, 62:23, 63:1, 63:15, 67:2, 75:17, 109:3, 111:4, 112:1, 112:4 accept [1] - 28:9 acceptable [1] - 116:1 according [2] - 26:17, 68:7 account [3] - 53:9, 53:10 achieve [1] - 112:25 acknowledged [1] - 105:1 ACLU [2] - 32:20, 64:1 Act [1] - 107:13 action [7] - 20:16, 20:17, 20:18, 100:15, 107:11, 107:19, 108:8 actions [5] - 22:16, 27:2, 27:3, 80:12 actual [2] - 91:16, 92:3 Adam [7] - 18:12, 50:17, 67:12, 89:18, 94:6, 99:21, 112:9 address [16] - 22:18, 33:24, 34:2, 34:3, 34:8, 35:20, 41:25,		
	5			
	5.3 [1] - 60:12 50 [1] - 19:25 500 [4] - 55:25, 56:5, 56:8, 56:19			
	6			
	60 [1] - 65:13 67 [17] - 16:8, 18:17, 19:2, 20:25, 25:10, 26:8, 29:15, 31:1, 53:25, 55:1, 55:3, 57:16, 59:7, 100:4, 100:8, 106:17 6th [1] - 97:23			
	7			
	7th [11] - 98:6, 98:8, 108:21, 109:21, 112:25, 113:6,			
2				
20 [2] - 53:17, 110:13 20,000 [3] - 43:19, 54:3, 80:3 200 [3] - 23:19, 38:14, 55:25 2024 [3] - 39:14, 110:13, 119:11 20th [1] - 119:11 21 [2] - 69:11, 96:21 24 [1] - 108:18 24-4168 [1] - 115:21 24-cv-3998 [1] - 31:17 24-cv-4000 [1] - 31:17				

<p>28:10, 71:5, 71:10, 71:15, 71:17, 71:19, 78:11, 78:14, 79:18, 79:24, 86:24, 100:8, 100:10</p> <p>argue [1] - 93:14</p> <p>argued [2] - 91:12, 92:8</p> <p>arguing [1] - 99:7</p> <p>argument [5] - 19:18, 42:2, 45:4, 49:7, 49:10</p> <p>arguments [10] - 19:17, 19:19, 27:20, 34:7, 49:8, 50:11, 66:8, 70:23, 85:13</p> <p>art [1] - 91:24</p> <p>article [1] - 92:22</p> <p>as-applied [9] - 43:12, 49:12, 50:13, 68:8, 68:11, 70:16, 75:14, 79:12, 115:9</p> <p>aside [1] - 52:18</p> <p>assert [3] - 22:14, 61:12, 83:9</p> <p>asserting [1] - 85:15</p> <p>assigned [4] - 17:5, 18:18, 89:3, 92:17</p> <p>assignee [3] - 91:23, 92:12, 92:18</p> <p>assignees [1] - 41:3</p> <p>assigning [1] - 90:9</p> <p>assignment [18] - 23:10, 23:14, 35:18, 65:4, 65:7, 88:11, 88:14, 89:9, 89:15, 89:20, 89:24, 90:8, 94:8, 94:15, 94:21, 95:6, 111:17, 111:19</p> <p>assignments [14] - 20:21, 22:13, 22:14, 23:6, 62:7, 62:8, 88:8, 88:24, 89:6, 91:2, 92:10, 92:15, 93:3, 93:7</p> <p>assignor [1] - 92:16</p> <p>assignors [7] - 41:5, 80:3, 88:12, 89:9, 91:15, 92:19, 93:4</p> <p>assume [9] - 41:1, 41:2, 51:12, 64:16, 80:16, 83:22, 101:12, 107:20, 108:24</p> <p>assumed [2] - 79:23, 111:11</p> <p>assuming [4] - 63:8, 73:1, 84:15, 99:12</p> <p>assure [1] - 104:15</p> <p>Atlas [31] - 20:22,</p>	<p>45:17, 46:6, 46:19, 46:21, 46:24, 52:8, 53:8, 55:14, 55:16, 55:17, 55:18, 55:20, 55:24, 57:11, 61:4, 61:10, 67:1, 80:19, 81:16, 88:11, 89:4, 89:6, 89:8, 90:9, 103:21, 103:22, 107:8, 109:11, 109:16</p> <p>atlas [1] - 38:25</p> <p>Atlas's [8] - 22:16, 47:5, 49:14, 54:6, 56:5, 61:3, 62:11, 91:12</p> <p>atlasmail.com [1] - 22:18</p> <p>attach [1] - 16:19</p> <p>attack [3] - 38:16, 39:1, 39:16</p> <p>attacks [1] - 61:6</p> <p>attempt [1] - 65:22</p> <p>attempting [3] - 34:10, 34:18, 37:20</p> <p>attempts [1] - 103:23</p> <p>attention [2] - 23:1, 34:17</p> <p>attorney [1] - 35:10</p> <p>attorneys [3] - 17:9, 62:17, 67:19</p> <p>attorneys' [1] - 59:13</p> <p>Austin [1] - 48:18</p> <p>automatic [1] - 110:12</p> <p>automatically [1] - 17:8</p> <p>available [4] - 41:2, 44:15, 80:18, 118:21</p> <p>avoid [9] - 17:11, 19:16, 19:23, 47:20, 48:6, 79:5, 80:15, 94:7, 105:21</p> <p>avoidance [1] - 43:7</p> <p>aware [5] - 24:10, 33:2, 102:24, 106:15, 108:3</p>	<p>basis [6] - 22:13, 44:10, 58:8, 72:12, 75:5, 104:14</p> <p>bear [1] - 23:4</p> <p>becomes [1] - 98:21</p> <p>begin [1] - 48:20</p> <p>beginning [3] - 26:12, 59:16, 110:7</p> <p>behalf [7] - 31:1, 31:18, 31:21, 47:13, 52:20, 66:2, 110:10</p> <p>behalfes [1] - 89:5</p> <p>behind [2] - 27:3, 117:25</p> <p>belabor [1] - 116:11</p> <p>benefit [2] - 17:18, 40:10</p> <p>benefits [1] - 102:8</p> <p>besmirch [1] - 90:20</p> <p>best [6] - 22:8, 26:3, 37:22, 40:20, 101:3, 118:6</p> <p>better [4] - 17:12, 44:10, 61:3, 113:14</p> <p>between [6] - 19:13, 46:24, 54:9, 71:19, 79:18, 90:9</p> <p>beyond [3] - 45:10, 85:8, 88:15</p> <p>bifurcate [1] - 45:20</p> <p>bigger [1] - 99:19</p> <p>bingo [2] - 79:21</p> <p>bit [7] - 17:4, 18:14, 18:19, 19:11, 54:2, 61:18, 68:14</p> <p>Blaine [3] - 31:14, 50:8, 102:16</p> <p>blasted [1] - 43:18</p> <p>blasts [1] - 46:18</p> <p>blockage [1] - 40:3</p> <p>blocked [1] - 39:1</p> <p>boat [1] - 49:8</p> <p>bogged [3] - 31:5, 34:12, 70:18</p> <p>Boies [2] - 66:17, 106:11</p> <p>bombarded [1] - 22:17</p> <p>bones [1] - 45:9</p> <p>bound [1] - 81:13</p> <p>box [1] - 83:15</p> <p>bracket [1] - 26:6</p> <p>break [1] - 88:9</p> <p>brief [11] - 26:2, 64:3, 69:10, 69:22, 69:24, 70:4, 93:25, 99:13, 112:21, 114:9</p> <p>briefed [1] - 100:20</p> <p>briefing [20] - 25:13, 25:16, 25:24, 26:11,</p>	<p>29:24, 33:23, 34:13, 34:22, 36:15, 50:12, 84:11, 98:9, 98:20, 101:14, 101:18, 112:20, 113:1, 113:10, 113:20, 114:12</p> <p>briefly [2] - 35:13, 35:20</p> <p>briefs [9] - 69:8, 98:21, 99:3, 100:19, 100:21, 101:4, 113:18, 113:22</p> <p>bring [4] - 20:16, 23:1, 45:10</p> <p>brokers [2] - 54:15, 55:23</p> <p>Brooks [1] - 47:13</p> <p>brought [2] - 20:14, 67:25</p> <p>browsewrap [1] - 78:24</p> <p>bucket [16] - 25:6, 28:18, 28:21, 28:22, 29:6, 29:8, 49:4, 50:21, 52:10, 67:22, 71:2, 73:4, 73:14, 77:19, 77:20, 101:3</p> <p>buckets [4] - 48:20, 48:21, 54:14, 87:12</p> <p>building [2] - 99:22, 99:25</p> <p>built [2] - 34:23, 102:12</p> <p>bullet [1] - 96:3</p> <p>burden [2] - 101:6, 102:1</p> <p>burdensome [1] - 76:1</p> <p>business [4] - 44:12, 47:5, 66:15, 109:14</p> <p>businesses [4] - 23:19, 44:13, 57:22, 77:9</p> <p>but.. [1] - 74:8</p> <p>button [2] - 56:7, 80:21</p> <p>buttons [4] - 56:8, 56:11, 56:12, 56:13</p> <p>buy [1] - 107:5</p>	<p>20:15, 69:21, 107:24</p> <p>car [1] - 107:5</p> <p>CARCO [1] - 21:15</p> <p>care [2] - 101:23, 104:25</p> <p>carefully [1] - 61:4</p> <p>carveout [1] - 34:24</p> <p>Case [1] - 48:19</p> <p>case [64] - 17:19, 17:21, 19:24, 20:14, 20:22, 22:7, 22:16, 24:10, 29:19, 31:17, 33:15, 34:6, 37:17, 38:10, 41:13, 47:19, 51:1, 57:23, 63:7, 64:1, 64:4, 64:6, 64:11, 68:19, 73:10, 73:11, 76:10, 76:18, 76:20, 77:2, 77:16, 83:9, 83:23, 87:14, 89:15, 90:18, 91:15, 91:17, 92:11, 93:1, 93:2, 93:5, 95:23, 96:13, 99:8, 102:2, 103:14, 104:18, 106:19, 106:20, 107:10, 107:14, 107:17, 107:18, 108:3, 108:16, 109:6, 109:10, 109:19, 110:5, 110:19</p> <p>cases [76] - 16:8, 16:12, 17:5, 17:20, 18:16, 18:23, 18:25, 19:20, 20:5, 20:11, 20:13, 21:1, 21:17, 22:12, 23:22, 24:12, 24:20, 25:6, 25:16, 26:25, 27:1, 28:15, 29:16, 30:8, 31:1, 32:8, 32:11, 34:3, 34:9, 36:8, 36:9, 36:17, 36:19, 43:8, 45:13, 45:23, 45:25, 46:3, 50:21, 50:24, 54:1, 55:1, 57:16, 67:21, 67:22, 69:1, 69:5, 69:10, 69:22, 70:6, 70:7, 70:21, 73:7, 73:11, 73:13, 73:24, 75:7, 75:8, 76:23, 87:12, 98:15, 99:11, 100:2, 100:4, 100:18, 103:8, 103:15, 106:18, 108:5, 109:24, 112:19, 114:4, 114:6</p> <p>category [2] - 35:12, 35:14</p>
	<p>B</p> <p>background [1] - 49:9</p> <p>bad [1] - 44:21</p> <p>ball [3] - 27:11, 59:3, 74:4</p> <p>bare [1] - 45:9</p> <p>BARTLE [1] - 16:2</p> <p>based [2] - 83:19, 98:16</p> <p>basic [7] - 73:25, 75:6, 77:8, 77:9, 77:11, 77:16</p>		<p>C</p> <p>CAFA [5] - 19:6, 19:18, 20:1, 20:9, 49:1</p> <p>Camden [3] - 17:5, 17:8, 118:16</p> <p>cannot [1] - 40:21</p> <p>caption [5] - 16:23,</p>	

<p>central [2] - 30:19, 31:7</p> <p>cert [2] - 84:24, 86:11</p> <p>certain [10] - 19:20, 69:1, 70:9, 82:9, 82:19, 83:1, 93:24, 98:17, 100:12, 111:21</p> <p>certainly [3] - 37:5, 108:22, 109:20</p> <p>certification [1] - 30:3</p> <p>certifies [1] - 84:18</p> <p>certify [2] - 30:20, 119:7</p> <p>cetera [4] - 45:18, 57:5, 61:6, 80:12</p> <p>challenge [66] - 21:1, 23:23, 24:8, 24:11, 24:14, 25:7, 26:7, 28:14, 28:23, 29:2, 29:9, 29:10, 29:20, 30:9, 30:10, 31:25, 32:20, 33:3, 37:3, 43:15, 44:9, 47:20, 49:3, 49:19, 63:23, 64:17, 70:8, 70:9, 70:10, 70:12, 70:19, 71:11, 72:1, 73:15, 73:20, 79:1, 79:12, 82:5, 82:8, 82:9, 82:21, 82:24, 84:12, 85:8, 85:22, 85:23, 86:14, 91:3, 93:11, 95:5, 95:8, 96:2, 98:12, 98:14, 98:17, 99:1, 99:14, 100:16, 102:4, 103:14, 112:12, 114:3, 114:13, 114:15, 115:9</p> <p>challenged [2] - 27:11, 114:2</p> <p>challenges [14] - 28:18, 28:25, 43:12, 43:13, 45:11, 49:12, 50:13, 50:14, 68:7, 79:14, 82:11, 86:20, 91:6</p> <p>challenging [7] - 21:4, 22:1, 48:24, 49:2, 64:2, 64:4, 113:16</p> <p>chance [3] - 108:23, 109:22, 113:13</p> <p>changes [1] - 101:16</p> <p>characterize [1] - 58:16</p> <p>check [6] - 17:3, 37:21, 40:14, 107:4, 110:23, 118:20</p> <p>checkboxes [1] -</p>	<p>56:15</p> <p>checked [1] - 51:2</p> <p>cherry [1] - 84:7</p> <p>chief [1] - 16:11</p> <p>children [2] - 57:5, 60:22</p> <p>choice [3] - 82:20, 102:10, 105:15</p> <p>choose [1] - 53:13</p> <p>chose [1] - 63:19</p> <p>circuit [2] - 84:19, 84:21</p> <p>circumstance [2] - 22:7, 45:2</p> <p>cite [1] - 70:21</p> <p>citizenship [5] - 19:23, 92:6, 92:9, 92:12, 92:18</p> <p>Civil [1] - 60:12</p> <p>claim [8] - 21:23, 21:24, 23:18, 72:13, 76:14, 83:25, 99:4, 103:3</p> <p>claiming [1] - 102:24</p> <p>claims [3] - 22:12, 23:20, 90:10</p> <p>clarification [4] - 39:10, 43:22, 71:7, 74:18</p> <p>clarify [3] - 111:24, 115:7, 115:17</p> <p>class [7] - 20:16, 20:17, 20:18, 80:12, 107:11, 107:19, 108:8</p> <p>clause [2] - 33:6, 79:24</p> <p>Clause [1] - 79:2</p> <p>clear [11] - 19:9, 27:9, 60:14, 63:24, 66:25, 79:10, 82:1, 89:18, 90:4, 105:8</p> <p>clearly [4] - 19:3, 67:9, 94:19, 103:14</p> <p>clerk [1] - 95:9</p> <p>clerk's [3] - 110:12, 116:16, 117:1</p> <p>click [2] - 28:9, 80:21</p> <p>clickwrap [1] - 78:24</p> <p>client [3] - 41:18, 58:6, 80:20</p> <p>client's [1] - 38:13</p> <p>clients [9] - 23:11, 29:8, 29:10, 29:12, 31:22, 34:10, 49:6, 111:23, 117:24</p> <p>clients' [1] - 62:22</p> <p>closed [1] - 39:17</p> <p>co [1] - 64:22</p> <p>co-counsel [1] - 64:22</p>	<p>collateral [1] - 99:16</p> <p>colleague [1] - 106:1</p> <p>colleagues [2] - 17:15, 34:1</p> <p>collect [2] - 63:6, 87:24</p> <p>collections [1] - 34:9</p> <p>collusion [4] - 49:2, 91:25, 92:2, 92:3</p> <p>collusive [3] - 19:16, 19:21, 92:5</p> <p>collusively [1] - 22:6</p> <p>comfortable [1] - 85:15</p> <p>coming [3] - 16:6, 36:2, 38:11</p> <p>commend [1] - 70:24</p> <p>comment [4] - 31:3, 33:9, 63:22, 65:1</p> <p>comments [2] - 17:17, 41:17</p> <p>common [15] - 22:11, 34:3, 50:21, 71:2, 71:9, 71:14, 71:16, 71:23, 71:24, 72:5, 72:9, 72:24, 104:19, 104:20</p> <p>companies [7] - 34:7, 34:17, 55:4, 55:6, 56:5, 57:21, 104:9</p> <p>company [11] - 22:16, 39:12, 41:19, 60:4, 75:20, 79:18, 80:22, 80:25, 81:1, 103:22, 117:10</p> <p>compilation [1] - 62:15</p> <p>compiled [1] - 63:21</p> <p>complaint [12] - 37:17, 44:5, 45:6, 45:8, 45:9, 72:13, 73:22, 106:21, 108:15, 109:22, 109:23</p> <p>complaints [4] - 22:20, 40:24, 53:20, 106:22</p> <p>complete [10] - 19:4, 19:5, 19:14, 21:18, 21:22, 21:24, 29:13, 33:19, 35:14, 92:15</p> <p>complex [1] - 76:23</p> <p>compliance [18] - 37:21, 48:5, 48:8, 48:9, 54:11, 58:18, 61:24, 62:20, 63:16, 64:24, 65:3, 75:15, 103:23, 103:25, 104:4, 104:16, 111:16, 111:23</p> <p>complicated [2] -</p>	<p>66:10, 75:22</p> <p>complied [2] - 54:18, 72:7</p> <p>comply [5] - 43:20, 77:11, 90:21, 104:3, 106:5</p> <p>complying [1] - 51:22</p> <p>component [1] - 60:24</p> <p>components [1] - 61:1</p> <p>concept [1] - 92:3</p> <p>concern [5] - 36:12, 43:7, 45:11, 94:11, 100:1</p> <p>concerned [3] - 44:2, 49:7, 79:4</p> <p>concerning [1] - 51:25</p> <p>concerns [1] - 35:22</p> <p>concluded [1] - 119:5</p> <p>concur [1] - 33:25</p> <p>concurrently [1] - 17:3</p> <p>conditions [9] - 78:20, 78:23, 80:10, 88:10, 88:13, 88:15, 89:7, 89:11, 89:21</p> <p>confer [9] - 24:17, 25:3, 25:4, 26:5, 30:24, 48:1, 48:10, 58:5, 74:2</p> <p>conference [13] - 16:8, 17:24, 29:17, 93:18, 94:11, 95:23, 96:13, 98:5, 108:21, 113:6, 113:8, 115:25, 117:9</p> <p>confers [2] - 77:22, 103:23</p> <p>confidential [3] - 60:15, 60:16, 61:8</p> <p>confirm [1] - 117:23</p> <p>confirmation [1] - 117:21</p> <p>consent [1] - 111:9</p> <p>consideration [1] - 36:24</p> <p>considered [1] - 92:14</p> <p>considering [3] - 43:1, 43:12, 43:14</p> <p>consolidate [1] - 18:21</p> <p>consolidated [7] - 25:13, 25:16, 25:23, 26:2, 112:21, 113:1, 113:19</p> <p>Constangy [1] - 47:13</p> <p>Constitution [4] - 33:4, 33:7, 93:11</p> <p>constitution [1] - 33:5</p> <p>constitutional [46] - 24:8, 24:11, 24:13,</p>	<p>27:15, 29:20, 30:2, 30:21, 31:24, 32:14, 32:19, 32:25, 33:24, 37:3, 37:8, 37:13, 37:22, 42:24, 43:7, 43:8, 43:10, 44:3, 45:8, 45:11, 47:19, 48:1, 48:8, 49:5, 49:8, 49:18, 63:23, 68:2, 70:13, 75:12, 75:13, 76:8, 77:20, 79:11, 82:4, 84:12, 84:16, 85:8, 102:4, 103:13, 103:14, 115:9, 118:5</p> <p>constitutionality [14] - 27:10, 32:1, 35:17, 41:16, 42:2, 62:24, 64:2, 76:13, 82:16, 82:25, 86:1, 86:21, 100:16, 101:13</p> <p>constitutionally [1] - 79:1</p> <p>constrained [1] - 81:6</p> <p>contact [2] - 29:14, 40:4</p> <p>contacted [1] - 46:21</p> <p>contacting [1] - 46:22</p> <p>contemplated [1] - 96:5</p> <p>contesting [1] - 42:20</p> <p>contingency [2] - 92:25, 93:1</p> <p>continue [4] - 73:6, 74:7, 104:10, 114:22</p> <p>continued [1] - 104:14</p> <p>continues [1] - 57:9</p> <p>contract [6] - 28:3, 60:4, 60:6, 60:18, 62:2, 77:11</p> <p>contract-type [1] - 77:11</p> <p>contrived [1] - 52:3</p> <p>cookie [1] - 22:19</p> <p>coordinate [1] - 100:24</p> <p>coordinated [1] - 101:8</p> <p>coordination [2] - 100:18, 100:23</p> <p>copies [1] - 60:22</p> <p>core [1] - 67:24</p> <p>corporate [2] - 22:12, 57:22</p> <p>corporation's [1] - 27:2</p> <p>corpus [1] - 93:5</p> <p>correct [34] - 19:6, 20:2, 20:3, 21:2, 22:3, 23:24, 24:2,</p>
---	--	--	--	--

<p>24:9, 24:21, 28:17, 36:5, 40:6, 40:25, 53:23, 55:5, 55:7, 55:9, 55:14, 56:6, 57:14, 57:18, 60:4, 64:17, 74:12, 74:16, 75:1, 75:5, 75:24, 76:11, 79:20, 90:6, 99:6, 119:7</p> <p>CoStar [1] - 42:14</p> <p>counsel [34] - 18:5, 18:6, 21:7, 28:5, 33:10, 36:13, 36:20, 42:9, 47:8, 47:23, 50:4, 63:12, 64:22, 68:7, 69:12, 71:22, 78:6, 90:20, 92:24, 94:13, 101:21, 102:14, 103:20, 104:3, 109:6, 110:24, 111:22, 112:17, 113:16, 113:23, 116:2</p> <p>COUNSEL [1] - 27:22</p> <p>counsel's [1] - 41:17</p> <p>counties [1] - 18:21</p> <p>counting [1] - 42:19</p> <p>couple [6] - 18:25, 19:11, 29:8, 39:9, 90:14, 97:1</p> <p>course [7] - 19:5, 47:23, 58:12, 65:18, 101:11, 101:16, 115:16</p> <p>court [9] - 16:1, 40:11, 43:22, 60:8, 63:23, 68:19, 77:19, 106:23, 107:18</p> <p>Court [16] - 16:13, 19:16, 20:11, 20:13, 32:21, 32:23, 39:10, 64:15, 64:18, 71:7, 74:18, 84:25, 86:10, 105:3, 119:12</p> <p>Court's [2] - 23:1, 58:25</p> <p>court's [2] - 59:11, 95:9</p> <p>courthouse [1] - 118:23</p> <p>courtroom [4] - 26:22, 118:20, 118:21, 118:22</p> <p>courts [3] - 83:8, 92:14, 102:4</p> <p>coverable [1] - 39:23</p> <p>covered [24] - 23:18, 36:24, 39:24, 46:24, 47:6, 52:7, 54:4, 56:23, 57:5, 58:22,</p>	<p>64:10, 71:12, 90:9, 94:18, 104:25, 106:25, 107:2, 109:11, 109:16, 111:20, 117:13, 117:15, 117:18</p> <p>crash [1] - 75:20</p> <p>credit [5] - 107:1, 107:4, 107:12, 109:14, 109:15</p> <p>criminal [2] - 97:3, 97:18</p> <p>cross [3] - 16:22, 37:21, 40:14</p> <p>cross-check [2] - 37:21, 40:14</p> <p>Curtiss [1] - 91:14</p> <p>cutter/boilerplate [1] - 22:20</p>	<p>50:15, 55:1, 55:3, 74:13, 76:18, 95:13, 95:19, 99:11, 100:8, 104:22</p> <p>decided [16] - 23:13, 29:25, 30:4, 30:19, 31:24, 43:9, 44:3, 44:10, 56:24, 60:11, 64:15, 74:24, 79:6, 82:7, 85:20, 86:8</p> <p>decision [4] - 37:24, 76:2, 84:19, 95:9</p> <p>decisions [2] - 101:17, 105:19</p> <p>declaring [1] - 79:8</p> <p>deemed [3] - 33:6, 79:24, 82:12</p> <p>defendant [25] - 19:13, 19:22, 31:8, 35:23, 35:25, 40:11, 41:13, 48:23, 51:25, 55:6, 55:8, 57:12, 58:4, 58:16, 62:1, 65:21, 67:1, 67:7, 70:17, 72:5, 82:24, 90:13, 103:24, 106:19, 116:9</p> <p>defendant's [1] - 44:11</p> <p>defendants [114] - 16:9, 18:24, 19:14, 19:25, 21:13, 22:5, 22:17, 22:21, 22:22, 22:23, 22:25, 23:8, 23:14, 23:16, 23:17, 24:23, 25:22, 25:25, 26:10, 26:14, 27:13, 27:14, 27:16, 27:17, 27:25, 33:14, 33:16, 33:18, 36:1, 36:2, 36:13, 36:25, 37:9, 38:2, 40:11, 42:14, 42:21, 42:25, 43:1, 43:6, 43:11, 43:18, 45:10, 45:16, 46:22, 47:22, 48:4, 49:13, 51:9, 51:21, 52:9, 53:5, 53:12, 53:13, 53:17, 53:18, 53:25, 54:10, 54:12, 54:17, 55:2, 55:3, 57:15, 57:17, 57:19, 57:23, 58:3, 58:9, 59:7, 60:7, 61:11, 62:4, 62:20, 63:19, 66:3, 66:5, 66:7, 70:3, 72:5, 73:5, 74:10, 74:13, 74:20, 78:18, 78:19, 78:20, 80:20, 82:8, 85:5, 87:24,</p>	<p>88:1, 90:7, 90:17, 98:24, 102:8, 104:2, 104:14, 104:17, 104:21, 105:1, 105:2, 105:23, 112:13, 113:10, 113:25, 114:6, 114:7, 114:17, 115:21, 117:14, 117:22, 118:2, 119:1</p> <p>defendants' [5] - 24:22, 25:2, 25:13, 28:5, 90:24</p> <p>defense [16] - 21:7, 33:10, 42:9, 42:25, 47:8, 50:4, 63:12, 67:19, 71:22, 78:6, 82:12, 82:22, 84:6, 85:24, 102:14, 112:17</p> <p>defenses [27] - 22:22, 22:24, 25:23, 26:1, 28:1, 31:9, 43:12, 49:22, 67:24, 70:11, 70:12, 70:14, 71:24, 72:24, 79:15, 83:2, 83:12, 83:24, 84:3, 84:14, 87:3, 98:18, 100:4, 101:4, 102:6, 114:19, 118:5</p> <p>definition [1] - 72:17</p> <p>definitions [1] - 71:11</p> <p>Delaware [3] - 91:12, 92:6, 92:8</p> <p>delay [4] - 99:13, 100:1, 102:13, 103:3</p> <p>delayed [1] - 108:10</p> <p>delete [12] - 46:8, 46:9, 47:22, 54:24, 62:21, 65:22, 65:23, 74:17, 79:19, 80:19, 80:23, 80:25</p> <p>deleted [8] - 48:4, 51:11, 55:11, 55:18, 55:22, 56:4, 79:25, 88:23</p> <p>deleting [2] - 81:1, 104:9</p> <p>deletion [2] - 52:1, 57:13</p> <p>deliver [1] - 72:18</p> <p>delivered [3] - 39:2, 52:4, 52:9</p> <p>Delvepoint [1] - 115:8</p> <p>denied [2] - 64:17, 85:2</p> <p>denominator [1] - 34:3</p> <p>depositions [2] - 47:7, 77:14</p>	<p>DEPUTY CLERK [3] - 78:2, 78:4, 119:4</p> <p>describe [1] - 22:8</p> <p>described [2] - 27:2, 81:7</p> <p>deserve [1] - 42:6</p> <p>designated [1] - 16:11</p> <p>despite [3] - 57:9, 63:11, 87:21</p> <p>detail [1] - 118:12</p> <p>details [1] - 82:13</p> <p>determine [1] - 41:19</p> <p>difference [1] - 44:19</p> <p>different [27] - 19:25, 22:21, 31:8, 33:14, 54:2, 54:13, 56:25, 58:4, 70:17, 70:20, 70:21, 70:23, 72:16, 76:9, 89:15, 89:20, 94:20, 94:23, 94:24, 97:9, 97:11, 101:1, 101:17, 108:8, 109:9</p> <p>differently [1] - 71:18</p> <p>diligent [1] - 104:13</p> <p>diming [1] - 59:8</p> <p>diminishes [1] - 92:17</p> <p>direct [1] - 69:10</p> <p>directed [3] - 19:22, 39:12, 46:5</p> <p>direction [1] - 46:1</p> <p>directly [2] - 23:7, 53:4</p> <p>disagree [1] - 50:25</p> <p>disagreement [1] - 64:25</p> <p>disclose [1] - 72:19</p> <p>disclosed [1] - 59:1</p> <p>disclosure [2] - 23:9, 62:16</p> <p>discovery [43] - 23:3, 31:6, 36:18, 37:14, 42:4, 44:5, 45:13, 45:17, 45:20, 45:22, 46:1, 46:2, 46:4, 46:13, 47:2, 50:15, 51:15, 60:8, 61:12, 68:12, 73:16, 73:20, 73:23, 73:25, 75:6, 75:25, 76:19, 76:21, 76:22, 77:2, 80:15, 86:23, 87:5, 90:17, 93:20, 93:24, 95:24, 96:1, 96:5, 98:10, 113:9</p> <p>discuss [5] - 69:23, 93:19, 98:14, 109:21, 113:21</p> <p>discussing [1] - 105:10</p> <p>discussion [2] -</p>
--	--	--	---	--

<p>17:25, 48:23 discussions [3] - 34:11, 34:19, 73:5 disingenuous [1] - 104:16 dismiss [21] - 24:7, 29:23, 50:23, 68:3, 68:14, 71:1, 71:13, 72:11, 73:14, 76:10, 76:14, 82:10, 82:20, 85:6, 98:16, 99:23, 102:12, 109:20, 114:15, 114:18, 115:8 dismissed [1] - 36:19 dispensation [1] - 69:14 dispositive [4] - 32:24, 35:19, 103:15 dispute [1] - 81:1 disregard [3] - 92:6, 92:9, 92:12 disregarded [1] - 91:13 dissipated [1] - 76:6 distribution [1] - 40:1 District [1] - 17:2 diversity [16] - 19:4, 19:6, 19:10, 19:13, 19:14, 19:15, 20:2, 21:18, 21:22, 21:24, 29:13, 33:19, 35:14, 91:14 division [1] - 64:13 doable [1] - 97:25 Docket [2] - 21:15, 35:11 document [5] - 43:6, 59:24, 60:3, 61:11, 62:9 documents [3] - 60:15, 77:12, 77:16 Doe [8] - 39:11, 39:13, 54:21, 55:10, 55:17, 55:21, 56:3, 56:18 DOMINO [3] - 48:17, 48:18, 49:25 Domino [1] - 48:18 done [10] - 58:13, 59:17, 61:21, 62:18, 69:6, 69:7, 70:5, 95:12, 113:24, 116:25 door [2] - 39:16, 61:17 dots [1] - 51:4 down [12] - 23:2, 31:5, 34:12, 38:17, 56:21, 70:18, 75:22, 85:4, 95:7, 95:10, 95:25, 113:17</p>	<p>due [5] - 32:10, 43:14, 43:15, 43:25, 69:12 during [1] - 88:9</p> <p style="text-align: center;">E</p> <p>early [3] - 83:9, 83:15, 90:17 easily [2] - 60:21, 61:20 Eastern [1] - 17:2 easy [1] - 77:5 echo [1] - 41:17 effectively [1] - 87:13 efficiencies [1] - 113:1 efficient [5] - 25:25, 26:10, 74:8, 75:7, 103:12 efficiently [1] - 25:8 effort [3] - 62:21, 73:7, 112:24 either [14] - 17:7, 19:19, 26:1, 27:16, 48:21, 56:10, 57:25, 58:19, 68:21, 69:21, 77:22, 85:6, 104:10, 108:2 electronically [4] - 17:10, 41:2, 51:12, 80:22 elemental [1] - 79:14 elephant [1] - 86:15 elsewhere [1] - 45:24 email [15] - 22:18, 23:19, 38:17, 38:20, 38:25, 39:1, 46:15, 46:18, 49:14, 52:8, 53:8, 53:9, 65:15, 66:6 emails [6] - 22:17, 22:19, 38:11, 38:14, 53:25, 55:12 Emanuel [1] - 116:8 eMerges [1] - 110:5 emphasizing [1] - 42:25 end [5] - 30:1, 61:13, 74:4, 75:11, 116:21 endeavor [1] - 98:1 ends [2] - 45:22, 114:21 enforce [1] - 102:23 enforcement [3] - 62:11, 80:5, 89:1 engage [5] - 34:11, 34:18, 36:18, 80:18, 107:3 engaged [1] - 34:13 engaging [1] - 103:22</p>	<p>enjoyed [1] - 117:10 ensure [2] - 61:5, 61:7 entail [1] - 80:14 enter [4] - 61:23, 66:5, 113:6, 113:21 entered [5] - 17:23, 58:20, 59:14, 60:10, 90:8 entire [2] - 31:18, 64:2 entitled [4] - 75:4, 93:20, 106:6, 119:8 entity [1] - 57:22 Epson [1] - 115:20 error [1] - 72:7 especially [2] - 75:6, 113:4 essentially [2] - 102:5, 103:8 establish [1] - 49:15 estoppel [1] - 99:16 et [4] - 45:18, 57:5, 61:6, 80:12 evidence [1] - 103:1 evident [2] - 44:4, 45:5 ex [1] - 81:23 ex-wives [1] - 81:23 exact [1] - 56:1 exactly [5] - 69:8, 69:9, 73:9, 86:5, 109:10 examine [1] - 23:8 example [11] - 20:21, 34:10, 37:18, 43:14, 44:11, 44:13, 45:4, 54:20, 55:3, 57:1, 90:14 except [5] - 59:1, 62:16, 72:5, 100:15, 115:14 exception [2] - 64:5, 64:12 exceptions [1] - 43:5 exchanging [1] - 74:2 excuse [3] - 27:22, 99:21, 112:9 exemplar [3] - 89:22, 94:8, 94:24 exemplars [2] - 94:17, 95:6 exigency [4] - 103:19, 104:24, 105:22, 105:24 exists [1] - 90:24 expect [3] - 42:19, 75:19, 103:9 expedite [1] - 110:14 expense [1] - 48:7 explain [1] - 78:16 explicitly [1] - 60:14</p>	<p>express [1] - 104:15 expressed [2] - 103:24, 104:2 extensions [1] - 47:14 extensive [3] - 77:13, 96:10, 96:11 extent [9] - 34:17, 43:11, 45:9, 56:15, 69:22, 77:21, 94:9, 94:23, 112:10 external [1] - 61:6 eyes [1] - 59:13</p> <p style="text-align: center;">F</p> <p>face [6] - 19:10, 43:24, 45:8, 45:10, 74:4, 75:13 facial [44] - 50:13, 50:14, 68:6, 70:7, 70:9, 70:15, 70:19, 72:1, 73:15, 73:20, 75:9, 76:2, 77:20, 79:1, 82:4, 82:8, 82:11, 82:21, 82:25, 84:11, 85:8, 85:22, 85:23, 86:14, 91:3, 91:6, 93:10, 95:5, 95:8, 96:2, 98:12, 98:14, 98:16, 99:1, 99:14, 100:15, 101:5, 101:13, 103:14, 108:11, 112:12, 114:3, 114:13, 114:15 facially [6] - 32:4, 79:12, 82:9, 82:25, 84:16, 113:16 facilitated [1] - 81:15 fact [8] - 29:7, 49:13, 54:13, 58:22, 69:7, 91:21, 106:2, 117:24 fact-finding [1] - 49:13 factor [2] - 92:13, 92:14 factored [1] - 34:22 factors [2] - 92:11, 92:13 facts [2] - 43:16, 81:3 factual [6] - 22:22, 43:13, 44:3, 44:7, 44:10, 45:5 failure [2] - 76:14, 83:24 fair [1] - 96:21 fairly [2] - 77:5, 96:20 faith [2] - 62:21, 112:24 family [1] - 57:5</p>	<p>fantastic [2] - 31:23, 32:13 far [1] - 97:15 fashion [4] - 17:11, 25:14, 34:4, 49:23 Federal [1] - 84:5 federal [1] - 32:25 fee [2] - 16:25, 93:1 fellow [1] - 115:19 few [2] - 18:23, 20:22 fewer [1] - 101:1 field [1] - 94:18 fighting [1] - 32:6 figure [2] - 20:11, 104:20 file [38] - 16:19, 17:3, 18:5, 21:19, 24:19, 25:22, 26:1, 26:4, 29:23, 68:22, 69:18, 69:20, 70:9, 70:11, 82:10, 82:18, 82:25, 83:22, 83:23, 85:6, 86:6, 93:25, 95:22, 96:12, 99:3, 100:9, 100:11, 100:19, 101:4, 103:8, 107:10, 109:20, 110:16, 113:7, 114:9, 114:17, 115:16, 116:19 filed [18] - 18:16, 19:2, 60:15, 95:12, 95:17, 96:20, 98:3, 98:17, 101:12, 103:7, 104:1, 106:20, 110:10, 110:11, 110:18, 110:24, 113:12, 117:1 files [1] - 76:10 filings [8] - 16:17, 16:25, 82:11, 88:23, 90:8, 112:21, 114:24 finally [1] - 49:11 findings [1] - 105:19 fine [7] - 52:11, 67:3, 74:14, 95:14, 96:12, 101:22, 116:4 firm [2] - 66:22, 106:2 first [13] - 18:3, 24:18, 28:21, 28:22, 29:8, 41:16, 42:24, 45:21, 54:15, 64:3, 83:6, 103:21, 117:7 First [4] - 29:24, 44:8, 44:9, 79:1 fit [1] - 48:20 five [3] - 23:10, 57:22, 66:6 flagged [1] - 38:16 flawed [1] - 62:6</p>
---	--	--	--	---

flexibility ^[1] - 75:17 Flexner ^[1] - 66:18 flip ^[2] - 101:20, 101:21 flow ^[1] - 61:10 focus ^[3] - 24:5, 96:1, 101:13 focuses ^[1] - 95:9 folks ^[3] - 39:24, 40:15, 40:19 following ^[1] - 97:5 forced ^[1] - 107:10 foregoing ^[1] - 119:7 forget ^[1] - 76:13 form ^[10] - 16:20, 16:21, 16:24, 19:23, 20:16, 22:19, 60:19, 89:20, 89:24, 94:21 former ^[1] - 36:20 forms ^[3] - 89:15, 94:23, 94:24 forth ^[5] - 65:25, 70:11, 98:20, 114:14, 114:21 forward ^[25] - 25:19, 42:2, 45:21, 49:18, 50:22, 50:24, 67:23, 68:15, 68:19, 73:25, 75:7, 76:19, 76:21, 82:8, 85:22, 94:11, 101:2, 101:5, 104:19, 106:6, 112:11, 112:16, 113:15, 114:3 four ^[1] - 23:11 fraudulent ^[2] - 48:22, 49:3 Free ^[1] - 79:2 free ^[1] - 33:6 freeze ^[1] - 109:15 freezing ^[1] - 107:12 Friday ^[2] - 97:4, 97:24 front ^[3] - 19:2, 77:5, 104:18 froze ^[1] - 107:1 fruit ^[1] - 74:7 full ^[3] - 19:10, 23:9, 44:6 fully ^[3] - 74:17, 100:20, 101:14 function ^[1] - 44:25 functionality ^[1] - 44:14 fundamental ^[1] - 33:24 futuro ^[1] - 51:22 future ^[1] - 50:14	<p style="text-align: center;">G</p> game ^[2] - 74:4, 74:24 garbage ^[1] - 52:11 gather ^[1] - 98:8 Gavin ^[1] - 109:5 general ^[1] - 74:1 generally ^[1] - 76:19 genuinely ^[1] - 51:21 giant ^[1] - 46:18 given ^[4] - 58:21, 75:21, 102:25, 109:13 Gmail ^[1] - 53:9 goal ^[1] - 101:2 governmental ^[1] - 45:2 governs ^[1] - 62:9 grant ^[1] - 86:11 granted ^[1] - 83:25 great ^[4] - 34:1, 55:13, 56:22, 118:18 Greenspoon ^[2] - 35:7, 111:14 ground ^[3] - 20:24, 29:23, 48:23 grounds ^[4] - 43:9, 49:1, 71:13, 77:20 group ^[12] - 21:12, 31:18, 32:6, 33:17, 36:2, 42:16, 48:11, 53:22, 60:7, 80:3, 105:3, 106:17 Group ^[1] - 21:15 guess ^[5] - 42:18, 62:2, 72:23, 88:1, 117:12 guys ^[1] - 44:21	havoc ^[1] - 114:25 hear ^[12] - 21:6, 24:25, 27:23, 29:17, 31:3, 33:8, 33:10, 50:2, 52:12, 64:19, 72:21, 103:12 heard ^[9] - 47:9, 67:23, 71:10, 73:4, 99:4, 100:22, 110:13, 114:8, 115:18 hearing ^[3] - 50:20, 95:5, 110:7 heart ^[1] - 30:17 held ^[4] - 16:1, 37:13, 71:25, 86:7 help ^[2] - 25:4, 95:6 hesitant ^[1] - 29:5 hide ^[2] - 27:3, 27:11 Hiya ^[5] - 31:16, 31:17, 32:5, 92:7, 102:25 hold ^[2] - 68:18, 68:23 holds ^[1] - 92:16 holes ^[2] - 95:10, 95:25 holiday ^[1] - 43:19 hollow ^[1] - 103:3 home ^[8] - 55:18, 57:2, 57:3, 73:12, 75:11, 76:3, 80:17 Honor ^[148] - 16:4, 18:7, 18:10, 19:3, 20:7, 20:10, 21:2, 21:5, 21:9, 21:11, 21:17, 22:3, 24:4, 24:15, 25:9, 25:10, 26:19, 27:22, 29:4, 29:12, 30:23, 31:13, 31:22, 32:14, 32:18, 33:11, 33:21, 35:1, 35:4, 35:13, 36:21, 41:4, 41:7, 41:10, 42:11, 42:19, 42:23, 44:20, 46:10, 46:17, 47:4, 47:18, 48:17, 49:25, 50:8, 50:17, 51:13, 52:2, 52:12, 52:20, 52:23, 54:3, 54:11, 54:15, 56:14, 57:1, 57:19, 58:8, 58:18, 59:5, 59:10, 60:1, 60:23, 61:7, 62:23, 63:1, 63:9, 63:18, 64:14, 64:18, 64:22, 65:11, 66:17, 66:23, 67:12, 69:2, 69:4, 69:13, 70:1, 70:24, 73:3, 75:1, 78:1, 78:9, 79:6, 79:11, 80:1, 81:4,	82:1, 83:3, 83:19, 84:10, 84:22, 86:5, 87:9, 87:15, 88:6, 88:12, 89:18, 89:25, 90:6, 91:5, 91:6, 91:21, 92:7, 93:22, 94:6, 95:1, 95:21, 96:16, 96:22, 96:25, 97:18, 98:1, 99:18, 100:20, 101:9, 101:20, 102:19, 103:17, 103:19, 104:16, 104:18, 105:8, 105:16, 105:18, 105:20, 106:4, 106:9, 109:2, 109:7, 109:21, 110:4, 110:18, 111:5, 111:8, 111:13, 112:6, 112:22, 115:4, 115:20, 116:1, 116:7, 117:3, 117:4, 117:21 Honorable ^[1] - 16:1 hope ^[2] - 96:19, 117:17 hopefully ^[2] - 69:13, 101:8 hoping ^[1] - 98:4 hostage ^[1] - 71:25 hours ^[1] - 56:20 housekeeping ^[4] - 110:6, 111:14, 115:23, 118:15 houses ^[1] - 51:5 hovers ^[1] - 54:9 hundreds ^[2] - 56:2, 56:3 hypothetical ^[3] - 39:11, 54:21, 81:6 hypothetically ^[2] - 56:17, 82:7	immediately ^[1] - 30:3 imminence ^[2] - 51:2 imminent ^[1] - 51:6 implications ^[1] - 65:5 implicit ^[1] - 16:21 importance ^[1] - 104:4 important ^[8] - 43:2, 43:11, 46:25, 51:5, 63:16, 67:16, 95:13, 113:23 impose ^[1] - 109:15 impossible ^[1] - 43:20 improper ^[1] - 85:11 include ^[4] - 57:5, 60:12, 70:14, 89:23 included ^[1] - 17:24 includes ^[1] - 24:11 including ^[2] - 79:15, 85:25 increased ^[1] - 17:2 individual ^[10] - 20:22, 46:21, 49:13, 52:7, 53:8, 56:13, 56:23, 57:15, 60:3, 91:4 individualize ^[1] - 69:23 individually ^[2] - 27:6, 56:20 individuals ^[8] - 22:13, 22:15, 40:23, 51:10, 53:13, 57:12, 60:21, 80:3 individuals' ^[1] - 27:5 indulgence ^[1] - 117:7 industries ^[1] - 22:21 industrious ^[1] - 70:25 inevitable ^[1] - 101:19 inflexible ^[1] - 18:1 Infomatics ^[1] - 33:14 Inform ^[1] - 33:15 informally ^[1] - 118:11 information ^[73] - 22:19, 23:18, 23:21, 27:5, 37:23, 37:25, 38:21, 39:12, 40:1, 40:14, 40:19, 40:21, 41:24, 44:15, 46:10, 47:22, 48:4, 51:3, 51:6, 51:11, 51:16, 51:25, 52:4, 53:4, 54:24, 55:11, 55:22, 55:23, 56:16, 56:18, 56:19, 57:4, 57:8, 57:10, 57:21, 58:3, 58:10, 58:21, 58:23, 59:1, 62:21, 64:7, 64:9, 65:15, 65:22, 73:17, 73:18, 74:2, 74:14, 74:17, 77:8,

<p>77:9, 77:10, 77:17, 79:19, 79:25, 80:19, 80:21, 80:25, 81:2, 81:20, 87:16, 87:17, 88:3, 88:23, 90:23, 103:4, 104:10, 109:12, 117:24, 118:4</p> <p>informed [1] - 88:2</p> <p>ingenious [1] - 70:22</p> <p>injunction [1] - 104:1</p> <p>injunctive [6] - 102:18, 102:21, 103:1, 103:6, 103:10, 104:13</p> <p>instance [2] - 80:2, 91:12</p> <p>instead [1] - 43:9</p> <p>instruct [1] - 118:2</p> <p>intend [1] - 19:19</p> <p>intent [1] - 26:23</p> <p>intentionally [1] - 43:19</p> <p>interest [18] - 20:13, 42:5, 44:21, 45:3, 45:16, 51:24, 62:22, 63:7, 91:15, 91:16, 91:24, 92:16, 92:20, 93:5, 103:24, 114:6, 118:6</p> <p>interested [5] - 50:1, 51:22, 82:18, 85:19, 99:1</p> <p>interests [2] - 62:19, 101:23</p> <p>interfere [1] - 36:16</p> <p>interim [1] - 40:9</p> <p>internal [1] - 77:11</p> <p>internally [1] - 105:10</p> <p>internet [2] - 44:15, 44:22</p> <p>interrelated [1] - 57:21</p> <p>inverse [1] - 22:9</p> <p>investigate [3] - 19:11, 80:8, 80:9</p> <p>involved [3] - 17:20, 68:16</p> <p>involves [1] - 64:6</p> <p>issue [66] - 23:8, 25:17, 29:21, 30:19, 30:21, 31:7, 31:24, 32:14, 32:25, 33:9, 35:18, 35:23, 37:16, 38:10, 42:24, 44:7, 44:12, 44:21, 46:25, 48:2, 48:8, 49:16, 49:20, 50:10, 59:25, 62:7, 64:24, 70:15, 70:21, 71:17, 72:5,</p>	<p>72:8, 75:10, 75:14, 76:18, 78:11, 79:3, 82:2, 82:3, 82:16, 83:14, 84:10, 86:1, 86:7, 87:9, 92:5, 93:4, 93:14, 95:9, 99:1, 100:15, 103:4, 103:19, 103:23, 105:9, 105:18, 105:21, 105:25, 106:14, 112:2, 113:4, 113:25, 114:15</p> <p>issues [40] - 16:15, 22:25, 26:12, 28:16, 29:1, 30:18, 33:24, 33:25, 34:2, 34:6, 34:10, 35:17, 35:18, 36:7, 40:9, 43:8, 43:10, 45:8, 49:5, 49:17, 50:21, 52:19, 62:25, 68:2, 71:1, 75:12, 75:22, 82:4, 84:2, 85:7, 85:19, 86:18, 100:21, 101:1, 102:11, 104:20, 108:11</p> <p>item [1] - 111:14</p> <p>itself [1] - 61:6</p>	<p>56:22, 58:5, 59:19, 62:6, 63:4, 63:15, 63:17, 90:3, 90:13, 106:12, 107:23, 108:17, 108:25, 111:12, 117:11, 118:14, 118:18, 118:24</p> <p>judge [5] - 16:12, 59:21, 60:18, 62:10, 64:16</p> <p>judges [4] - 80:6, 87:23, 89:1, 92:19</p> <p>judgment [2] - 68:2, 85:7</p> <p>judicata [1] - 99:16</p> <p>jumping [2] - 43:8, 45:7</p> <p>jurisdiction [56] - 18:4, 19:4, 19:16, 19:24, 21:1, 22:1, 23:23, 24:13, 25:18, 26:13, 26:15, 27:18, 28:1, 28:14, 28:16, 28:24, 28:25, 29:3, 29:7, 29:9, 29:11, 29:21, 29:22, 30:7, 30:9, 30:10, 30:18, 32:7, 33:25, 42:20, 45:24, 49:6, 49:10, 49:20, 62:25, 66:7, 70:13, 79:16, 82:3, 82:14, 83:21, 84:1, 85:9, 86:24, 99:5, 105:3, 105:6, 105:14, 105:20, 114:2, 114:4, 114:11</p> <p>jurisdictional [3] - 22:24, 27:20</p>	<p>85:18, 86:3, 86:5, 86:9, 86:14, 86:18, 86:23, 87:1, 87:4, 87:7, 87:15, 87:20, 88:1, 88:8, 88:19, 88:21, 88:25, 89:3, 89:12, 89:14, 91:5, 91:10, 91:20, 91:23, 92:2, 92:5, 93:10, 93:13, 93:22, 94:4, 94:12, 94:15, 94:23, 102:16, 102:18, 102:21, 103:3</p> <p>Kimrey [3] - 31:14, 50:8, 102:16</p> <p>kind [17] - 49:7, 49:16, 49:23, 53:3, 57:21, 59:11, 60:11, 60:24, 67:13, 68:22, 69:9, 77:23, 86:15, 87:6, 90:4, 118:12</p> <p>kinds [2] - 77:15, 84:2</p> <p>knowing [1] - 47:24</p> <p>known [1] - 24:18</p> <p>knows [2] - 59:10, 95:15</p>	<p>33:15, 34:18, 39:25, 42:21, 43:1, 43:11, 53:20, 59:16, 70:15, 73:15, 100:19, 101:9, 103:11, 112:10, 112:12, 114:9</p> <p>lectern [1] - 18:8</p> <p>led [1] - 32:20</p> <p>LEE [15] - 106:9, 106:11, 106:14, 106:17, 107:8, 107:10, 107:16, 107:23, 108:1, 108:7, 108:10, 108:17, 108:19, 108:22, 108:25</p> <p>Lee [1] - 106:11</p> <p>leeway [1] - 75:21</p> <p>legal [1] - 22:22</p> <p>legitimately [1] - 108:12</p> <p>letter [10] - 22:8, 24:24, 25:5, 26:6, 27:2, 36:1, 39:2, 46:16, 100:22, 107:6</p> <p>letters [1] - 36:4</p> <p>LEWIN [4] - 115:20, 115:23, 115:25, 116:5</p> <p>Lewin [1] - 115:20</p> <p>LexisNexis [7] - 106:20, 106:21, 106:24, 107:3, 107:6, 107:11, 109:6</p> <p>life [1] - 66:9</p> <p>lifetime [1] - 30:22</p> <p>LightBox [1] - 42:14</p> <p>likely [2] - 34:2, 34:6</p> <p>limitations [1] - 84:1</p> <p>limited [4] - 23:3, 45:25, 48:11, 79:15</p> <p>line [1] - 64:3</p> <p>list [35] - 23:17, 37:20, 41:18, 41:21, 42:3, 54:11, 55:21, 55:24, 56:5, 56:21, 58:16, 61:24, 64:24, 65:4, 65:7, 65:12, 66:7, 66:12, 67:1, 67:19, 95:5, 101:22, 111:16, 111:18, 111:19, 117:13, 117:16, 117:19, 117:20, 117:23</p> <p>listen [1] - 55:18</p> <p>listening [1] - 17:10</p> <p>lists [4] - 36:24, 54:17, 63:21, 111:23</p> <p>litigate [3] - 45:7,</p>
	<p>J</p> <p>James [1] - 106:11</p> <p>January [1] - 39:14</p> <p>Jason [1] - 41:10</p> <p>Jersey [18] - 16:9, 16:13, 17:4, 18:16, 18:20, 23:9, 29:14, 32:21, 32:23, 33:4, 54:22, 79:2, 80:17, 87:23, 106:22, 107:13, 107:18, 110:23</p> <p>Jersey's [1] - 33:5</p> <p>Jim [3] - 65:13, 65:24</p> <p>John [9] - 39:11, 39:13, 47:12, 54:21, 55:10, 55:17, 55:21, 56:3, 56:18</p> <p>join [6] - 24:24, 26:1, 26:11, 27:16, 34:14, 68:21</p> <p>joinder [3] - 48:22, 49:3, 85:11</p> <p>joined [1] - 22:6</p> <p>joint [2] - 25:5, 26:5</p> <p>Jones [1] - 53:17</p> <p>Joy [1] - 35:11</p> <p>Judge [25] - 18:14, 47:12, 48:12, 48:15, 53:1, 56:2, 56:10,</p>	<p>K</p> <p>K-I-M-R-E-Y [1] - 31:14</p> <p>keep [1] - 27:24</p> <p>Kelly [2] - 35:6, 111:13</p> <p>KIMREY [80] - 31:13, 31:16, 31:21, 32:3, 32:10, 32:13, 32:18, 33:2, 33:5, 50:8, 50:10, 78:9, 78:11, 78:13, 78:16, 78:18, 79:8, 79:14, 79:21, 80:1, 80:8, 80:14, 81:3, 81:6, 81:9, 81:12, 81:14, 81:18, 81:21, 81:23, 82:1, 83:3, 83:6, 83:18, 84:10, 84:14, 84:18, 84:21, 84:24, 85:2, 85:4, 85:11, 85:13,</p>	<p>L</p> <p>land [1] - 18:15</p> <p>large [3] - 22:23, 100:18, 103:22</p> <p>largely [1] - 80:5</p> <p>last [2] - 17:18, 52:23</p> <p>late [1] - 74:23</p> <p>Latham [1] - 42:13</p> <p>laugh [1] - 63:12</p> <p>laughing [1] - 63:11</p> <p>Law [17] - 16:10, 20:18, 22:15, 24:9, 26:23, 38:4, 51:22, 64:3, 87:22, 89:4, 90:21, 106:20, 107:14, 107:17, 108:4, 108:12, 113:16</p> <p>law [14] - 23:9, 32:15, 36:21, 37:10, 42:6, 54:18, 62:10, 62:20, 80:5, 89:1, 91:17, 92:11, 101:17, 118:5</p> <p>lawsuit [3] - 82:13, 88:24, 90:8</p> <p>lawsuits [1] - 54:18</p> <p>lawyers [2] - 16:17, 29:18</p> <p>lay [1] - 18:15</p> <p>lead [2] - 32:7, 34:14</p> <p>least [20] - 17:19, 24:7, 26:17, 29:8,</p>	

<p>82:3, 82:4 litigated [2] - 45:14, 84:4 litigation [2] - 22:9, 92:23 live [1] - 108:13 lives [1] - 108:13 LLCs [1] - 19:11 local [2] - 60:12, 116:2 logistical [1] - 106:14 look [16] - 20:11, 20:18, 20:19, 30:16, 55:21, 59:18, 65:6, 67:14, 93:17, 95:23, 96:12, 104:8, 108:23, 109:22, 110:16 looked [2] - 53:21, 108:14 looking [1] - 34:12 looks [2] - 20:17, 61:2 lost [1] - 99:12 loud [2] - 29:16, 70:6 low [1] - 74:7 low-hanging [1] - 74:7 Lowenstein [1] - 109:5 lowest [1] - 34:3</p>	<p>mass [1] - 80:12 massive [1] - 100:1 material [4] - 92:10, 93:7, 93:10, 93:13 matter [24] - 18:3, 18:4, 23:23, 25:17, 26:13, 26:15, 28:14, 28:24, 29:2, 29:22, 30:10, 32:6, 32:15, 42:20, 63:11, 99:8, 105:14, 110:6, 114:1, 114:4, 114:5, 114:11, 114:14, 119:8 matters [2] - 16:14, 92:6 McGee [5] - 115:4, 115:7, 115:13, 115:16 MDL [1] - 100:18 mean [29] - 25:9, 26:16, 27:11, 28:2, 49:21, 49:22, 55:1, 58:3, 58:17, 59:3, 61:18, 68:11, 69:5, 70:21, 71:12, 72:18, 72:19, 74:14, 74:19, 87:21, 88:17, 88:22, 95:12, 99:16, 100:17, 100:22, 101:19, 108:18 means [2] - 16:18, 107:2 meet [12] - 24:17, 25:3, 25:4, 26:5, 30:24, 48:1, 48:10, 72:19, 74:1, 77:22, 103:23, 112:23 members [1] - 57:5 Memorial [1] - 97:5 mention [1] - 83:13 mentioned [4] - 36:22, 53:7, 88:9, 110:8 merits [6] - 27:7, 51:14, 61:12, 90:17, 109:10, 109:19 message [1] - 110:12 met [1] - 19:10 method [2] - 45:19, 98:14 midnight [1] - 38:11 might [11] - 18:25, 34:22, 35:24, 43:23, 44:12, 68:2, 70:14, 70:21, 74:6, 85:25, 118:10 mill [1] - 76:18 Miller [1] - 91:20 minimal [2] - 19:6, 20:1</p>	<p>minor [1] - 115:23 minute [2] - 100:10, 109:1 miss [1] - 36:14 missing [1] - 49:7 Mississippi [1] - 20:14 misunderstanding [1] - 62:5 Mitchell [1] - 119:11 modified [1] - 59:12 moment [5] - 17:11, 24:5, 26:20, 58:25, 82:7 Monday [1] - 97:24 month [1] - 58:7 months [3] - 37:20, 101:14, 102:3 morass [1] - 79:5 morning [24] - 16:3, 16:4, 16:7, 18:10, 18:11, 21:10, 21:11, 31:12, 31:13, 33:11, 33:12, 35:3, 41:9, 41:10, 42:11, 42:12, 47:10, 47:11, 47:12, 48:16, 48:17, 48:24, 110:2, 116:13 most [3] - 18:22, 56:14, 57:19 mostly [4] - 49:12, 49:14, 97:12, 97:14 motion [59] - 16:18, 16:19, 16:24, 16:25, 17:3, 19:20, 23:12, 24:19, 25:22, 26:4, 29:23, 32:10, 50:23, 62:8, 68:13, 68:22, 69:20, 69:22, 71:1, 71:24, 72:11, 72:25, 73:14, 76:10, 76:14, 77:20, 82:10, 82:11, 82:20, 83:23, 91:8, 91:10, 93:14, 93:19, 94:2, 94:10, 95:22, 96:1, 96:6, 96:17, 98:10, 98:16, 105:9, 109:20, 110:16, 110:21, 110:22, 110:25, 111:2, 112:13, 112:14, 112:18, 113:12, 115:8, 116:14, 116:17, 116:19 motions [40] - 16:16, 18:5, 20:24, 21:19, 21:21, 23:2, 24:7, 25:10, 68:3, 68:18, 70:20, 85:6, 85:7, 87:11, 93:16, 95:11, 95:18, 96:19, 98:3,</p>	<p>98:15, 98:25, 99:23, 100:5, 100:8, 100:11, 101:12, 102:9, 102:12, 110:8, 110:15, 111:10, 113:7, 113:9, 113:13, 113:15, 114:1, 114:15, 114:18, 114:24, 115:14 move [19] - 31:5, 50:23, 53:3, 68:19, 69:1, 71:13, 73:24, 75:7, 83:8, 83:19, 83:20, 85:18, 86:18, 94:11, 95:4, 101:2, 103:9, 104:19, 106:6 moved [6] - 18:21, 102:18, 102:21, 103:1, 103:6, 103:10 moving [3] - 33:25, 34:9, 112:11 MR [370] - 18:7, 18:10, 18:12, 18:14, 19:7, 19:9, 20:3, 20:7, 20:9, 21:2, 21:5, 21:9, 21:11, 21:15, 21:23, 22:3, 22:11, 23:25, 24:2, 24:4, 24:10, 24:15, 24:21, 25:2, 25:21, 26:19, 26:22, 27:8, 27:13, 27:16, 27:25, 28:4, 28:8, 28:12, 28:17, 28:21, 29:4, 29:12, 30:6, 30:11, 30:15, 30:23, 31:13, 31:16, 31:21, 32:3, 32:10, 32:13, 32:18, 33:2, 33:5, 33:11, 33:13, 33:18, 33:21, 35:1, 36:12, 41:4, 41:10, 41:13, 41:23, 42:11, 42:13, 42:18, 42:23, 43:23, 44:18, 44:20, 44:24, 45:15, 46:4, 46:9, 46:12, 46:17, 46:23, 47:4, 47:10, 47:12, 47:18, 48:6, 48:15, 48:17, 49:25, 50:8, 50:10, 50:17, 50:20, 51:13, 51:18, 51:20, 51:23, 52:2, 52:7, 52:15, 52:17, 52:20, 52:23, 53:1, 53:7, 53:12, 53:16, 53:23, 54:2, 54:6, 54:8, 54:23, 55:6, 55:9, 55:13, 55:15, 55:20, 56:1, 56:6, 56:9, 56:14, 56:22,</p>	<p>57:14, 57:18, 57:25, 58:5, 58:12, 58:17, 59:5, 59:10, 59:18, 59:21, 59:25, 60:5, 60:7, 60:20, 60:23, 61:16, 61:19, 61:22, 61:25, 62:4, 62:23, 63:1, 63:4, 63:9, 63:15, 64:1, 64:9, 64:14, 64:17, 64:20, 64:22, 65:1, 65:3, 65:8, 65:11, 65:17, 65:20, 66:1, 66:5, 66:11, 66:14, 66:16, 66:17, 66:20, 66:23, 66:25, 67:4, 67:12, 67:17, 67:22, 68:5, 68:9, 68:13, 68:21, 69:2, 69:4, 69:7, 69:16, 69:25, 70:24, 71:5, 71:9, 71:21, 71:23, 72:3, 72:10, 72:17, 72:23, 73:2, 73:9, 73:13, 73:24, 74:6, 74:12, 74:16, 74:22, 75:1, 75:5, 75:24, 76:11, 76:16, 76:22, 77:1, 77:4, 77:8, 78:1, 78:9, 78:11, 78:13, 78:16, 78:17, 79:8, 79:14, 79:21, 80:1, 80:8, 80:14, 81:3, 81:6, 81:9, 81:12, 81:14, 81:18, 81:21, 81:23, 82:1, 83:3, 83:6, 83:18, 84:10, 84:14, 84:18, 84:21, 84:24, 85:2, 85:4, 85:11, 85:13, 85:18, 86:3, 86:5, 86:9, 86:14, 86:18, 86:23, 87:1, 87:4, 87:7, 87:9, 87:15, 87:20, 88:1, 88:8, 88:19, 88:21, 88:25, 89:3, 89:12, 89:14, 89:18, 89:25, 90:2, 90:13, 91:5, 91:10, 91:20, 91:23, 92:2, 92:5, 93:10, 93:13, 93:22, 94:4, 94:6, 94:12, 94:15, 94:17, 94:19, 94:23, 94:25, 95:1, 95:3, 95:14, 95:21, 96:7, 96:9, 96:11, 96:16, 96:21, 96:24, 96:25, 97:2, 97:8, 97:11, 97:14, 97:17, 97:21, 98:1, 99:6, 99:10, 99:18, 99:21, 99:25,</p>
M				
<p>M-A-O [1] - 66:20 MacDonald [6] - 47:10, 47:12, 47:18, 48:6, 48:15 mailbox [1] - 39:1 main [3] - 35:18, 60:24, 60:25 maintain [2] - 40:18, 93:5 majority [2] - 104:2, 104:7 management [2] - 95:23, 96:13 Management [1] - 115:21 mandatory [1] - 75:17 MAO [8] - 66:17, 66:20, 66:23, 66:25, 67:4, 103:17, 105:8, 105:16 Mao [3] - 66:17, 66:20, 103:17 maps [1] - 51:4 Marder [2] - 35:7, 111:14 Marie [1] - 119:11 Mark [3] - 66:17, 103:17, 110:4 mark [1] - 66:20</p>				

100:5, 100:9, 100:13, 100:17, 101:20, 101:25, 102:16, 102:18, 102:21, 103:3, 103:17, 105:8, 105:16, 106:9, 106:11, 106:14, 106:17, 107:8, 107:10, 107:16, 107:23, 108:1, 108:7, 108:10, 108:17, 108:19, 108:22, 108:25, 109:2, 109:5, 109:9, 110:1, 110:4, 110:10, 110:18, 110:20, 110:23, 111:2, 111:5, 111:7, 111:8, 111:12, 112:6, 112:9, 112:22, 113:4, 115:4, 115:7, 115:13, 115:16, 115:20, 115:23, 115:25, 116:5, 116:7, 116:13, 116:16, 116:20, 116:24, 117:2, 117:4, 117:7, 117:11, 117:17, 117:20, 118:9, 118:13, 118:18, 118:24, 119:1, 119:3 MS [33] - 35:4, 35:6, 35:10, 35:13, 35:16, 36:1, 36:5, 36:7, 37:4, 37:6, 37:8, 37:16, 38:7, 38:10, 38:13, 38:16, 38:22, 38:24, 39:6, 39:9, 39:15, 39:19, 39:22, 40:6, 40:8, 40:14, 40:17, 40:25, 41:7, 111:13, 111:19, 112:2, 112:5 multidistrict [1] - 22:9 multiple [12] - 17:20, 54:15, 55:2, 57:17, 57:19, 57:23, 90:20, 90:24, 100:8, 102:12, 113:18 MyHeritage [1] - 48:19	65:3, 65:13, 65:17, 66:19, 90:1, 90:23, 94:20, 106:10, 109:4, 116:7 named [4] - 20:10, 51:3, 90:22, 91:16 names [13] - 38:5, 51:10, 52:1, 52:12, 55:4, 57:12, 65:12, 72:6, 74:10, 75:19, 87:17, 95:5, 109:12 narrowly [1] - 64:11 nature [2] - 44:11, 58:21 nearly [1] - 27:4 necessarily [6] - 32:24, 34:12, 61:18, 71:15, 95:19, 99:25 necessary [5] - 37:2, 47:20, 60:14, 69:11, 92:13 need [12] - 19:5, 20:1, 23:16, 23:20, 34:19, 58:19, 73:19, 80:22, 94:9, 95:11, 113:16, 115:2 needed [1] - 73:23 needing [1] - 62:8 needlessly [1] - 48:8 needs [3] - 23:13, 112:17 never [2] - 40:5, 104:11 New [20] - 16:9, 16:13, 17:4, 18:16, 18:19, 23:9, 29:14, 32:21, 32:23, 33:4, 33:5, 38:10, 54:22, 79:2, 80:17, 87:22, 106:22, 107:12, 107:18, 110:23 new [1] - 101:17 Newark [1] - 17:7 next [3] - 86:13, 113:6, 113:8 nickel [1] - 59:7 nine [1] - 102:3 noble [1] - 26:23 nobody [2] - 74:15, 81:13 nondisclosure [1] - 58:20 none [1] - 26:14 normal [1] - 76:17 normally [2] - 49:22, 76:13 note [3] - 32:5, 32:18, 35:20 nothing [2] - 87:10, 119:1	notice [9] - 16:17, 60:13, 71:12, 72:18, 110:21, 110:25, 116:14, 116:17, 116:18 notion [2] - 41:16, 50:25 Number [3] - 21:16, 35:11, 48:19 number [16] - 17:21, 22:23, 31:17, 36:8, 41:13, 41:19, 41:23, 41:25, 56:1, 57:2, 69:1, 70:9, 80:18, 108:16, 108:17, 110:19 numbers [6] - 33:15, 41:21, 56:24, 65:18, 81:22, 87:22	22:11, 24:7, 24:10, 26:2, 28:5, 30:19, 31:23, 32:7, 33:15, 33:16, 33:18, 34:16, 35:22, 36:7, 36:8, 36:23, 41:13, 41:20, 42:25, 43:24, 45:4, 45:19, 47:16, 49:16, 50:10, 53:3, 56:7, 56:11, 57:21, 57:23, 58:1, 58:19, 59:21, 60:5, 60:24, 61:7, 63:18, 65:3, 65:12, 65:14, 67:18, 69:9, 72:10, 79:21, 80:20, 83:24, 84:6, 86:12, 90:19, 92:12, 100:21, 102:5, 103:20, 104:17, 105:3, 105:16, 106:3, 106:14, 106:18, 106:24, 110:10, 112:6, 112:11, 115:17 ones [9] - 21:21, 28:13, 29:13, 56:10, 71:4, 71:9, 103:7, 105:6, 106:3 ongoing [1] - 32:20 online [1] - 90:24 open [3] - 16:1, 61:17, 61:18 opened [1] - 116:13 opening [1] - 60:15 operates [1] - 57:22 operating [1] - 92:25 operation [2] - 45:17, 46:6 operational [1] - 61:10 opportunity [4] - 24:17, 25:3, 70:4, 93:17 opposed [1] - 49:4 opposing [2] - 103:20, 104:3 opposite [1] - 46:1 opposition [2] - 93:25, 113:11 opted [1] - 80:10 Oracle [1] - 42:14 order [44] - 16:20, 16:21, 16:24, 17:23, 23:12, 28:8, 48:1, 48:6, 48:10, 49:16, 49:24, 58:20, 58:25, 59:1, 59:8, 59:11, 59:14, 60:10, 61:23, 62:17, 66:5, 82:21, 82:22, 83:12, 83:16, 84:8, 84:11, 86:2,	87:19, 88:6, 88:12, 88:13, 98:16, 98:19, 107:24, 110:17, 111:21, 113:6, 113:22, 114:14, 116:22, 116:23, 118:23 ordering [2] - 108:4 orderly [2] - 25:14, 34:4 orders [2] - 17:23, 59:6 ordinary [1] - 83:23 organized [2] - 34:4, 70:1 organizing [1] - 45:19 otherwise [1] - 41:20 ought [2] - 100:11, 118:11 ourselves [2] - 25:11, 31:5 outsider [2] - 60:25, 61:2 own [2] - 52:10, 53:9
O				
objection [1] - 116:3 obtained [1] - 92:24 obtaining [1] - 45:16 obviate [1] - 34:19 obviously [24] - 17:13, 18:1, 19:21, 19:24, 26:16, 31:19, 32:23, 34:13, 37:12, 38:5, 49:21, 58:4, 61:4, 61:12, 63:5, 67:6, 69:6, 69:17, 87:2, 89:16, 96:8, 114:10, 115:15, 118:20 occur [1] - 98:9 occurring [1] - 88:4 offensive [1] - 117:17 offered [1] - 78:22 office [4] - 46:16, 110:12, 116:16, 117:1 officer [9] - 54:21, 55:10, 56:4, 60:17, 62:11, 79:19, 80:16, 80:24, 81:15 officers [5] - 63:11, 80:5, 87:23, 89:1, 92:19 officials [1] - 89:1 often [1] - 83:16 omnibus [1] - 69:10 once [13] - 29:16, 30:13, 49:22, 50:7, 70:5, 76:1, 77:2, 85:25, 90:6, 101:22, 103:8, 113:11, 117:23 one [71] - 17:9, 17:21, 19:17, 19:22, 21:15,				
P				
P-A-R-I-K-H [1] - 52:24 P-U-R-C-A-R-O [1] - 35:10 p.m [1] - 119:5 papers [2] - 17:7, 60:13 pardon [4] - 64:22, 94:6, 101:20, 112:6 parent [1] - 57:22 PARIKH [68] - 52:20, 52:23, 53:1, 53:7, 53:12, 53:16, 53:23, 54:2, 54:6, 54:8, 54:23, 55:6, 55:9, 55:13, 55:15, 55:20, 56:1, 56:6, 56:9, 56:14, 56:22, 57:14, 57:18, 57:25, 58:5, 58:12, 58:17, 59:5, 59:10, 59:18, 59:21, 59:25, 60:5, 60:7, 60:20, 60:23, 61:16, 61:19, 61:22, 61:25, 62:4, 62:23, 63:1, 63:4, 63:9, 63:15, 64:1, 64:9, 64:14, 64:17, 64:20, 65:17, 89:25, 90:2, 90:13, 94:19, 96:25, 111:8, 111:12, 117:4, 117:7, 117:11, 117:17, 117:20, 118:9, 118:13,				

<p>118:18, 118:24 Parikh [5] - 52:20, 52:25, 90:2, 111:9, 117:4 part [8] - 26:18, 62:12, 88:18, 89:10, 89:13, 101:5, 105:25, 107:23 partial [1] - 92:15 partially [1] - 104:14 participate [1] - 34:14 participation [1] - 34:20 particular [2] - 33:9, 69:10 particularly [1] - 103:9 parties [13] - 34:4, 34:13, 50:23, 74:7, 76:1, 76:21, 76:24, 82:11, 91:16, 98:13, 98:22, 100:9, 100:19 parties-in-interest [1] - 91:16 parts [1] - 101:6 party [6] - 20:12, 85:23, 91:15, 91:24, 106:19 party-in-interest [2] - 91:15, 91:24 path [2] - 68:17, 102:7 pattern [1] - 109:23 pay [1] - 111:6 pending [3] - 107:15, 107:16, 114:20 Pennsylvania [1] - 17:2 People [1] - 33:14 people [41] - 17:6, 17:10, 17:14, 20:20, 23:7, 23:18, 30:17, 38:1, 38:3, 38:8, 39:19, 41:21, 42:5, 51:7, 53:8, 54:4, 54:8, 56:14, 57:5, 58:22, 67:5, 68:21, 69:18, 70:19, 70:22, 71:2, 71:10, 71:25, 74:16, 77:19, 77:21, 80:2, 80:8, 80:15, 81:14, 81:24, 92:18, 100:25, 101:3, 111:20, 114:23 people's [5] - 44:15, 44:22, 51:5, 81:20, 108:13 PeopleConnect [1] - 42:15 Pepper [1] - 21:12 per [1] - 90:13 percent [1] - 90:6</p>	<p>perhaps [10] - 50:12, 58:14, 81:9, 85:6, 85:11, 85:13, 89:1, 93:3, 100:9, 117:22 period [3] - 43:20, 74:24, 83:1 permitted [3] - 37:13, 84:8, 93:24 person [9] - 17:12, 47:6, 47:7, 52:7, 64:10, 71:12, 90:9, 94:20, 118:16 personal [33] - 22:24, 26:13, 26:15, 27:18, 28:1, 28:12, 28:16, 28:25, 29:7, 29:9, 29:11, 29:21, 30:7, 30:9, 30:17, 33:25, 45:24, 49:6, 49:10, 49:19, 49:20, 53:9, 62:25, 66:7, 70:13, 79:16, 80:21, 82:3, 82:13, 83:21, 84:1, 85:8, 86:24 personally [3] - 65:14, 87:17, 107:5 persons [12] - 36:25, 39:24, 46:24, 56:24, 104:25, 107:1, 107:2, 109:11, 109:16, 117:13, 117:16, 117:19 perspective [3] - 33:21, 60:12, 109:10 petition [1] - 84:24 phone [8] - 17:10, 41:21, 41:25, 57:2, 65:17, 80:18, 81:22, 87:22 phrase [1] - 117:16 pick [1] - 84:7 picked [1] - 46:15 picture [1] - 99:19 pictures [1] - 51:4 PII [1] - 87:17 pile [1] - 101:10 place [3] - 68:19, 87:11, 118:17 plaintiff [10] - 16:8, 19:13, 19:23, 20:10, 20:20, 20:21, 21:19, 22:12, 25:11, 90:22 plaintiffs [48] - 18:12, 20:6, 20:22, 22:5, 23:6, 23:11, 23:17, 24:17, 25:5, 26:5, 26:9, 26:11, 26:17, 30:24, 34:11, 38:1, 40:23, 41:1, 41:19, 42:3, 42:18, 42:20,</p>	<p>43:18, 45:17, 46:4, 46:5, 48:9, 48:11, 48:24, 50:18, 52:21, 64:1, 66:6, 66:18, 91:4, 91:16, 103:18, 104:12, 104:15, 104:24, 106:12, 107:8, 111:9, 113:7, 115:5, 115:11, 117:5, 117:13 plaintiffs' [10] - 18:4, 18:6, 19:15, 36:20, 44:14, 45:6, 47:23, 51:3, 66:22, 92:24 plan [1] - 112:25 plausibility [1] - 85:14 pleading [1] - 85:14 pleadings [1] - 85:7 plethora [1] - 16:16 point [15] - 36:19, 37:5, 39:19, 40:8, 50:5, 52:16, 70:6, 88:9, 90:19, 91:2, 104:22, 114:22, 115:23, 116:11, 118:12 pointed [1] - 69:13 points [3] - 33:22, 63:17, 117:11 police [11] - 54:21, 55:10, 56:4, 60:17, 63:10, 79:18, 80:16, 80:24, 87:23, 88:25, 92:19 Politico [3] - 92:21, 92:22 position [4] - 20:23, 27:17, 108:7, 113:14 possible [3] - 25:25, 45:19, 49:21 possibly [3] - 27:19, 41:24, 59:17 posture [1] - 73:7 potential [3] - 44:9, 68:17, 73:6 potentially [8] - 43:5, 79:5, 79:8, 80:3, 80:14, 87:10, 100:6, 103:14 powers [1] - 118:21 practical [4] - 30:16, 61:15, 99:17, 114:5 practice [2] - 62:8, 76:23 practices [2] - 37:22, 47:5 precede [1] - 17:17 prejudice [8] - 63:2, 63:3, 66:14, 85:24, 95:6, 98:18, 114:10,</p>	<p>114:16 preliminary [2] - 16:15, 25:17 prepared [1] - 109:20 present [2] - 25:14, 116:2 presents [1] - 22:7 preserved [2] - 79:15, 84:14 press [3] - 64:5, 64:11, 92:23 pretty [1] - 71:21 prevent [3] - 63:5, 86:20, 86:22 preventing [1] - 44:21 prevents [1] - 102:13 previewed [1] - 43:17 previously [1] - 112:3 privacy [6] - 26:23, 26:25, 55:15, 55:20, 62:11, 62:13 private [2] - 27:1, 44:16 pro [10] - 16:16, 16:19, 16:24, 16:25, 110:8, 110:15, 111:9, 115:14, 116:2, 116:10 proactively [1] - 40:12 problem [7] - 30:5, 30:6, 52:13, 52:15, 61:10, 101:11, 104:6 problems [2] - 65:12, 104:17 procedure [3] - 76:17, 76:20, 113:17 proceed [1] - 46:1 proceeding [3] - 78:25, 79:11, 103:21 Proceedings [1] - 119:5 proceedings [2] - 116:1, 119:8 PROCEEDINGS [1] - 16:1 process [9] - 36:13, 43:14, 43:15, 44:1, 50:23, 77:23, 90:3, 99:22, 102:12 produce [9] - 23:11, 23:15, 23:16, 23:17, 58:2, 58:15, 87:16, 94:22, 94:24 produced [7] - 23:4, 23:11, 60:3, 60:9, 67:6, 88:14, 88:15 production [2] - 36:24, 88:5 productive [1] - 117:9 prohibit [1] - 45:1</p>	<p>promptly [1] - 67:20 Prophete [1] - 47:13 proposal [2] - 31:4, 49:5 propose [3] - 24:15, 77:18 proposed [4] - 16:20, 16:22, 16:23, 116:23 proposing [2] - 103:11, 103:12 prosecute [4] - 89:4, 100:2, 102:2 prosecuting [1] - 102:13 prosecutor [2] - 60:17, 62:10 prosecutors [3] - 80:6, 87:23, 92:19 protect [11] - 26:23, 33:6, 37:23, 41:20, 49:24, 51:5, 56:18, 82:15, 82:17, 83:16, 84:9 protected [4] - 42:6, 42:7, 62:16, 105:1 protecting [5] - 26:25, 42:5, 61:5, 61:6, 101:23 Protection [1] - 107:13 protection [1] - 34:23 protections [2] - 37:10, 38:3 protective [6] - 23:12, 58:20, 59:6, 59:8, 59:11, 60:9 provide [7] - 22:18, 42:3, 57:12, 80:11, 90:15, 117:13 provided [6] - 41:25, 54:13, 54:14, 54:17, 61:11, 67:2 provides [2] - 55:16, 55:20 providing [3] - 53:4, 61:10, 109:14 provision [4] - 59:13, 82:21, 84:5, 114:16 public [5] - 26:24, 27:1, 51:24, 63:7, 80:18 publish [1] - 87:22 published [1] - 57:9 PURCARO [34] - 35:4, 35:6, 35:10, 35:13, 35:16, 36:1, 36:5, 36:7, 36:12, 37:4, 37:6, 37:8, 37:16, 38:7, 38:10, 38:13, 38:16, 38:22, 38:24,</p>
---	---	--	---	---

<p>39:6, 39:9, 39:15, 39:19, 39:22, 40:6, 40:8, 40:14, 40:17, 40:25, 41:7, 111:13, 111:19, 112:2, 112:5</p> <p>Purcaro [2] - 35:6, 111:13</p> <p>purchase [1] - 17:14</p> <p>purpose [1] - 36:21</p> <p>purposes [2] - 42:1, 91:13</p> <p>pursuant [2] - 60:9, 107:12</p> <p>pursue [3] - 27:20, 45:25, 89:4</p> <p>pursuing [1] - 104:13</p> <p>push [5] - 56:7, 56:8, 56:11, 56:12, 56:13</p> <p>put [14] - 16:20, 25:12, 30:11, 52:10, 56:25, 57:4, 83:16, 87:19, 90:7, 100:6, 104:18, 114:8, 117:25, 118:23</p> <p>putting [1] - 17:6</p>	<p>rather [1] - 58:24</p> <p>reached [2] - 38:2, 54:16</p> <p>read [2] - 53:20, 63:10</p> <p>ready [1] - 95:20</p> <p>real [8] - 20:12, 44:18, 57:10, 63:10, 91:14, 91:15, 91:24</p> <p>really [10] - 22:7, 34:1, 44:24, 60:16, 62:2, 62:4, 90:16, 91:24, 99:24, 108:2</p> <p>reason [6] - 19:21, 27:17, 29:4, 35:22, 60:2, 61:7</p> <p>reasonable [4] - 43:24, 75:16, 75:19, 117:23</p> <p>reasoning [1] - 62:7</p> <p>reasons [4] - 45:24, 83:4, 103:20, 106:3</p> <p>reassigned [1] - 17:8</p> <p>receive [1] - 54:16</p> <p>received [4] - 16:16, 40:5, 67:8, 110:11</p> <p>receiving [1] - 116:21</p> <p>recently [4] - 36:14, 92:22, 107:22, 107:23</p> <p>recess [2] - 77:25, 78:3</p> <p>recessed [1] - 78:7</p> <p>recognize [1] - 114:5</p> <p>recognizing [1] - 91:17</p> <p>recommendation [1] - 35:16</p> <p>record [10] - 43:13, 44:3, 44:7, 44:11, 45:5, 52:22, 79:10, 111:8, 111:22, 119:8</p> <p>redact [5] - 38:8, 41:20, 74:14, 74:25, 109:12</p> <p>redaction [2] - 74:11, 75:16</p> <p>reduce [1] - 95:6</p> <p>redundancy [1] - 67:7</p> <p>redundant [1] - 58:11</p> <p>reference [1] - 83:12</p> <p>refile [1] - 111:2</p> <p>refine [2] - 59:16, 62:18</p> <p>reflected [2] - 89:7, 93:3</p> <p>regard [4] - 25:18, 30:6, 73:15, 103:19</p> <p>regarding [4] - 33:23, 63:22, 64:10, 82:21</p> <p>regardless [5] - 39:22,</p>	<p>39:23, 62:24, 67:20, 73:7</p> <p>registered [1] - 92:7</p> <p>registration [1] - 91:13</p> <p>regular [1] - 81:18</p> <p>reiterating [1] - 37:1</p> <p>rejected [1] - 118:6</p> <p>rejection [1] - 116:17</p> <p>related [2] - 85:7, 93:20</p> <p>relationship [1] - 46:24</p> <p>relatively [1] - 51:9</p> <p>relax [1] - 69:19</p> <p>released [1] - 40:20</p> <p>relevant [6] - 18:2, 91:3, 91:5, 91:6, 91:7, 91:10</p> <p>relief [8] - 83:25, 87:20, 102:19, 102:22, 103:1, 103:6, 103:10, 104:13</p> <p>religion [1] - 74:23</p> <p>remand [48] - 18:5, 19:20, 20:24, 21:19, 21:21, 23:3, 24:19, 25:7, 26:4, 26:7, 32:10, 35:24, 48:25, 68:17, 69:1, 69:20, 77:21, 82:4, 91:8, 91:11, 93:4, 93:13, 93:14, 93:16, 93:19, 94:2, 95:7, 95:12, 95:18, 95:23, 96:1, 96:6, 96:17, 96:19, 98:3, 98:9, 98:25, 104:23, 105:11, 112:14, 113:7, 113:9, 113:11, 113:13, 114:1</p> <p>remands [1] - 93:21</p> <p>remember [1] - 76:20</p> <p>removal [2] - 48:22, 60:13</p> <p>remove [7] - 18:23, 38:20, 39:25, 40:15, 102:10, 104:18, 109:12</p> <p>removed [12] - 16:13, 18:17, 36:9, 36:10, 39:12, 56:16, 56:19, 57:2, 72:6, 104:11, 107:19, 108:1</p> <p>rent [1] - 107:5</p> <p>reply [1] - 70:4</p> <p>repopulated [1] - 104:11</p> <p>report [2] - 64:9,</p>	<p>109:15</p> <p>reported [1] - 107:1</p> <p>reporter [7] - 17:19, 35:9, 39:10, 43:22, 64:6, 71:7, 74:18</p> <p>Reporter/Transcriber [1] - 119:12</p> <p>reports [1] - 109:14</p> <p>represent [10] - 21:12, 31:16, 33:13, 37:17, 48:18, 87:24, 107:8, 109:6, 110:5, 116:9</p> <p>representation [2] - 104:7, 117:21</p> <p>represented [2] - 20:12, 105:24</p> <p>representing [2] - 42:14, 107:7</p> <p>request [20] - 17:9, 23:10, 23:17, 36:25, 37:19, 39:5, 39:8, 39:13, 39:25, 46:14, 47:18, 53:16, 53:17, 57:9, 57:13, 57:25, 81:16, 90:6, 107:3, 109:18</p> <p>requested [3] - 37:10, 60:8, 74:11</p> <p>requests [26] - 27:5, 27:6, 37:21, 38:8, 38:17, 39:3, 39:12, 39:15, 39:20, 40:24, 43:3, 43:19, 44:4, 45:18, 46:5, 46:7, 46:9, 46:18, 53:14, 54:10, 54:16, 56:25, 57:7, 63:20</p> <p>require [9] - 43:13, 47:7, 49:12, 50:15, 67:7, 69:18, 87:16, 91:1, 101:3</p> <p>required [1] - 47:25</p> <p>requirement [1] - 48:10</p> <p>requires [3] - 45:5, 107:4, 116:17</p> <p>requiring [2] - 61:23, 114:15</p> <p>res [1] - 99:16</p> <p>reservation [1] - 50:11</p> <p>reserved [1] - 50:14</p> <p>reserving [1] - 105:12</p> <p>resolve [2] - 25:17, 103:23</p> <p>resolved [4] - 30:22, 86:1, 86:15, 108:12</p> <p>respect [11] - 24:12, 28:23, 46:2, 47:19, 57:18, 63:18, 63:22,</p>	<p>81:1, 93:1, 117:12, 117:20</p> <p>respond [2] - 70:4, 101:7</p> <p>RESPONSE [1] - 16:4</p> <p>response [2] - 106:25, 115:11</p> <p>responses [1] - 95:18</p> <p>rest [3] - 67:20, 68:18, 98:19</p> <p>Restoration [1] - 47:14</p> <p>result [1] - 26:10</p> <p>retaining [1] - 36:13</p> <p>retaliate [1] - 106:25</p> <p>retaliation [1] - 107:12</p> <p>return [1] - 77:25</p> <p>rights [4] - 22:14, 89:3, 114:10, 114:17</p> <p>rings [1] - 103:3</p> <p>rise [3] - 78:2, 78:4, 119:4</p> <p>road [3] - 23:2, 75:23, 95:7</p> <p>Robert [1] - 33:13</p> <p>Rockwell [1] - 35:11</p> <p>rolling [2] - 58:8, 59:4</p> <p>room [3] - 58:9, 86:15, 105:23</p> <p>ROONEY [4] - 109:2, 109:5, 109:9, 110:1</p> <p>Rooney [1] - 109:5</p> <p>Ross [1] - 115:20</p> <p>roughly [1] - 22:1</p> <p>round [2] - 87:11, 99:22</p> <p>rule [2] - 23:9, 60:8</p> <p>Rule [5] - 60:12, 76:15, 77:16, 83:23, 84:6</p> <p>Rules [1] - 84:6</p> <p>rules [3] - 49:23, 59:11, 60:13</p> <p>ruling [1] - 27:18</p> <p>run [2] - 18:23, 76:18</p> <p>run-of-the-mill [1] - 76:18</p> <p>runs [1] - 69:17</p> <p>Ryan [1] - 115:4</p>
Q				
<p>questions [3] - 43:2, 43:3, 48:13</p> <p>quick [3] - 41:15, 50:10, 116:10</p> <p>quickly [3] - 41:19, 51:10, 103:9</p> <p>Quinn [1] - 116:8</p> <p>quo [1] - 40:18</p>				
R				
<p>rabbit [2] - 95:10, 95:25</p> <p>raise [15] - 18:3, 34:16, 36:23, 49:21, 67:24, 83:1, 83:2, 83:15, 83:25, 84:1, 84:6, 84:7, 86:25, 101:5, 114:18</p> <p>raised [11] - 24:8, 37:1, 48:22, 49:1, 67:18, 67:25, 71:24, 84:2, 86:16, 101:1, 103:20</p> <p>raises [1] - 51:8</p> <p>raising [3] - 43:1, 82:23, 85:24</p> <p>Raj [1] - 52:20</p> <p>RAJ [1] - 52:23</p> <p>Rajiv [3] - 90:2, 111:8, 117:4</p> <p>ran [3] - 92:21, 92:22, 112:2</p>				
S				
<p>S-P-A-K [1] - 41:13</p> <p>S-T-A-L-T-A-R-I [1] - 116:8</p> <p>safety [2] - 26:24, 27:1</p> <p>Sanders [1] - 21:12</p> <p>Sandler [1] - 109:5</p> <p>satisfies [1] - 43:25</p> <p>schedule [6] - 25:12,</p>				

<p>26:8, 36:15, 67:13, 98:20, 114:12</p> <p>scheduling [1] - 21:8</p> <p>Schiller [2] - 66:18, 106:11</p> <p>score [1] - 94:7</p> <p>seal [1] - 23:12</p> <p>Searchers [1] - 33:14</p> <p>seat [1] - 78:5</p> <p>seated [1] - 16:5</p> <p>second [10] - 19:7, 19:18, 23:16, 28:18, 29:6, 65:1, 87:11, 99:22, 107:10, 118:15</p> <p>security [1] - 36:18</p> <p>security [1] - 61:4</p> <p>see [14] - 20:20, 26:19, 32:11, 39:21, 40:13, 45:21, 64:8, 70:1, 89:10, 95:24, 96:18, 102:10, 104:8, 109:23</p> <p>seek [4] - 18:5, 48:9, 82:24, 105:11</p> <p>seeking [4] - 37:14, 79:19, 80:24, 102:23</p> <p>seeks [1] - 79:24</p> <p>seem [3] - 19:3, 36:8, 68:24</p> <p>selling [1] - 44:16</p> <p>send [7] - 38:20, 53:14, 53:25, 55:12, 66:6, 67:8, 116:17</p> <p>sense [7] - 23:5, 67:19, 68:24, 76:24, 97:1, 101:8, 114:22</p> <p>sensitive [1] - 58:21</p> <p>sent [10] - 23:19, 46:16, 46:18, 52:7, 53:8, 53:16, 53:17, 54:9, 107:2, 107:6</p> <p>separate [6] - 43:25, 68:10, 73:4, 77:20, 88:14, 94:10</p> <p>sequenced [1] - 25:16</p> <p>serial [1] - 102:9</p> <p>series [2] - 57:20</p> <p>serious [1] - 43:3</p> <p>Serrin [1] - 42:13</p> <p>servants [2] - 26:24, 27:1</p> <p>served [1] - 36:8</p> <p>server [1] - 38:24</p> <p>service [12] - 44:24, 52:8, 53:9, 54:6, 59:24, 59:25, 61:2, 62:2, 62:9, 62:12, 62:16, 107:3</p> <p>services [1] - 78:22</p>	<p>serving [1] - 18:24</p> <p>set [6] - 19:20, 19:23, 45:25, 93:16, 98:20, 108:10</p> <p>setting [2] - 17:24, 113:22</p> <p>settle [2] - 45:23, 73:12</p> <p>settlement [7] - 34:11, 34:19, 34:21, 42:1, 73:6, 73:8, 77:23</p> <p>setups [1] - 77:17</p> <p>seven [1] - 117:22</p> <p>several [2] - 17:23, 83:4</p> <p>shake [1] - 45:22</p> <p>shall [1] - 59:1</p> <p>share [1] - 111:22</p> <p>shared [1] - 58:22</p> <p>shares [1] - 42:5</p> <p>SHAW [93] - 18:7, 18:10, 18:12, 18:14, 19:7, 19:9, 20:3, 20:7, 20:9, 21:2, 21:5, 21:9, 24:4, 41:4, 50:17, 50:20, 51:13, 51:18, 51:20, 51:23, 52:2, 52:7, 52:15, 52:17, 64:22, 67:12, 67:17, 67:22, 68:5, 68:9, 68:13, 68:21, 69:2, 69:4, 69:7, 69:16, 69:25, 70:24, 71:5, 71:9, 71:21, 71:23, 72:3, 72:10, 72:17, 72:23, 73:2, 73:9, 73:13, 73:24, 74:6, 74:12, 74:16, 74:22, 75:1, 75:5, 75:24, 76:11, 76:16, 76:22, 77:1, 77:4, 77:8, 78:1, 87:9, 89:18, 94:6, 94:17, 94:25, 96:21, 96:24, 97:2, 97:8, 97:11, 97:14, 97:17, 97:21, 98:1, 99:6, 99:10, 99:18, 99:21, 99:25, 100:5, 100:9, 100:13, 100:17, 101:20, 101:25, 112:6, 112:9, 119:3</p> <p>Shaw [17] - 18:12, 50:2, 50:16, 50:17, 53:7, 64:21, 67:11, 67:12, 78:7, 87:8, 89:17, 89:18, 94:6, 99:21, 105:17, 106:1, 112:9</p> <p>shorter [1] - 26:2</p>	<p>shotgun [1] - 75:18</p> <p>show [1] - 27:4</p> <p>shows [2] - 61:9, 93:4</p> <p>shut [2] - 38:16, 39:2</p> <p>side [3] - 25:13, 101:21, 108:11</p> <p>sidetracked [1] - 67:15</p> <p>Sidley [1] - 48:18</p> <p>sign [7] - 16:22, 34:4, 60:19, 60:22, 89:9, 110:17, 116:25</p> <p>signatory [3] - 24:22, 35:23, 35:25</p> <p>signed [2] - 36:1, 111:1</p> <p>significance [1] - 92:17</p> <p>significant [1] - 62:5</p> <p>signs [1] - 60:3</p> <p>silly [1] - 111:24</p> <p>silver [1] - 96:2</p> <p>similar [3] - 25:23, 37:18, 106:21</p> <p>simply [5] - 44:22, 48:9, 49:17, 58:25, 64:4</p> <p>single [1] - 66:25</p> <p>sit [1] - 113:17</p> <p>sites [2] - 56:16, 58:1</p> <p>sits [2] - 107:18, 108:3</p> <p>sitting [1] - 56:3</p> <p>situation [2] - 37:19, 103:13</p> <p>six [1] - 102:3</p> <p>slew [1] - 75:12</p> <p>slightly [1] - 97:11</p> <p>small [1] - 47:21</p> <p>smaller [1] - 101:9</p> <p>Smith [3] - 47:13, 53:16, 65:13</p> <p>Smiths [2] - 65:13, 65:24</p> <p>someone [2] - 16:19, 17:13</p> <p>sometimes [5] - 16:17, 17:6, 76:11, 76:12, 100:19</p> <p>somewhat [2] - 34:15, 52:3</p> <p>somewhere [3] - 29:23, 52:10, 118:22</p> <p>soon [4] - 52:18, 58:2, 69:3, 96:19</p> <p>sooner [1] - 58:14</p> <p>sorry [4] - 27:8, 27:22, 103:17, 116:11</p> <p>Sorry [1] - 66:17</p> <p>sort [7] - 25:13, 34:21, 45:4, 48:2, 59:3,</p>	<p>113:19, 114:25</p> <p>sought [4] - 51:11, 75:15, 82:9, 88:22</p> <p>sounds [7] - 32:13, 68:1, 71:21, 89:8, 92:3, 111:15, 111:24</p> <p>SPAK [3] - 41:10, 41:13, 41:23</p> <p>Spak [1] - 41:11</p> <p>spam [4] - 38:16, 39:1, 39:16, 52:10</p> <p>speaking [3] - 71:6, 82:6, 91:7</p> <p>speaks [1] - 17:17</p> <p>specifically [3] - 83:12, 83:13, 113:22</p> <p>speculate [1] - 88:2</p> <p>speculation [1] - 88:2</p> <p>speech [2] - 33:6, 33:7</p> <p>Speech [1] - 79:2</p> <p>spell [4] - 17:18, 35:8, 41:12, 52:22</p> <p>spoken [1] - 50:6</p> <p>spot [1] - 63:19</p> <p>spouse [1] - 57:4</p> <p>spouses [1] - 60:22</p> <p>square [2] - 86:12, 102:5</p> <p>staged [1] - 34:22</p> <p>staggered [1] - 33:23</p> <p>stake [1] - 45:3</p> <p>STALTARI [6] - 116:7, 116:13, 116:16, 116:20, 116:24, 117:2</p> <p>Staltari [1] - 116:8</p> <p>stand [1] - 90:22</p> <p>standard [5] - 59:11, 60:6, 60:19, 72:11, 89:7</p> <p>standpoint [2] - 24:22, 25:2</p> <p>start [3] - 25:15, 29:22, 38:11</p> <p>starting [1] - 102:5</p> <p>starts [1] - 97:3</p> <p>state [13] - 18:19, 20:17, 40:11, 60:8, 63:23, 72:13, 76:14, 83:25, 90:1, 92:8, 106:10, 106:22, 107:18</p> <p>statue [1] - 86:21</p> <p>status [8] - 16:7, 17:24, 29:17, 40:18, 93:18, 98:5, 113:6, 115:25</p> <p>statute [30] - 16:9, 24:14, 27:10, 29:24, 32:1, 43:4, 45:1,</p>	<p>45:10, 48:5, 50:15, 63:8, 70:8, 71:11, 72:2, 72:12, 72:14, 72:17, 73:21, 74:3, 74:20, 75:10, 75:16, 79:9, 82:9, 84:1, 84:16, 91:3, 101:13, 102:23, 118:7</p> <p>statutory [4] - 43:2, 43:5, 43:9, 87:3</p> <p>stay [19] - 18:7, 40:10, 69:15, 69:17, 70:6, 73:9, 73:10, 73:16, 74:1, 75:5, 76:11, 77:5, 87:13, 95:8, 113:11, 114:20, 114:22, 115:7, 115:10</p> <p>stayed [7] - 30:12, 36:17, 51:1, 98:11, 98:18, 100:15, 115:12</p> <p>staying [1] - 71:3</p> <p>stays [1] - 77:2</p> <p>step [7] - 20:4, 21:20, 22:4, 53:3, 94:7, 95:13, 99:19</p> <p>STICHEL [8] - 110:4, 110:10, 110:18, 110:20, 110:23, 111:2, 111:5, 111:7</p> <p>Stichel [1] - 110:4</p> <p>still [16] - 18:20, 18:23, 18:24, 18:25, 19:11, 36:13, 39:23, 51:3, 74:20, 74:24, 75:3, 83:19, 83:20, 90:23, 92:20, 107:18</p> <p>STIO [50] - 21:11, 21:15, 21:23, 22:3, 22:11, 23:25, 24:2, 24:10, 24:15, 24:21, 25:2, 25:21, 26:19, 26:22, 27:8, 27:13, 27:16, 27:25, 28:4, 28:8, 28:12, 28:17, 28:21, 29:4, 29:12, 30:6, 30:11, 30:15, 30:23, 65:1, 65:3, 65:8, 65:11, 65:20, 66:1, 66:5, 66:11, 66:14, 66:16, 95:1, 95:3, 95:14, 95:21, 96:7, 96:9, 96:11, 96:16, 112:22, 113:4, 119:1</p> <p>Stio [2] - 21:11, 95:3</p> <p>stories [2] - 63:10</p> <p>Strawbridge [1] - 91:14</p>
---	--	--	--	--

<p>streamline ^[1] - 34:20</p> <p>structured ^[1] - 58:1</p> <p>subject ^[30] - 17:25, 18:4, 21:7, 23:23, 25:11, 25:17, 26:13, 26:15, 28:14, 28:24, 29:2, 29:22, 30:10, 32:6, 40:24, 42:20, 78:13, 78:23, 93:8, 93:18, 93:19, 98:22, 98:25, 99:8, 105:14, 114:1, 114:4, 114:11, 114:13</p> <p>subjects ^[1] - 17:25</p> <p>submit ^[2] - 20:24, 26:5</p> <p>submitted ^[2] - 24:24, 52:1</p> <p>subscribe ^[4] - 104:21, 105:2, 105:5, 105:7</p> <p>subscribers ^[3] - 44:16, 78:22, 80:4</p> <p>subsequent ^[1] - 116:1</p> <p>subset ^[3] - 47:21, 54:8, 56:12</p> <p>substantive ^[1] - 16:14</p> <p>successful ^[1] - 63:6</p> <p>sufficient ^[1] - 58:7</p> <p>suggest ^[3] - 100:3, 105:22, 112:12</p> <p>suggested ^[2] - 19:14, 89:6</p> <p>suggesting ^[5] - 72:23, 75:24, 85:22, 89:8, 102:7</p> <p>suggestion ^[2] - 36:15, 50:10</p> <p>suggestions ^[1] - 72:21</p> <p>suggests ^[1] - 92:11</p> <p>suing ^[1] - 72:7</p> <p>summary ^[1] - 68:2</p> <p>Superior ^[3] - 16:13, 64:15, 64:18</p> <p>supplemental ^[1] - 101:18</p> <p>supplied ^[1] - 62:1</p> <p>supply ^[2] - 51:9, 52:12</p> <p>supplying ^[1] - 62:15</p> <p>supposed ^[1] - 65:23</p> <p>suppress ^[3] - 46:10, 88:3, 117:24</p> <p>suppressed ^[2] - 103:4, 103:5</p> <p>suppressing ^[1] - 104:9</p>	<p>suppression ^[1] - 81:16</p> <p>Supreme ^[6] - 20:11, 20:13, 32:23, 84:25, 86:10</p> <p>sympathize ^[1] - 36:21</p> <p>system ^[4] - 38:13, 49:14, 62:10, 75:20</p> <p>systems ^[2] - 61:3, 104:8</p> <p>SZYBA ^[5] - 33:11, 33:13, 33:18, 33:21, 35:1</p> <p>Szyba ^[1] - 33:13</p>	<p>26:16, 26:21, 27:7, 27:9, 27:14, 27:21, 27:24, 28:2, 28:7, 28:11, 28:13, 28:20, 28:22, 29:10, 29:15, 30:3, 30:8, 30:13, 30:16, 30:21, 30:25, 31:2, 31:14, 31:15, 31:20, 32:2, 32:9, 32:12, 32:16, 32:17, 33:1, 33:3, 33:8, 33:12, 33:16, 33:20, 34:25, 35:2, 35:5, 35:8, 35:12, 35:15, 35:25, 36:4, 36:6, 36:11, 37:2, 37:5, 37:7, 37:12, 38:5, 38:8, 38:12, 38:15, 38:18, 38:23, 39:5, 39:7, 39:11, 39:18, 39:21, 40:2, 40:7, 40:13, 40:16, 40:22, 41:1, 41:5, 41:8, 41:12, 41:22, 42:9, 42:12, 42:16, 42:22, 44:17, 44:19, 44:23, 45:12, 46:2, 46:7, 46:11, 46:13, 46:20, 47:1, 47:8, 47:11, 47:17, 48:3, 48:14, 48:16, 49:15, 50:2, 50:9, 50:12, 50:16, 50:19, 51:8, 51:17, 51:19, 51:21, 51:24, 52:6, 52:14, 52:16, 52:18, 52:22, 52:25, 53:6, 53:11, 53:15, 53:19, 53:24, 54:5, 54:7, 54:20, 54:24, 55:8, 55:10, 55:14, 55:17, 55:24, 56:3, 56:7, 56:13, 56:17, 57:11, 57:15, 57:24, 58:2, 58:11, 58:15, 58:24, 59:2, 59:6, 59:15, 59:20, 59:23, 60:2, 60:6, 60:16, 60:21, 61:14, 61:17, 61:20, 61:23, 62:1, 62:14, 62:17, 62:24, 63:2, 63:5, 63:13, 63:25, 64:8, 64:13, 64:15, 64:19, 64:23, 65:2, 65:6, 65:10, 65:16, 65:19, 65:21, 66:4, 66:9, 66:12, 66:15, 66:19, 66:21, 66:24, 67:3, 67:6, 67:15, 67:18, 67:25, 68:4, 68:6, 68:10, 68:20, 68:25, 69:3,</p>	<p>69:5, 69:15, 69:17, 70:3, 71:4, 71:6, 71:8, 71:15, 71:22, 72:1, 72:4, 72:15, 72:22, 73:1, 73:8, 73:10, 73:19, 74:3, 74:9, 74:13, 74:19, 74:23, 75:3, 75:8, 75:9, 76:9, 76:13, 76:17, 76:25, 77:3, 77:7, 77:24, 78:5, 78:10, 78:12, 78:15, 78:17, 79:5, 79:7, 79:13, 79:17, 79:23, 80:7, 80:13, 80:16, 81:5, 81:8, 81:11, 81:13, 81:17, 81:20, 81:22, 81:25, 82:6, 83:5, 83:11, 83:22, 84:13, 84:15, 84:17, 84:18, 84:20, 84:23, 85:1, 85:3, 85:10, 85:12, 85:17, 85:21, 86:2, 86:4, 86:6, 86:12, 86:17, 86:20, 86:24, 87:2, 87:5, 87:8, 87:18, 87:25, 88:7, 88:17, 88:20, 88:22, 89:2, 89:10, 89:13, 89:17, 89:23, 90:1, 90:12, 91:1, 91:9, 91:19, 91:22, 92:1, 92:4, 93:9, 93:12, 93:15, 93:23, 94:5, 94:14, 95:2, 95:8, 95:11, 95:15, 96:4, 96:8, 96:10, 96:14, 96:17, 96:23, 97:3, 97:9, 97:13, 97:16, 97:19, 97:22, 98:3, 98:17, 98:19, 99:7, 99:11, 99:20, 99:24, 100:3, 100:7, 101:11, 101:24, 102:14, 102:17, 102:20, 103:2, 103:11, 103:12, 103:16, 104:21, 105:5, 105:6, 105:13, 106:7, 106:10, 106:13, 106:16, 107:7, 107:9, 107:15, 107:21, 107:25, 108:6, 108:9, 108:14, 108:18, 108:20, 108:23, 109:1, 109:3, 109:8, 109:25, 110:2, 110:9, 110:16,</p>	<p>110:19, 110:21, 110:25, 111:4, 111:6, 111:11, 111:17, 111:25, 112:4, 112:8, 112:15, 112:25, 113:3, 113:5, 114:2, 114:4, 115:6, 115:12, 115:14, 115:18, 115:22, 115:24, 116:3, 116:6, 116:12, 116:15, 116:19, 116:22, 116:25, 117:6, 117:10, 117:15, 117:18, 118:1, 118:3, 118:10, 118:17, 118:19, 118:25, 119:2</p> <p>theft ^[1] - 107:1</p> <p>Theft ^[1] - 107:13</p> <p>themselves ^[2] - 52:8, 78:23</p> <p>theoretically ^[1] - 105:13</p> <p>theory ^[4] - 22:5, 74:21, 75:3, 92:15</p> <p>thereafter ^[2] - 93:25, 113:10</p> <p>therefore ^[1] - 48:5</p> <p>they've ^[5] - 17:7, 72:7, 74:23, 104:6, 117:1</p> <p>thinking ^[7] - 29:16, 69:6, 69:7, 70:5, 77:7, 96:4, 98:12</p> <p>third ^[4] - 65:20, 66:1, 92:25</p> <p>thousands ^[4] - 22:17, 23:20, 38:11, 75:19</p> <p>thread ^[1] - 22:11</p> <p>three ^[4] - 33:13, 37:20, 53:17, 69:16</p> <p>threshold ^[6] - 19:10, 26:12, 33:24, 34:2, 35:17, 40:9</p> <p>threw ^[1] - 52:11</p> <p>throw ^[5] - 31:4, 52:14, 63:20, 66:13, 73:22</p> <p>timeline ^[1] - 90:7</p> <p>timely ^[1] - 108:2</p> <p>timing ^[1] - 34:24</p> <p>timing-wise ^[1] - 34:24</p> <p>today ^[12] - 18:2, 32:10, 37:18, 52:19, 69:18, 71:10, 90:22, 94:2, 114:21, 115:2,</p>
---	--	--	--	--

117:8, 118:25 together [5] - 25:12, 36:2, 98:13, 98:22, 112:18 tomorrow [1] - 69:19 took [1] - 70:7 tool [1] - 58:18 tools [4] - 55:16, 55:21, 62:11, 62:13 topic [1] - 48:11 total [1] - 54:4 towards [2] - 64:11, 75:25 town [2] - 54:21, 80:17 Track [2] - 106:4 track [6] - 34:21, 41:23, 68:15, 68:17, 75:8, 77:21 traditional [1] - 91:13 transcript [3] - 17:13, 119:7 transferred [2] - 45:24, 107:19 trend [2] - 91:17, 91:20 Trenton [1] - 17:7 trial [2] - 97:3, 97:18 tried [1] - 40:4 Troutman [1] - 21:12 true [2] - 26:18, 33:19 truly [1] - 104:9 trusting [1] - 17:4 try [10] - 20:11, 25:12, 26:6, 31:4, 61:14, 77:4, 102:10, 104:5, 104:23, 118:10 trying [7] - 25:24, 39:6, 39:19, 43:20, 90:17, 105:17, 105:21 turn [3] - 17:16, 23:6, 64:20 TURNER [16] - 42:11, 42:13, 42:18, 42:23, 43:23, 44:18, 44:20, 44:24, 45:15, 46:4, 46:9, 46:12, 46:17, 46:23, 47:4 Turner [2] - 42:13, 49:11 two [13] - 31:21, 33:21, 41:23, 49:1, 54:14, 56:11, 58:1, 60:25, 63:17, 65:9, 65:11, 87:24, 117:11 Twombly/Iqbal [1] - 85:13 Tyler [1] - 48:18 type [3] - 40:1, 57:10, 77:11	typed [1] - 16:23 typically [1] - 83:8 U U.S.C [1] - 84:19 ultimately [4] - 61:9, 104:22, 105:11, 105:19 uncheck [1] - 56:15 unclear [1] - 48:23 unconstitutional [7] - 30:1, 32:4, 74:3, 75:11, 76:3, 79:9, 118:7 under [28] - 19:3, 19:6, 20:1, 20:17, 22:15, 23:9, 30:3, 30:20, 33:3, 37:10, 38:4, 42:5, 43:4, 43:6, 49:23, 60:8, 71:11, 72:11, 72:13, 72:17, 74:20, 75:16, 76:14, 79:1, 84:19, 89:3, 92:25, 93:11 understood [4] - 58:12, 117:2, 118:9 unfortunately [1] - 116:16 uniform [1] - 89:9 uniformly [1] - 88:11 unique [3] - 22:7, 22:22, 34:6 unless [4] - 48:12, 56:19, 60:14, 116:4 unnecessary [1] - 47:4 unpublished [1] - 65:17 unverified [1] - 23:19 up [32] - 17:24, 18:9, 19:23, 23:22, 25:5, 26:8, 27:24, 29:19, 30:3, 30:19, 32:14, 32:16, 32:23, 41:16, 46:15, 49:16, 53:2, 58:15, 68:18, 68:23, 70:15, 70:23, 76:3, 77:5, 78:11, 86:10, 87:13, 89:19, 90:20, 108:21, 112:24 urgency [6] - 26:16, 27:3, 27:4, 35:21, 36:22, 41:18 US [4] - 33:3, 33:7, 84:25, 91:17 usage [2] - 62:10, 62:12 USC [1] - 95:15 useful [1] - 42:1	user [1] - 81:18 users [3] - 61:6, 78:21, 80:4 utilize [1] - 68:23 V vacuum [1] - 91:7 valid [3] - 39:22, 43:4, 105:9 validity [1] - 43:2 various [1] - 18:21 vary [1] - 47:6 varying [1] - 57:6 vast [2] - 104:2, 104:7 vehicle [1] - 20:17 verified [1] - 52:8 versus [1] - 105:10 vice [9] - 16:16, 16:19, 16:24, 16:25, 110:8, 110:15, 111:10, 115:14, 116:2 Vicinage [1] - 17:6 vicinage [1] - 17:8 view [2] - 109:9, 113:15 views [1] - 99:3 violated [3] - 16:9, 74:20, 90:7 violates [1] - 29:24 violation [1] - 60:12 visibility [1] - 39:3 voice [1] - 27:24 voices [1] - 114:7 W wait [6] - 49:9, 59:6, 100:10, 102:10, 108:11, 109:1 waited [1] - 27:5 waiting [1] - 37:23 waive [3] - 26:15, 27:19, 49:9 waived [5] - 79:3, 82:2, 82:3, 82:12, 82:22 waiver [3] - 40:17, 80:11, 81:10 waiving [4] - 49:17, 49:19, 83:14, 99:4 walked [1] - 46:16 wants [11] - 17:13, 21:19, 25:1, 25:10, 30:25, 50:4, 54:24, 55:11, 56:18, 61:5, 62:11 waste [1] - 58:24 wasted [1] - 76:5 watch [1] - 32:22 Watkins [1] - 42:14	ways [1] - 70:20 weave [1] - 102:4 website [1] - 28:9 websites [7] - 57:7, 57:9, 57:20, 78:21, 78:23, 90:25 wedded [1] - 31:4 weeds [1] - 118:3 week [3] - 38:14, 97:5, 98:4 weeks [2] - 69:16, 97:1 Whitepages [12] - 31:16, 31:17, 32:5, 80:2, 80:4, 80:9, 81:19, 81:24, 92:7, 102:25 willing [3] - 100:24, 109:21, 118:2 wise [1] - 34:24 wish [3] - 32:15, 99:15, 102:14 wishes [1] - 21:7 wives [1] - 81:23 wondering [2] - 97:6, 97:19 word [4] - 16:20, 16:22, 17:1, 116:23 words [10] - 21:25, 38:20, 49:17, 53:19, 68:25, 82:20, 83:18, 84:5, 89:8, 98:16 works [6] - 20:9, 26:8, 26:9, 62:5, 93:23 worried [1] - 80:17 worry [3] - 45:7, 116:19, 118:7 worse [1] - 92:3 worth [2] - 37:1, 42:25 wrap [1] - 76:3 wreak [1] - 114:25 Wright [1] - 91:20 writing [1] - 70:20 written [2] - 42:6, 71:17 wrote [1] - 100:22 Y Yahoo [1] - 53:10 Yardi [1] - 116:9 year [3] - 87:10, 87:14, 102:2 Year's [1] - 38:11 years [2] - 31:6, 45:14 yelled [1] - 116:21 yesterday [1] - 51:2
---	---	--	---